# Reliability of statements about smoking habits

Report on ACS Follow-up Study

Authors: Mrs.B.A.Forey and P.N.Lee Date : 15.5.86



#### SUMMARY

#### Methods

In 1980, a representative sample of 8,600 U.K. subjects aged 16+ were interviewed about their own smoking habits and those of other members of their household, information on certain demographic characteristics also being obtained. Subsequently, in 1985, 540 of the 5,289 subjects aged 25-65 in 1980 were reinterviewed. The second questionnaire repeated the main questions on own smoking and also asked subjects to recall their own habits in 1980.

## **Objectives**

The main objective of the study was to assess <u>consistency</u> of statements made in 1980 and 1985 in response to a series of identical questions which allowed classification of subjects as never smokers, ex-smokers and current smokers.

Subsidiary objectives were:

- to assess the level of <u>concordance</u> between the subject's own smoking habits and those of his or her spouse and those of other household members,
- (ii) to assess how good 1985 recall of 1980 smoking habits was and how this recall varied according to current smoking habits,
- (iii) to compare memory of events prior to 1980 in the 2 surveys,
- (iv) to assess changes in habits between 1980 and 1985 and to which factors the changes related.
- (v) to <u>compare results with those reported earlier in Research</u> Papers 2 and 2A.

## Concordance of smoking habits

Husband's and wife's smoking habits in 1980 were strongly correlated, with current manufactured cigarette smokers 2.7 times more likely than never smokers to have a spouse who smokes manufactured cigarettes.

The number of other smokers in the household is strongly correlated with the subject's own smoking habits.

## Consistency of statements made in 1980 and 1985

After taking account of occasional smokers, 14.8% of men and 3.6% of women, who in 1985 stated they had never smoked, had earlier stated they were current or ex-smokers. (Type I inconsistency).

In addition, 22.0% of men and 7.8% of women, who in 1980 stated they had never smoked, later stated that they were current or ex-smokers and had started to smoke before 1980. (Type II inconsistency).

Both Type I and Type II inconsistency were more frequent in older subjects and in subjects whose two statements about age were inconsistent.

Compared with consistent smokers, both inconsistent groups reported having smoked for fewer years. Where the inconsistency was between being a never and ex-smoker, the stated time of giving up was longer ago and the number of manufactured cigarettes smoked at the time of giving up less than in other ex-smokers.

The proportion of Type I inconsistent subjects who reported in 1980 that their spouse smoked was about the same as among consistent smokers. However, among Type II inconsistent subjects, it was lower even than consistent never smokers.

There was also 1 man and 1 woman, who said they were current smokers in 1980 but who in 1985 claimed to have given up before 1980. (Type III inconsistency).

#### 1985 recall of 1980 smoking habits

When asked in 1985 to recall 1980 smoking habits, about 8% of subjects disagreed with earlier statements as to whether or not they had been smoking manufactured cigarettes, or had been smoking any product. This disagreement was somewhat higher in men than in women.

Within men who reported smoking in 1980 on both occasions, over 31% disagreed about the types of product smoked. There was considerable discrepancy between the two sources of information

concerning number of cigarettes smoked and brand smoked but not regarding whether the brand was filter or plain.

Where errors or recall were made, there was a general tendency for these to be in the direction of current smoking habits. This was true for type of product smoked, number of cigarettes smoked (particularly among heavier smokers) and for brand smoked.

## Memory of events prior to 1980

There was considerable discrepancy between answers to similar questions asked in both surveys concerning events prior to 1980 - age finished full-time education, age started smoking, year when gave up smoking, which products were smoked at the time of giving up and how many manufactured cigarettes were smoked at the time of giving up.

## Changes in habits between 1980 and 1985

Between 1980 and 1985 more men and women gave up than took up smoking, and reduced than increased numbers of manufactured cigarettes.

## Digit preference

Smokers tend to preferentially report or recall numbers of manufactured cigarettes smoked as ending with a zero or to a lesser extent as ending with a 5. This was more marked when recalling number usually smoked than number smoked yesterday.

## Comparison with RP2 and RP2A

Due to differences in methods, the inconsistent groups we have discussed cannot be compared, but the 1952 and 1957 studies found similar levels of Type I current smokers as in the present . study.

Findings on recall of smoking habits at the time of previous interview were similar regarding whether or not smoked, which products and how many cigarettes were smoked. Recall of which brand was smoked was much less accurate in the present study. The influence of present smoking habits on accuracy of recall was noted in both studies, but was less marked in the present study.

Findings on digit preference were similar in both studies.



# INDEX - TEXT

1.	Introduction	1- 2
2.	Follow-up study methods	3- 5
3.	Concordance between husband's and wife's smoking habits	6
4.	Correlation between subject's smoking habits and other smoking in the household	7
5.	Consistency of statements about age	8- 9
6.	Representativeness of follow-up sample	10-11
7.	Main analysis - introduction	12
8.	Consistency of statements about smoking status 8.1 Type I inconsistency 8.2 Type II inconsistency 8.3 Type III inconsistency 8.4 Inconsistency of smoking habits related to other smokers in the household	13-21 15 18 19
9.	Recall of 1980 smoking habits in 1985 9.1 Recall of types of product smoked 9.2 Recall of number of manufactured cigarettes smoked 9.3 Recall of brand smoked	22-29 22 24 28
10.	Memory of events prior to 1980	30-32
11.	Changes in smoking habits between 1980 and 1985	33-34
12.	Digit preference	<b>3</b> 5
13.	Inconsistency of age related to inconsistency of smoking habits	36-38
14.	Comparison with findings of Research Papers 2 and 2A 14.1 Recall of type of product smoked 14.2 Recall of amount smoked 14.3 Recall of brand smoked 14.4 Digit preference	39-42 41 41 42 42
15.	Discussion	43-45



### INDEX - TABLES

- 1. Concordance between husband's and wife's smoking habits.
- 2. Concordance between manufactured cigarette smoking habits of subject and other household members.
- 3. Comparison of ages as recorded in 1980 and 1985.
- 4. Comparison of ages recorded in 1980 and 1985 after exclusion of 15 reject follow-up questionnaires.
- 5. Difference between age as stated in 1980 and in 1985.
- 6. Consistency of 1980 and 1985 statements about smoking habits any product.
- 7. Type I inconsistency of statements about smoking status.
- 8. Listing of data for subjects with Type I inconsistent status for smoking manufactured cigarettes.
- 9. Listing of data for subjects with Type I inconsistent status for smoking any product.
- 10. Duration of smoking among 1980 smokers.
- 11. Time of stopping smoking among 1980 ex-smokers.
- 12. Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked at time of giving up among 1980 ex-smokers.
- 13. Type II inconsistency of statements about smoking status any product.
- 14. Listing of data for subjects with Type II inconsistent status for smoking any product.
- 15. Duration of smoking among 1985 smokers.
- 16. Time of stopping smoking among 1985 ex-smokers.
- 17. Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked at time of giving up among 1985 ex-smokers.
- 18. Listing of data for subjects with Type III inconsistent status.
- 19. Smoking by spouse and other members of household in 1980, by consistency of statements about own smoking habits.

- 20. Inconsistency rates among those whose spouse did or did not smoke, and among those where another household member did or did not smoke in 1980.
- 21. Smoking habits in 1980 agreement between 1980 statements and 1985 recall statements.
- 22. Smoking habits in 1980 agreement between 1980 statements and 1985 recall statements broken down by age.
- 23. Type of product smoked in 1980 as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985.
- 24. Overall change in type of product smoked in 1980 as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985.
- 25. Accuracy of recall of 1980 smoking habits according to 1985 smoking habits.
- 26. Type of product smoked in 1980 as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985, according to 1985 smoking products.
- 27. Accuracy of recall of type of product smoked according to 1985 smoking habits.
- 28. Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked in 1980 as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985.
- 29. Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked in 1980 as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985 - broken down by 1985 level of manufactured cigarette consumption.
- 30. Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked Error in recall related to change in smoking between 1980 and 1985.
- 31. Errors of recall in brand smoked.
- 32. Age finished education consistency of answers given in 1980 and 1985.
- 33. Age started smoking consistency of answers given in 1980 and 1985.
- 34. Year gave up smoking consistency of answers given in 1980 and 1985.
- 35. Which product smoked when gave up consistency of answers given in 1980 and 1985.
- 36. Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked when gave up consistency of answers given in 1980 and 1985.
- 37. Changes in smoking habits (any product) between 1980 and 1985 in relation to various other factors.

- 38. Changes in detailed smoking group between 1980 and 1985.
- 39. Change in number of manufactured cigarettes smoked between 1980 and 1985.
- 40. Changes in filter/plain smoking habits between 1980 and 1985.
- 41. Reporting of manufactured cigarettes smoked as round numbers.
- 42. Inconsistency in smoking habits (any product) related to inconsistency in age.
- 43. Combined Type I, II and III smoking habit inconsistency by between-survey age difference in age at finish of education.
- 44. Inconsistency on age of starting to smoke by between-survey age difference and between-survey age difference in age at finish of education.
- 45. Inconsistency on age of starting to smoke by between-survey age difference and between-survey difference in year stopped smoking.
- 46. Inconsistency on age of starting to smoke by between-survey age difference and between-survey difference in number of manufactured cigarettes smoked at time of giving up.
- 47. Discrepancies in recalling smoking at time of previous interview.
- 48. Informants who recalled, correctly or incorrectly, the type of product smoked at earlier interview.
- 49. % of subjects smoking various amounts of manufactured cigarettes as stated at original interview and as recalled at follow-up interview.
- 50. % of subjects falling into same or different categories at two interviews when categorised by number of manufactured cigarettes smoked.
- 51. Recall of number of manufactured cigarettes smoked correlation between memory error and change in amount smoked.
- 52. Recall of number of manufactured cigarettes smoked comparison of correlation between current/recall and current/original.
- 53. % reporting manufactured cigarettes smoked as round numbers.
- 54. Summary of Type I, II and III inconsistencies (any product).



## INDEX - APPENDICES

- A. Follow-up questionnaire used in 1985.
- B. Relevant part of original questionnaire used in 1980.
- C. Distribution of 1980 variables for all subjects.
- D. Distribution of 1980 and 1985 variables for subjects followed-up.
- E. Comparison of subjects selected and not selected.
- F. Brand smoked in 1980 as reported at the time and as recalled.



#### TEXT

## 1. Introduction

Most studies in recent years investigating the relationship between smoking and disease have been based on self-reported smoking habits. The accuracy of statements about smoking habits is clearly of crucial importance to the conclusions of such studies, but little detailed research has been done on this since TRC's Research Papers 2 and 2A some twenty years ago.

That study was based on subjects originally interviewed in 1948-50 in the Annual Consumer Survey (ACS). They were re-interviewed in 1952, 1957 and 1964 to investigate errors made in recalling smoking habits over different lengths of time. The study described here was carried out along similar lines, with subjects from the 1980 ACS re-interviewed in 1985, in order to bring the research up-to-date.

The need for a new study was prompted by various factors. One is the change during the intervening 20 years in attitudes to smoking. The increasing public awareness of smoking and health issues and the decreasing social acceptability of smoking may well prompt an increasing proportion of smokers to deny smoking, or cause those who have cut down to exaggerate past smoking.

Secondly, the accuracy of statements about smoking becomes even more important when studying the possible effects of passive smoking on health, since misclassification of active smokers as non-smokers can cause an appreciable bias.

Studies of the type considered here are concerned with consistency of repeated statements over time by the same individual and the reliability of recall of past events. In a separate study, currently ongoing, an objective measure (salivary cotinine) is being used to assess reliability of a single statement about current smoking habits.

## 2. Follow-up Study methods

The 1980 ACS interviewed 8,600 subjects age 16+ from 176 The follow-up study was restricted to those sampling points. aged 25-65 in 1980. Those aged under 25 were omitted on the grounds that they were less likely to have changed their smoking habits by that age, and also that a very low success rate would be expected in re-interviewing this age group, due to their mobility. Those aged over 65 were omitted to minimize problems including decreased survival and increased illness and loss of memory. The total sample eligible for re-interview was thus reduced to 5289, an average of 30 subjects at each sampling point. 80 sampling points were selected, preserving the regional balance of the original 176, and the interviewers were asked to locate (based on knowledge of name, initial, sex and address, but not age) and interview 7 subjects from each point, subject to quotas on sex and age (4 men and 3 women or 4 women and 3 men, not more than 1 subject aged 65-70), with the objective of obtaining at least 500 follow-up interviews. When identifying the subject, no reference was made to the fact that a previous interview had been carried out in 1980, in order to make the circumstances of interview in 1985 as similar as possible to the normal ACS interview situation, and not to alert subjects to the objective of the study.

The questionnaire used in the follow-up ( $\underline{\text{Appendix A}}$ ) was largely a repeat of the relevant parts of the ACS questionnaire

(Appendix B), covering general smoking status, details on manufactured cigarette smoking and various demographic details. Though the full ACS questionnaire asked a number of questions on smoking not asked in the follow-up, the questions asked on smoking on both occasions were asked first so answers should not be affected by the presence or absence of these additional questions on smoking. The ACS questionnaire in 1980 also had questions about smoking of manufactured cigarettes by other The follow-up questionnaire did not members of the household. questions regarding smoking by other members of household, but added questions asking the subjects to recall their own smoking habits in 1980. These recall questions were asked after the standard ACS questions on smoking so as not to affect answers to the latter.

The data were received from Research Services on three tapes. TAPE1 contained 2 original cards for 2605 subjects in the districts not selected for follow-up. TAPE2 contained 2 original and 2 follow-up cards for the 555 persons re-interviewed. TAPE3 contained 2 original cards for 2129 persons in the districts selected, but who were not re-interviewed. On all three tapes, card 2 was omitted if the subject had never smoked manufactured cigarettes.

Two data files were set up for the analysis. ACS80.DAT contains the relevant data from the original study for all subjects, plus a variable indicating follow-up status derived

from the tape number. Appendix C gives details of the source of each variable from the cards, and gives the distribution of each variable by follow-up status.

FOLLOWUP.DAT contains data from both original and follow-up questionnaires for those people who were re-interviewed. Details are given in Appendix D. The distributions of variables based on the same question asked at different times are presented side by side.

## 3. Concordance between husband's and wife's smoking habits

Based on the total 1980 data for those who are married, a number of analyses were carried out relating the subject's own manufactured cigarette smoking habits (never, ex, 0-17, 18-22, 23+) to whether or not the spouse was reported by the subject to smoke manufactured cigarettes. As shown in <a href="Table 1">Table 1</a>, the percentage of subjects who have a spouse who smokes rises steadily with the subject's own manufactured cigarette smoking habits. After standardising for age, sex and social class, this trend is similar and highly significant (p<0.001) in both sexes individually, with, overall, current manufactured cigarette smokers some 2.7 times more likely than never smokers to have a spouse who smokes manufactured cigarettes. The trend is evident in all age and social class groups, but is rather more marked in the young than in the old and in ABC1 than in C2DE.

4. Correlation between subject's smoking habits and other smokers in the household.

As shown in <u>Table 2</u>, there is also a clear positive association between manufactured cigarette smoking with whether there is another smoker other than the spouse in the household, after adjusting for household size. Overall, 73% of never smokers and 74% of ex-smokers lived in a household with no other smoker, as compared with respectively 60%, 63% and 53% of current smokers of 0-17, 18-22 and 23+ manufactured cigarettes per day.

Thus, the study provided evidence that smokers were not only more likely to be married to smokers, but were also more likely to live in the same house as smokers other than the spouse.

#### 5. Consistency of statements about age

As the original interviews were carried out towards the end of 1980, the subjects would have been either 4 or 5 years older in the 1985 survey. Some minor inaccuracies or roundings might have been expected, particularly if age was estimated by the interviewer, but it was found that there were a large number of discrepancies, some of them fairly gross.

In <u>Table 3</u>, the subjects are classified into 5-year age groups, and the figures ringed indicate those subjects where the discrepancy was by more than one age group.

The size of some of these discrepancies led to the suspicion that the wrong person had been interviewed in the follow-up - probably a relative with the same initial living in the same household. A detailed examination of the questionnaires was carried out for the 165 subjects who did not have the expected 4/5-year age difference. For 150 subjects this resulted in a positive identification that the follow-up interview had been conducted with the correct person. In 6 cases the follow-up was found to be with the wrong person, while a further 9 cases were uncertain. It was decided to reject all these 15 cases from the follow-up study.

<u>Table 4</u> shows a revised comparison of age groups for the remaining 540 subjects.

<u>Table 5</u> is based on the difference between exact ages stated in 1980 and 1985. The discrepancies may be explained as:

- a) age estimated at either interview by the interviewer.
- b) respondents not remembering their exact age.
- c) respondents lying about their age.
- d) interviewers changing ages to fit survey quotas.

The age as stated in 1980 is used in all subsequent analyses.

## 6. Representativeness of follow-up sample

As the response rates obtained from different demographic groups would be expected to vary under the selection procedure, it is necessary to assess the representativeness of the follow-up sample.

The 1980 answers for a number of factors were compared between those re-interviewed and those not re-interviewed (or rejected). These comparisons are given in Appendix E. A number of significant differences were seen, and the effect on the follow-up sample can be summarised as follows:

A male subject was more likely to have been re-interviewed if he - was age 35 or over (in 1980).

- was in social class C2DE.
- finished full-time education age 15 or under
- lived in a large household.
- was originally interviewed at home.
- was originally interviewed on a Saturday.
- was an ex-smoker or never smoker of cigars (as opposed to current or occasional).

A female subject was more likely to have been re-interviewed if she - was married.

- was not working, or working part-time.
- lived in a large household.
- was originally interviewed at home
- was a current hand-rolled smoker.

No differences were seen in respect of sex, length of original interview, manufactured cigarette smoking habits, or pipe smoking habits.

The differences found seem to follow a plausible pattern, with the interviewer less likely to get hold of young, mobile, at work people.

In order to check that these differences were not due to the 80 sampling points selected being unrepresentative of the total, some further comparisons were made between all subjects in selected areas and those in non-selected areas. These comparisons showed virtually identical distributions as regards sex, age, social class and manufactured cigarette smoking habits.

## 7. Main analysis - introduction

The main analysis of the study was seen as providing answers to the following 3 questions:

- (a) Were answers about general smoker status in 1985 consistent with answers to similar questions in 1980?
- (b) When specifically asked in 1985 to recall details of 1980 smoking habits, how good was memory compared with answers given at the time, and was memory affected by current smoking habits?
- (c) How did memory of events prior to 1980 compare in the 2 surveys?
  - A fourth question was also looked at, namely:
- (d) How had habits changed between 1980 and 1985 and to which factors did these changes relate?

## 8. Consistency of statements about smoking status

If one defines the subjects according to both the 1980 and 1985 statements separately as "current", "ex" or "never" smokers of any product, and if the 1985 subjects are further divided by whether they started and/or stopped smoking before or after 1980, then it is clear that certain combinations of replies are inconsistent.

It was found that no subject claimed to have started smoking after 1980, and a further check ascertained that no combination of starting/stopping dates was inconsistent. This somewhat simplified the various possibilities which are summarized in Table 6. Certain groups are highlighted and are dealt with in detail in the following sections, viz.

I: subjects who in 1980 stated they smoked or had smoked in the past but who in 1985 claimed never to have smoked; 乂

- II: subjects who in 1980 said they had never smoked, but who in 1985 claimed to have started smoking by 1980;
- III: current smokers in 1980 who claimed in 1985 to have given up before 1980.

A problem in these definitions of type I and type III inconsistency arises from the wording of the questions about manufactured cigarettes, handrolled cigarettes and pipes. The basis of current smoker status is the simple question "Do you

smoke ...?". However, ex-smoker status is based on the more strictly defined question "Have you ever smoked at least one ... a day for as long as a year?", and the time of giving up relates to giving up smoking at this level. Hence, a subject who was smoking only occasionally in 1980 and who had given up by 1985 would be classified as a current smoker in 1980 but as a never smoker 1985, thus erroneously appearing as inconsistent. Similarly, a regular smoker who had reduced his level of smoking below the defined level by 1980 and given up by 1985 would erroneously appear as a type III inconsistent. This possible explanation for some of the inconsistencies investigated in the relevant sections. (The problem does not arise for type II inconsistency, nor for smoking of cigars.)

It can be noted that the information on age of starting to smoke and year of giving up, on which Table 6 is based, relate to overall smoking and not to specific products. However, as these items are not used in identifying type I inconsistent subjects, it is additionally possible to study type I inconsistency for individual products.

When considering inconsistency in overall smoking, this ignores possible inconsistent changes of product (e.g. pipe smoker in 1980, only ever manufactured cigarettes in 1985).

## 8.1 Type I inconsistency

Table 7 gives the number claiming to be "never" smokers in 1985 and shows how many previously claimed to be current or ex-smokers. Figures are given for each product separately and for smoking any product.

This shows that those who reported never having smoked by 1985 contain a substantial proportion who had apparently smoked in the past. This proportion is particularly high for men, being 17.9% for manufactured cigarettes and 14.8% for any product; in the 55-65 age group, the proportion reached 30% and 50% respectively, although these figures are based on the relatively small number of never smokers in this age group.

The individual data for manufactured cigarettes and for any product were examined (<u>Tables 8 and 9</u>) to see if occasional smoking provided a possible explanation for any of the inconsistencies.

The possibilities to consider for manufactured cigarette smoking, (i.e. those involving current smoking) were:

Serial No.	No.cigs.
11451	20
12290	12
12525	6
21855	1
23237	1

There were no additional possibilities for smoking any product. The first two of these were also the only two subjects to show inconsistency within the 1985 questionnaire, by claiming never to have smoked but recalling smoking 20 and 10 cigarettes a day respectively in 1980. These agree well with their 1980 statements, and suggest that the 1985 statements on smoking status are false, possibly due to the subject not understanding the questions.

The third (serial no.12525), smoking only 6 manufactured cigarettes "yesterday" in 1980 and being a continuing handrolled cigarette smoker, may fit into the "loophole" of an occasional smoker, as most likely do the final two subjects (serial nos. 21855 and 23237), both smoking 1 cigarette yesterday.

If these 3 were treated as never regular smokers, then the adjusted % inconsistencies shown in the final 2 columns of Table 7 are obtained. The overall pattern would be little affected. Thus, for men the Type I inconsistency rate for manufactured cigarette smoking would fall from 17.9% to 16.4% after adjustment, while that for women would fall from 5.3% to 3.5%.

It was not possible to assess whether the other current inconsistent smokers (7 handrolled, 3 pipe) included occasional smokers, since there were no questions about the quantity smoked of these products.

A number of factors were studied to see if they were associated with the level of inconsistency.

One possible cause of inconsistent statements is a tendency to ignore smoking of short duration. To investigate this, the number of years smoked (based on age started and year stopped if appropriate) was compared between the 1980 smokers (current or ex) who later claimed, inconsistently, to be never smokers and the 1980 smokers who continued to claim to be smokers by 1985. This analysis is given in <u>Table 10</u>. As can be seen, the level of inconsistency decreased with the number of years smoked (trend p<0.01).

Among ex-smokers, comparisons could also be made of how long ago smoking stopped, and the number of manufactured cigarettes smoked at that time (Tables 11 and 12). Here, the comparison is between the 1980 ex-smokers who later claimed to be never smokers, with the 1980 ex-smokers who continued to claim to be ex-smokers in 1985 (excluding those few who in 1985 stated giving up since 1980, since they may indeed have re-started and stopped in the intervening 5 years). As can be seen, the proportion who in 1980 reported giving up before 1970 was higher in the inconsistent group (66.7%) than in the consistent group (31.7%). However, sample sizes were small and the difference was only

significant at the 90% confidence level. There is also a very marked trend towards a lower number smoked by the inconsistent group. Despite the small sample size in this group, this trend was quite highly significant (p<0.01).

#### 8.2 Type II inconsistency

Looking at the same problem but with the times reversed, we now turn to Type II inconsistency, i.e. study of those who stated that they had never smoked in 1980, but who were current or ex-smokers in 1985.

There were 2 such subjects (aged 63 and 45) for whom age of starting to smoke was missing. As there were no subjects at all claiming to have started smoking after 1980, it seems reasonable to assume that these 2 also started before 1980.

As shown in <u>Table 13</u>, those claiming never to have smoked by 1980 contain a considerable number who later stated that they had smoked by that time, namely 22.0% of males and 7.8% of females. As with the previous type of inconsistency, the highest proportion was among males aged 55-65 with 55.6% (5 out of 9) inconsistencies. A listing of subjects with Type II inconsistency is shown in <u>Table 14</u>.

As for Type I inconsistency, it is of interest to compare duration of smoking, time of stopping smoking and of cigarettes smoked at time of giving up for Type II inconsistent and comparable consistent smokers. subjects to be studied are those who in 1985 stated they were smokers who had started before 1980, and the comparison is between those who were never smokers (inconsistent) and those who were current or ex-smokers (consistent) in 1980. Results are given in Tables 15,16 and 17. It can be seen that there is a tendency for the Type II inconsistent subjects to have reported smoking for fewer years. 33.3% (7/21) of the inconsistent group had smoked for 10 years or less compared with 4.4% (14/318) of the consistent group (trend p<0.05). They also reported stopping smoking earlier (trend p<0.05), and to have smoked manufactured cigarettes at the time of giving up (trend p<0.01).

## 8.3 Type III inconsistency

Among the 53 subjects who said they were current smokers in 1980 but ex-smokers in 1985, 2 subjects (3.8%) claimed to have given up before 1980, and their details are given in <u>Table 18</u>.

The first (serial 10201) claimed on both occasions to be an ex-smoker of 40 manufactured cigarettes per day, but his 1980 current cigar smoking was denied in 1985, despite the stricter form of question being used on both questionnaires for cigars. Hence the 1985 claim to have given up smoking in 1971 presumably relates to manufactured cigarettes only.

The second (serial 22819) stated having given up in 1979 and may possibly have been an occasional smoker in 1980. However it seems more likely that this inconsistency can be attributed to a slight error in recalling the year of giving up. (Recall of year of giving up is also considered in section 10.)

Two further subjects (serial 22380, 23315) are also listed. These did not state when they gave up smoking, so their consistency cannot be assessed. (They are treated as consistent in subsequent analyses.)

# 8.4 Inconsistency of smoking habits related to other smokers in the household

Having identified three groups of subjects with inconsistent statements on smoking habit, it is of interest to investigate the proportion who are married to smokers, or who live in a household

other smokers, compared with those giving consistent with statements. Table 19 gives the proportion in each group who stated in 1980 that they were married to a smoker or that another It can be seen, in line with the household member smoked. findings of Section 3, that more consistent smokers (45.4%) than consistent never smokers (29.2%) were married to a smoker The proportion for type I inconsistent (p<.005). (38.5%) was almost the same as for consistent smokers, but for type II (11.1%) was significantly lower. Indeed, this is much lower (although not significantly) than the consistent never smokers. Bearing in mind that it was in 1980 that the type II subjects denied smoking, this is consistent with 2 hypotheses -(a) that a subject who denies his own smoking is likely to deny his spouse's smoking at the same time or (b) that a smoker married to a non-smoker is likely to deny his own smoking. Similarly for the proportions in each group where there was another smoker in the household other than the spouse, the differences were generally in the same direction, but none were significant.

In <u>Table 20</u> the inconsistency rates for the three types of inconsistency among comparable consistent subjects are shown, broken down by whether the spouse or another household member smokes. It can be seen that the Type I inconsistency rate is higher (although not significantly) among those who claimed that their spouse smoked than among those who claimed that their spouse did not smoke, whereas the difference in the Type II inconsistency rates is in the opposite direction.

## 9. Recall of 1980 smoking habits in 1985

In the 1985 interview, questions were asked regarding whether in 1980 the subject had smoked manufactured cigarettes, had smoked handrolled cigarettes, had smoked a pipe, had smoked as much as one cigar a week in 1980 and, for those who said they smoked manufactured cigarettes, the number they had smoked on average and the brand smoked most often. These answers can be compared with answers to similar questions asked in 1980, although the questions about manufactured cigarettes are not exactly comparable - the original questions asked how many cigarettes were smoked yesterday and what was the last brand bought.

## 9.1 Recall of types of product smoked

Table 21 compares 1985 memory with actual 1980 statements for each smoking product and for a summary of overall smoking. For manufactured cigarette smoking and for overall smoking of any product, there was about an 8% discrepancy rate, with most of the discrepancies being cases where the subject had not stated smoking in 1980 but recalled smoking when asked in 1985. That is, more subjects overstated than understated their past smoking habits. The discrepancy rate was slightly higher for men than for women, but was not obviously age-related (as illustrated in Table 22, which shows results for manufactured cigarettes broken down by age).

For men, the percentages with discrepant answers were 8.8 for handrolled, 6.4 for pipes, 10.8 for cigars. For handrolled and cigars, the rate of discrepancy was similar in both directions.

Within the 127 men who stated both in 1985 and in 1980 that they smoked in 1980, there was disagreement in 40 cases (31.5%) about the type of product smoked, when subjects were classified according to manufactured only, handrolled only, or both, pipe only, cigars only, or both, or cigarettes and pipe/cigar (see Table 23). In all but 2 of these cases, the discrepancy was between one product and mixed including that product, with the rate similar in both directions.

However, if these answers were used to assess the products smoked overall, rather than on an individual basis, very little discrepancy would be seen (Table 24).

In Research Paper 2A, it was found that subjects tended to make errors of recall more in the direction of their own current habits than in the reverse direction. For a simple yes/no breakdown of smoking for a particular type of product, this finding can be tested by comparing, given the 1980 statement, the proportion who recall correctly among those who have not changed their habits with the corresponding proportion among those who have changed their habits. Results for individual types of

product and for any product are given in <u>Table 25</u>. As can be seen our results fit in well with the conclusions of RP2A, in all 10 comparisons made, the percentage who recalled incorrectly being higher, usually very markedly, where 1980 and 1985 habits differed than where they were the same.

Recall of all types of products smoked, as classified above, is examined further in <u>Tables 26 and 27</u> broken down by 1985 smoking habits. Although the numbers in some categories are small, it can be seen that recall of types of product was most accurate among those still smoking the same product(s) (91.7%). Those who had given up smoking by 1985 recalled more accurately (66.7%) than those who had changed product(s) (50.0%). Among those who had changed products and who recalled inaccurately, 60% stated the same products as their current smoking, i.e. they thought they had not changed products.

#### 9.2 Recall of number of manufactured cigarettes smoked.

Within those subjects who reported on both occasions that they had smoked manufactured cigarettes in 1980, there was considerable discrepancy as regards the number reported to be smoked (<u>Table 28</u>). Even when number smoked was divided into only 3 categories (-17, 18-22, 23+) as many as 86 out of 219 (39%) of manufactured cigarette smokers recalled a different category from that originally reported.

The question as to whether recall of past smoking was based more on current smoking than on actual past smoking, was examined in 3 ways.

Firstly, the correspondence between the two statements about 1980 smoking was examined separately for each level of 1985 smoking. This is shown in <u>Table 29</u>, which is based on all subjects who smoked manufactured cigarettes either in 1980 or 1985. If the recall statement reflected the actual 1980 statement, then the figures would appear grouped down the diagnonal of each sub-table; on the other hand, if recall was based on current (1985) smoking, then the figures would congregate around the row corresponding to the 1985 level. In fact, the figures seen are:

	corresp 1980 st	statement onds with atement conal)	Recall : corresponded in the corresponding to the correct of the c	Total number of subjects	
1985 statement	N	8	N	8	
0- 7	49	59.0	25	30.1	83
8-17	38	53.5	32	45.1	7 <b>1</b>
18-22	26	45.6	40	70.2	57
23-32	7	31.8	11	50.0	22
33+	4	50.0	7	87.5	8

This suggests that accuracy of recall was greater among those smoking small amounts in 1985, and that the tendency to base recall upon current smoking is greater among heavier smokers.

The second approach was to consider the change in smoking level from 1980 to 1985. Assuming for the moment that the statements made about current smoking at both times were accurate, a subject whose smoking had not changed had an easier task recalling past smoking; also, subjects who had reduced their smoking level might be expected to understate their past smoking. Table 30 gives details, by amount changed between 1980 and 1985, of the proportion who, from their recall in 1985, understated or overstated 1980 habits, or were approximately correct.

This confirms that those who had not changed were indeed most likely, with 67%, to recall accurately. Of those who had reduced by a small amount, 39% recalled accurately, while of those with larger reductions or any increase, only 20-30% recalled accurately. Among those who did not recall accurately, those whose smoking had reduced were somewhat more likely to understate than overstate (62.2% against 37.8%), whereas those whose smoking had increased tended overwhelmingly to overstate (10.5% against 89.6%).

Table 30 also cross-tabulates recalled change in smoking level against actual change. It can be seen that, of 53 subjects who had actually increased their number smoked, as many as 29 (54.7%) recalled no change, basing their recalled answer on current levels. This tendency was less marked in those who had actually decreased their number smoked (33 out of 131 = 25.2%). The number recalling no change when one had actually occurred (62) considerably exceeded the number recalling a change when none had occurred (21).

Finally, the possibility that 1980 recall was affected by 1985 smoking habits was examined by testing whether 1985 recall of manufactured cigarette smoking habits correlated more closely with 1985 statements of habits than with 1980 statements. This was done for all subjects who had smoked manufactured cigarettes in either 1980 or 1985, separately for those whose smoking had increased or decreased.

Among those who had increased their smoking level, the correlation between recall and 1985 smoking (.79) was stronger than the correlation between recall and 1980 smoking (.63). However, among those who had decreased their smoking, the correlation between recall and 1985 (.13) was considerably weaker than between recall and 1980 (.52).

## 9.3 Recall of brand smoked

both For those who reported on occasions smoking manufactured cigarettes in 1980, the brand reported in 1980 to have been last bought was compared with the brand reported in 1985 to have been smoked most often in 1980. This was restricted to those 191 smokers of manufactured cigarettes who gave a specific brand in 1980, who recalled a specific 1980 brand in 1985, and who in 1985 stated they either smoked a specific brand or were a non-smoker. The rate of agreement was fairly low (45.0%). When the brands were used to determine if the subject smoked plain or filter, there was much better agreement, with only 1 discrepancy out of the 16 who originally stated they smoked plain, and 2 discrepancies out of 175 filter smokers.

7 subjects recalled a brand that was not available in 1980.

When the brands were used additionally to determine cigarette size, there was a 67.0% agreement rate, with the discrepancy rate the same in both directions, 30 of the 173 consistent filter smokers recalling a larger size than reported originally and 30 recalling a smaller size (Table 31).

Appendix F lists details of the relevant brands smoked. Table 31 also contains a summary breakdown of the findings. Not surprisingly, recall of the 1980 brand was most often correct when the subject continued to smoke the same brand in 1985 as in 1980 (41/52 = 78.9%). Recall of the 1980 brand was more often correct among those who were not smoking manufactured cigarettes in 1985 (23/48 = 47.9%) than among those who continued to smoke manufactured cigarettes but had changed their brand (22/91 = 24.2%). Among the 69 incorrect recalls of 1980 brand in the latter group, 20 of them were cases where the brand recalled was actually that smoked in 1985. This proportion (29.0%) is much greater than expected by chance (2.2%) and is further evidence that, when recalling, smokers tend to err in the direction of their current habits.

#### 10. Memory of events prior to 1980

Similar questions were asked in both surveys about age finished full-time education, age started smoking, year when gave up smoking, which products smoked at the time of giving up smoking and the number of manufactured cigarettes smoked at the time of giving up smoking. The answers on the 2 occasions were compared respectively for the 5 questions in <u>Tables 32-36</u>.

Most subjects might be expected to have a fixed idea as to the age at which they finished their full-time education. However, when classified as up to 15,16,17,18,19-23 or 24/not finished, there was a discrepancy rate of 19.4%, or 3.4% by more than 1 category (Table 32).

When comparing age of starting to smoke among those who claimed at both times to have ever smoked, the subjects were classified as starting under 12, 12-13, 14-15, 16-17, 18-19, 20-24 or 25+. The rate of discrepancy by more than one category was 10.2% for men and 6.3% for women. The majority of these men and all of these women claimed younger starting in 1980 than they did in 1985. All those who claimed to have started older (by more than one category) in 1980 than in 1985 were aged 45 or over (Table 33).

For 72 subjects who claimed on both occasions to be ex-smokers, the year of giving up smoking was compared, classified as up to 1940, 1941-50, 1951-60, 1961-65, 1966-70, 1971-75, 1976-80 and 1981-85. 9 subjects stated in 1985 that they had given up since 1980, and they may indeed have restarted and stopped in this period. However, as 8 of them stated in 1980 to have given up in 1976-80, it seems likely that some at least of these are discrepancies in memory. Of the remaining 63 subjects, there were 39.7% discrepancies, or 3.2% (2 subjects) by more than one category (Table 34).

Which products were smoked at the time of giving up were compared for 38 men, classified as manufactured or handrolled cigarettes, or both, pipe, cigars, or both, cigarettes and pipe and/or cigars (Table 35). 19 men agreed smoking only manufactured cigarettes, and a further 4 agreements left 15 (39.5%) discrepancies. All but 2 of these were between smokers οf cigarettes only and smokers of multiple products including cigarettes. Table 35 also shows that of 25 women, there was only 1 discrepancy, a woman who reported smoking manufactured cigarettes only in 1980 but manufactured plus handrolled in 1985.

For those subjects who in 1980 claimed to be ex-smokers of manufactured cigarettes and who in 1985 claimed also to be ex-smokers, having given up before 1980, the number of

manufactured cigarettes smoked, grouped into 7 categories (1-7, 8-12,13-17, 18-22, 23-27, 28-32 and 33+) was compared (<u>Table 36</u>). Of the 71 subjects, 40 (56.3%) reported the same category, a further 18 (25.4%) reported only one category different, while 13 (18.3%) reported a difference of more than one category.

#### 11. Change in smoking habits between 1980 and 1985

Data on smoking habits measured at two time points, apart from being used to detect inconsistencies in statements made, can also be used to determine what changes have occurred and how they relate to other factors. This latter use, though not strictly related to the objectives of the study, was investigated by carrying out analyses of changes in smoking habits between 1980 and 1985 after excluding those subjects that gave type I, II or III inconsistent answers. As noted in Section 8, no subjects claimed to have started smoking since 1980.

Table 37 gives, broken down by age, sex, change in social class and change in employment status, the proportions of subjects who had changed from being an ex-smoker in 1980 to being a smoker in 1985 and vice versa. Overall, the fact that 53 subjects had become ex-smokers as against only 11 subjects who had become smokers, confirms the general downward trend in percentages of smokers evident from sales statistics and annual surveys. This general trend was evident in all subgroups with adequate numbers.

The tendency to give up smoking was slightly but not significantly more marked in men than in women, but was not obviously age-related. In men, there was no relation between

change in social class and giving up smoking, but in women there was a tendency for those who had increased social class to be more likely to give up (p<0.05). No significant relationship of change in smoking habits to change in employment status was seen, though it was interesting that in men, the highest proportion of those giving up smoking was in the group who had become unemployed.

The tendency to restart smoking could only show up gross differences by the factors studied, due to the small numbers. The only significant difference found was a tendency for younger men to take up smoking more than older men (p<0.05).

Table 38 compares products smoked in 1980 and 1985. In both sexes, marked reductions have occurred in the number who smoked manufactured cigarettes only. No discernible changes have occurred in respect of other products.

Table 39 compares numbers of manufactured cigarettes smoked in 1980 and 1985. In men, 17 (23.6%) reported smoking in the same group, 34 (47.2%) reported a decrease and 21 (29.2%) an increase. In women, 38 (38%) reported smoking in the same group, 43 (43%) reported a decrease and 19 (19%) an increase.

As shown in <u>Table 40</u>, only 10 plain smokers were seen in 1980, 9 of them male. By 1985, 3 of these had switched to filter, with none switching to plain.

## 12. Digit preference

One indication of possible inaccuracy about the recording of number of manufactured cigarettes smoked is the tendency to record numbers divisible by 10 or by 5. Although there is no smokers do in fact buy one (or two) packets a day, doubt some this tendency, as illustrated in Table 41, is so strong as to suggest most smokers mentally round the numbers they report smoking. Thus, in 1980, over half of men (57.7%) and almost half of women (44.4%) who reported smoking manufactured cigarettes, stated a number ending in a zero, with about 40% remainder in both sexes stating a number ending in a five. 1985, the tendency to round was slightly less marked than in 1980, 48.7% of men and 34.6% of women stating a number ending in a zero, with about 30% of the remainder in both sexes stating a number ending in a five. The tendency to round was greatest of all for 1985 recall of 1980 smoking habits, with 68.1% of men and 57.9% of women stating a number ending in a zero and some 60% of the remainder in both sexes stating a number ending in a five. Since recall related to average number smoked in 1980, whereas current smoking related to yesterday (or Saturday on half the interviews carried out on Monday), it was not surprising that the tendency to round was greatest for the recall situation.

#### 13. Inconsistency of age related to inconsistency of smoking habits

As noted in Section 6, a number of inconsistencies were seen in the ages given at the two surveys. Although it is possible that some of these inconsistencies may be due to the interviewer falsifying the age to fit the survey quota, the remainder can be attributed to the subject giving an unreliable response, or declining to respond at all. It is of interest to examine if inconsistency of age was related to the inconsistencies we have discussed in statements about smoking habits.

Accordingly, comparisons of inconsistency rates were made between those 390 subjects who had a between-survey age difference of 4 to 5 years, those 106 subjects who had a between-survey age difference of 1 to 9 years (other than 4 to 5 years) and those 44 subjects who had a between-survey age difference other than 1 to 9 years. Results are given Table 42, which classifies subjects into 7 categories; Type I, II III inconsistent, consistent never smoker, consistent smoker/ex-smoker with ages of starting consistent, 1 or 2 years different, or 3 or more years different.

The proportion of the total population with Type I, II or III consistency can be seen from Table 42 to be lower in those with the expected between-survey age difference of 4 to 5 years (20/390=5.1%) than in those with other age-differences

(14/106=13.2% for other 1 to 9 year differences; 4/44=9.1% for other differences). The last two percentages did not differ significantly, but the first was significantly (p<0.01) lower than the other two combined.

The remainder of the population can be divided into those who consistently stated they had never smoked and those who consistently stated they smoked or had smoked in the past. Among those who were consistent smokers/ex-smokers one can compare the percentage who gave an age of starting to smoke on the two occasions which differed by 3 years or more (or who on one or both of the occasions failed to remember the age of starting to smoke at all). Again this proportion was less in those with the expected between-survey age difference of 4 to 5 (62/256=24.2%) than in those with other age-differences (23/67=34.3% for other 1 to 9 year differences; 7/27=25.9% for other differences). For this indicator of inconsistency, the first percentage did not differ significantly (0.05<p<0.1) from the other two combined.

Some attempt was made to gain further insight into this question by relating inconsistency on smoking habits and on age to other types of inconsistency. Tables 43 and 44 give information relating to consistency of statements about age of finish of education. It can be seen that, given between-survey age difference, neither the proportion of the total population with type I, II or III inconsistency (Table 43) nor the

proportion of consistent smokers/ex-smokers with inconsistent ages of starting to smoke (Table 44) related to whether the two statements made about age of finish of education were, or were not, inconsistent.

Tables 45 and 46 show that, among consistent ex-smokers, the proportion with inconsistent ages of starting to smoke (given between-survey age difference) did not obviously relate to whether or not statements about the year of stopping smoking or the number of cigarettes smoked at the time of stopping were consistent. However, numbers in these tables are rather small.

# 14. Comparison with findings of Research Papers 2 and 2A

Although the present study was conducted generally along similar lines to the original study, a number of differences make detailed comparisons difficult:

- (a) Research Papers 2 and 2A (RP2, RP2A) did not give the questionnaires used.
- (b) No details were described of the procedure for following-up people.
- (c) No assessment was made of consistency of answers about age or any other non-smoking factor.
- d) At the original interview, the subjects appear only to have been asked about current smoking, while at the follow-up interview they were first asked if they currently smoked, then if they smoked at the time of the previous interview and, only if they said no to both questions were they asked if they had ever smoked regularly. Hence, it is not possible to identify inconsistent groups as in the present study. However, they did note that in the 1952 Memory Enquiry (of 982 men and 306 women), there were no men but 6 women who were current smokers at the original interview but claimed never to have smoked at the follow-up interview (0.5% overall).

Similarly, in the 1957 Memory Enquiry, there were 6 such men (of 662 previously interviewed = 0.9%). These are equivalent to the 1980 current smokers among our Type I inconsistent group (0.7% overall, or 0.4% after adjustment for occasional smokers).

- (e) Most attention was given in RP2 and RP2A to reliability of recall of smoking at the time of the previous interview. A number of comparisons can be made (section 14.1-14.4), but in the present study all the original interviews were conducted in the same year, hence we are not able to assess reliability over varying periods of time, nor to study a third interview with the subject, as in the 1957 Memory Study.
- (f) Changes in the importance of some types of smoking (e.g. importance of handrolling in the 1940s, increased consumption of cigars, which were not studied at all in RP2) have made some comparisons difficult.
- (g) In RP2 and RP2A quantities of handrolled and pipe tobacco smoked have been expressed as manufactured cigarette equivalents and used to calculate total tobacco consumption levels, whereas they were not measured in the present study.

#### 14.1 Recall of type of product smoked

The two studies found similar levels of discrepancy in recalling smoking, or smoking a particular product; also that more subjects who had originally not smoked (or not smoked a particular product) incorrectly recalled having smoked, than subjects who had smoked originally but recalled incorrectly having been a non-smoker (Table 47).

In Table 23 we found that among subjects who correctly recalled being smokers 73.2 % recalled all types of products correctly (after grouping smokers of both cigarettes and pipe/cigar). This compares with 82.1% of subjects reinterviewed in 1952 (RP2, Table 10A, cigars not considered).

Both studies found that current smoking influenced accuracy of recall of which products were smoked. However, this tendency appears less marked in the present study (Table 48).

## 14.2 Recall of amount smoked

Both studies found considerable discrepancies in recall of amount smoked (<u>Tables 49 and 50</u>) and, as already discussed in section 9.2, that recall of amount smoked was influenced by current smoking levels (<u>Tables 51 and 52</u>). However, the

possibility that this tendency was stronger in heavier smokers, as in the present study, cannot be assessed from RP2.

# 14.3 Recall of brand smoked

RP2 found a high correct recall rate (77.8%) in 1957 of the brand smoked in 1955/6, and that most of the incorrect recalls (presumably among subjects still smoking) were the same as the current brand (78.0%). This compares with 45.0% and 25.0% respectively (both sexes, after exclusion of unknown or unspecific brands) in the present study, and is presumably due to the smaller number of brands then available.

## 14.4 Digit preference

Both studies observed the tendency for subjects to state cigarette consumption in terms of round figures (Table 53).

From Table 9B - N man cig smokers who in 1957 correctly recalled having smoked in 1955 or 1956 = 106+125-5-1=225.

From Tables 19A and 19B, N incorrectly recalling brand = 27+23=50.

## 15. Discussion

In most epidemiological studies of smoking and health, subjects are classified on a single occasion into those who have never smoked, those who have smoked in the past and those who currently smoke, with current smokers broken down by quantity smoked. It is clear that errors in classification will tend to bias the true relationship between smoking and the disease in question. If risk of the disease is greater in smokers than in non-smokers, the observed relationship with active smoking will tend to be less than actually exists when misclassification of smoking habits occurs. However, if the smoking habits of spouses are correlated, misclassification may tend to create an apparent positive effect of spouse's smoking on the risk of the disease in non-smokers when no true effect of passive smoking exists.

When a person claims never to have smoked, he may in fact be a current or an ex-smoker. The importance of an erroneous claim in assessing the relationship between active or passive smoking and a disease will tend to be greater for current than ex-smokers and for heavy than light smokers. That someone ignores having smoked 2 cigarettes a day 30 years ago may matter little, but denial of current smoking of 40 a day may cause severe bias.

Elsewhere, using salivary cotinine measurements, an attempt is being made to detect the proportion of people who claim to be non-smokers but who in fact currently smoke. One major objective of the current study is to gain some insight into the additional proportion who claim never to have smoked, but who have smoked in the past, by comparing statements made at different points in time. It is realised, of course, that this will still not determine the full extent of false claims about never having smoked, as there may well be some subjects who in fact gave up some years ago but who denied ever having smoked at each interview.

Table 54 summarises the cases observed of the 3 types of inconsistency we have defined, regarding smoking of any product:

Type I : never smoked in 1985, current or ex-smoker

in 1980.

Type II : never smoked in 1980, current or ex-smoker

in 1985 having started before 1980.

Type III : current smoker in 1980, ex-smoker in 1985

having given up before 1980.

These involve 38 subjects out of the 540 studied (7.0%). As we have demonstrated in section 8, and is clear from Table 54 anyway, the great majority of Type I and II inconsistencies relate to cases where on one occasion the subject reported having smoked in the past and on the other having never smoked at all

(29/36 = 80.6%). Also, the quantity reported to have been smoked (when owned up to) was often quite low. Even so, of the total of 36 type I and II discrepancies, there were 18 where 10 or more cigarettes a day was reported on one occasion. If one arbritrarily defines this as a "major inconsistency", this gives the result:

Type I "major": 8 out of 166 1985 never smokers = 4.8%

Type II "major": 10 out of 174 1980 never smokers = 5.7%

Of the 18 "major inconsistencies", 1 relates to the subject reporting being a current smoker on one occasion, 17 to subjects reporting being ex-smokers.

In summary, we have observed that misclassification of smoking habits occurs and also that there is a marked positive correlation between spouse's smoking habits. The extent to which a bias resulting from a combination of these factors may explain the observed passive smoking/lung cancer association will be discussed at a later stage when the additional evidence from the salivary cotinine study becomes available.



TABLE 1

Concordance between husband's and wife's smoking habits

Percentage (base) whose spouse smokes by subject's own
manufactured cigarette smoking habits and by sex, age or social class

		Su	bject's own	man. cig. sm	oking habits	
		Never	Ex	0-17/day	18-22/day	23+/day
All subje	cts	27.2(1587)	30.9(922)	47.5(775)	49.8(522)	56.4(479)
Male		22.5(685)	27.8(587)	38.2(296)	45.7(276)	53.4(324)
Female		30.8(902)	36.4(355)	53.2(479)	54.5(246)	62.6(155)
Age 25-34	-	25.6(480)	29.7(185)	54.1(220)	58.9(141)	60.8(130)
35-44		27.7(476)	32.5(265)	47.8(226)	54.6(152)	63.3(158)
45-54		28.8(309)	28.9(187)	42.6(148)	44.9(127)	53.8(104)
55-65		27.3(322)	31.6(285)	43.1(181)	36.3(102)	40.2(87)
\$-						
Social cl	ass ABC1	20.7(701)	25.1(415)	44.3(309)	45.3(159)	56.3(160)
	C2DE	32.4(886)	35.7(507)	49.6(466)	51.8(363)	56.4(319)

Odds, relative to a never smoker, of having a spouse who smokes (adjusted for sex, age and social class) with 95% confidence limits in brackets

All subjects 1 1.30 2.35 2.60 3.56 (1.08-1.56) (1.96-2.81) (2.12-3.19) (2.88-4.40)

TABLE 2

Concordance between manufactured cigarette smoking habits of subject and other household members

A. Unmarried subjects - Percentage (base) where another household member smokes manufactured cigarettes by subject's own manufactured cigarette smoking habit, sex and household size

		Never	Subject's own Ex	man. cig. 0-17/day	smoking habits 18-22/day	3+/day
	Household size*					
<u>Male</u>	1 2 3+ All**	31.0(29) 61.9(21) 50.0(10) 45.7(60)	27.6(29) 50.0(12) 75.0(8) 45.2(49)	28.6(14) 54.5(11) 75.0(8) 47.2(33)	30.0(10) 50.0(14) 90.0(10) 49.4(34)	47.1(17) 63.2(19) 100.0(10) 63.6(46)
<u>Female</u>	1 2 3+ All**	23.4(47) 39.1(23) 52.6(19) 32.3(89)	• • •	33.3(10) 25.0(16) 66.7(3) 36.0(29)	29.2(24) 75.0(4) 50.0(4) 45.8(32)	46.7(15) 75.0(8) 100.0(4) 62.9(27)

<sup>\*</sup> Adults in household apart from the subject and spouse.

A few subjects claiming more smokers than adults in the household have been omitted.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Standardised to household size distribution for given sex and marital status.

## TABLE 2 (contd.)

Concordance between manufactured cigarette smoking habits of subject and other household members

B. Married subjects - Percentage (base) where another household member other than the spouse smokes manufactured cigarettes by subject's own manufactured cigarette smoking habit, \_\_\_\_\_\_ sex and household size

	Household size*	Never	Subject's own Ex	man. cig. 0-17/day	smoking habits 18-22/day	23+/day
<u>Male</u>	1 2 3+ All**	19.4(93) 19.0(42) 50.0(20) 22.9(155)	15.2(112) 24.4(41) 50.0(18) 21.6(171)	22.0(50) 60.0(15) 60.0(10) 35.9(75)	23.4(47) 25.0(20) 33.3(9) 25.0(76)	30.9(55) 40.9(22) 55.6(9) 36.3(86)
Female	1 2 3+ All**	14.8(169) 28.4(74) 70.0(20) 22.5(263)	18.8(32) 0.0(2)	37.9(87) 53.3(30) 63.6(11) 44.1(128)	23.9(46) 68.2(22) 60.0(5) 39.1(73)	24.0(25) 66.7(15) 25.0(4) 36.3(44)

<sup>\*</sup> Adults in household apart from the subject and spouse.
A few subjects claiming more smokers than adults in the household have been omitted.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Standardised to household size distribution for given sex and marital status.

# TABLE 2 (contd./1)

Concordance between manufactured cigarette smoking habits of subject and other household members

C. Odds, relative to a never smoker, of another household member other than the spouse smoking (adjusted for age, sex, social class, marital status and household size) with 95% confidence limits in brackets

Subject's own man. cig. smoking habits
Never Ex 0-17/day 18-22/day 23+/day

1 1.14 1.74 1.54 2.26 (0.81,1.61) (1.25,2.41) (1.07,2.23) (1.57,3.26)

TABLE 3

Comparison of ages as recorded in 1980 and 1985

1980			:	1985 age	ge group								
age group	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	65+				
25-29	8	35	4	0	0	0	0	0	1				
30-34	1	5	45	12	2	2	Ó	0	0				
35-39	0	3	15	71	3	2	0	0	0				
40-44	0	. 0	1	9	63	3	2	0	0				
45-49	0	0	1	1	10	54	4	0	0				
50-54	0	0	0	0	0	8	50	1	1				
55-59	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	53	3				
60-64	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	19	37				
65+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12				

Ringed entries are subjects more than 1 age group out from that expected.

.

TABLE 4

Comparison of ages recorded in 1980 and 1985 after exclusion of 15 reject follow-up questionnaires

					1985 ag	e group				
		25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-59	50-54	55-59	60-64	65+
1980	25-29	8	35	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
age group	30-34	1	5	45	9	2	1	0	0	0
	35-39	0	2	15	70	3	1	0	0	0
	40-44	0	0	1	9	63	3	2	0	0
	45-49	0	0	0	1	10	54	3	0	0
	50-54	0	0	0	0	0	8	50	1	1
	55-59	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	53	3
	60-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	18	36
	65+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12

TABLE 5

Difference between age as stated in 1980 and in 1985

		N	<u>Mal</u> % e	e N stima	N te	Fema	ale N estimate	N	*	N estimate
Age group (1980)	Age difference									
25-34	<-2	0	0.0	0	1	1.6	0	1	0.9	0
	-2,-1	0	0.0	0	Ō	0.0	Ō	ō	0.0	ŏ
	0,1	0	0.0	0	2	3.2	Ö	2	1.8	ŏ
	2,3	4	8.5	0	5	8.0	1	9	8.1	i
	4,5	32	68.1	0	43	68.3	0	75	68.2	Ō
	6,7	4	8.5	0	7	11.1	Ö	11	10.0	ő
	8,9	4	8.5	2	4	6.3	1	8	7.3	3
	10,11	1	2.1	0	0	0.0	0	1	0.9	ō
	12+	2	4.3	0	1	1.6	0	3	2.7	Ŏ
35-44	<-2	0	0.0	0	2	2.3	1	2	1.2	1
	-2,-1	1	1.2	0	0	0.0	0	1	0.6	0
	0,1	3	3.7	0	1	1.1	0	4	2.4	0
	2,3	5	6.2	2	10	11.4	1	15	8.8	3
	4,5	58	71.6	0	67	76.2	1	125	74.0	3 1
	6,7	7	8.7	1	5	5.6	0	12	7.1	1
	8,9	3	3.7	0	1	1.1	0	4	2.4	0
	10,11	1	1.2	0	1	1.1	0	2	1.2	0
	12+	3	3.7	2	1	1.1	0	4	2.4	2
45-54	<-2	0	0.0	0	1	1.8	0	1	0.8	0 .
	-2,-1	0	0.0	0	2	3.6	2	2	1.6	2
	0,1	1	1.4	0	2	3.6	0	3	2.3	0
	2,3	3	4.2	0	1	1.8	1	4	3.1	1
	4,5	56	77.7	0	46	82.2	1	102	79.7	1
	6,7	7	9.7	0	2	3.6	0	9	7.1	0
	8,9	3	4.2	0	1	1.8	1	4	3.1	1
	10,11	2	2.8	0	1	1.8	0	3	2.3	Ō
	12+	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0

TABLE 5 (contd.)

Difference between age as stated in 1980 and in 1985

			Male			Fema				tal
		N	8	N stimate	N	ક	N estimate	N	*	N estimate
			e:	scimace			escimace			escimace
Ago group	A = 0									
Age group (1980)	Age difference									
(2500)	01110101100									
55-65	<-2	2	3.4	0	4	5.4	0	6	4.5	0
33 03	-2,-1	Õ	0.0	Ö	3	4.1		3	2.3	0
	0,1	2	3.4	Ö	2	2.7		4	3.0	Ö
	2,3	5	8.5	1	5	6.8		10	7.6	4
	4,5	38	64.4	0	50			88	66.1	1
	6,7	4	6.8	1	9	12.2		13	9.8	1
	8,9	4	6.8	0	0	0.0	0	4	3.0	0
	10,11	0	0.0	0	1	1.4		1	0.8	0 1
	12+	4	6.8	1	0	0.0	0	4	3.0	1
Total	<-2	2	0.8	0	8	2.8	1	10	1.9	1
	-2,-1	1	0.4	0	5	1.8		6	1.1	2
	0,1	6	2.3	0	7	2.5		13	2.4	0
	2,3	17	6.5	3	21	7.5		38	7.0	9 3
	4,5	184	71.1	0	206	73.3		390	72.3	3
	6,7	22	8.5	2	23	8.2		45	8.3	2
	8,9	14	5.4	2	6	2.1		20	3.7	4
	10,11	4	1.5	0	3	1.1		7	1.3	0
	12+	9	3.5	3	2.	0.7	0	11	2.0	3

TABLE 6

Consistency of 1980 and 1985 statements about smoking habits - any product

#### 1980 Statement 1985 Statement Current $\underline{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{x}$ Never Current <u>Started</u> DK 24 3 1(II+)pre 1980 187 8 2(II) Ex Started Stopped DK DK 1(III\*?) 0 0 DK pre 1980 0 2 1(II+)DK 1980 or after 1 0 pre 1980 DK 1(III\*?) 2 0 pre 1980 pre 1980 2(III\*) 56 14(II) pre 1980 1980 or after 50 15 4(II)

- N.B. There were no subjects who 1. started smoking after 1980
  - 2. claimed to stop before they started

10(I)

152

4(I\*)

Never

I, II, III indicate various types of inconsistency (see text)

<sup>\*</sup> may include occasional smokers

<sup>?</sup> uncertain, as year gave up was not stated

<sup>+</sup> assuming started before 1980

TABLE 7

Type I inconsistency of statements about smoking status

		Total			kers 1985		% Type I Inconsistency		
		subjects	1980 current	1980 ex	1980 never	Total	Overall	of never	
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)		smokers	
		(=/	(-)	(0)	(-)	(0)	<u>b+c</u> % a	<u>b+c</u> %	
Manufac	ctured	cigarettes					_		
Male	25-34	47	1	2	14	17	6.4	17.6	
	35-44	81	2(1)	2 2 3	22(23)	26		15.4(11.5)	
	45-54	72 50	0	2	12	14	2.8	14.3	
	55-65 Total	59 250	0	3 9	7	10	5.1	30.0	
	Iocal	259	3(2)	9	55(56)	67	4.6(4.2)	17.9(16.4)	
Female	25-34	63	0	1	28	29	1.6	3.4	
	35-44	88	1(0)	2	30(31)	33	3.4(2.3)	9.1(6.1)	
	45-54	56	1(0)	1	21(22)	23	3.6(1.8)	8.7(4.3)	
	55-65	74	0	0	28	28	0.0	0.0	
	Total	281	2(0)	4	107(109)	113	2.1(1.4)	5.3(3.5)	
Sexes									
combine									
	Total	540	5(2)	13	162(165)	180	3.3(2.8)	10.0(8.3)	
11	.11.4	•							
Hand-re	orreg C	igarettes							
	Male	259	7	3	178	188	3.9	5.3	
Pipe	V-1-	050	2	,	100	100			
	Male	259	3	6	189	198	3.5	4.5	
Cigars									
	Male	259	9	4	198	211	5.0	6.2	
Any pro				_					
Male	25-34	47	1	1	14	16	4.3	12.5	
	35-44	81	0	1	18	19	1.2	5.3	
	45-54	72 50	1	0	10	11	1.4	9.1	
	55-65	59 250	0 2	4	4	8	6.8	50.0	
	Total	259	2	6	46	54	3.1	14.8	
Female	25-34	63	0	1	28	29	1.6	3.4	
	35-44	88	1(0)	2	30(31)			9.1(6.1)	
	45-54	56	1(0)	1	21(22)	23	3.6(1.8)		
	55-64	74	0	0	27`	27	0.0	0.0	
	Total	281	2(0)	4	(106(108)	112	2.1(1.4)	5.4(3.6)	
Sexes									
combine	ed								
	Total	540	4(2)	10	152(154)	166	2.6(2.2)	8.4(7.2)	

N.B. Bracketed figures are adjusted (see text)

TABLE 8

Listing of data for subjects with Type I inconsistent status for smoking manufactured cigarettes

(Never smoked man.cigs in 1985, but current or ex-smoker of man.cigs in 1980)

Serial	1980 Man.cig. Year gave smoking up		Other smoking products 1980 1985							Age	Age	
			(any product)	HR	Pipe (	Cigar	HR	Pipe	Cigar		1980	1985
		*										
11451	Current	20	-	N	N	N	N	N	N	М	34	46
12290	Current	12	•	N	N	N	N	C	N	M	36	41
12507	Ex	10	80	N	N	N	N	N	N	M	40	50
12525	Current	6	•	C	N	N	С	N	N	M	35	35
13065	Ex	20	65	N	N	N	N	N	N	M	55	61
13071	Ex	20	69	N	N	N	N	N	N	M	33	38
13084	Ex	20	-	C	Ex	N	С	N	N	M	54	59
13199	Ex	20	74	N	N	Ex	N	N	N	M	63	67
13395	Ex	4	35	N	N	N	N	N	N	M	60	72
13594	Ex	10	-	N	C	N	N	N	N	M	49	52
13878	Ex	5	80	N	N	N	N	С	N	M	35	37
14156	Ex	5	65	N	N	N	N	С	N	М	33	38
20273	Ex	3	missing	N	N	N	N	N	N	F	39	43
21082	Ex	20	63	N	N	N	N	N	N	F	37	42
21333	Ex	15	77	N	N	N	N	N	N	F	25	29
21855	Current	1	-	N	N	N	N	N	N	F	44	49
23237	Current	1	-	N	N	N	N	N	N	F	46	50
23367	Ex	5	64	N	N	N	N	N	N	F	51	57

N - never

C - current

<sup>\*</sup> Current smokers - number smoked yesterday; ex-smokers - average number smoked per day when gave up

TABLE 9

Listing of data for subjects with Type I inconsistent status for smoking any product

(Never smoked in 1985, but current or ex-smoker in 1980)

		1980	smoking					Ag	<u>e</u>
<u>Serial</u>	Man.cigs	N man.cigs*	Handrolled	Pipe	Cigar	Year	<u>Sex</u>	1980	1985
						gave			
						up			
11451	Current	20	Never	Never	Never	-	M	34	46
12507	Ex	10	Never	Never	Never	80	M	40	50
13065	Ex	20	Never	Never	Never	65	M	55	61
13071	Ex	20	Never	Never	Never	69	M	33	38
13199	Ex	20	Never	Never	Ex	74	M	63	67
13286	Never	-	Never	Ex	Never	67	M	60	64
13395	Ex	4	Never	Never	Never	35	M	60	72
13594	Ex	10	Never	Current	Never	-	M	49	52
20273	Ex	3	Never	Never	Never	missing	F	39	43
21082	Ex	20	Never	Never	Never	63	F	37	42
21333	Ex	15	Never	Never	Never	77	F	25	29
21855	Current	/1	Never	Never	Never	•	F	44	49
23237	Current	1	Never	Never	Never	-	F	46	50
23367	Ex	<b>š</b>	Never	Never	Never	64	F	51	57

<sup>\*</sup> Current smokers - number smoked yesterday; ex-smokers - average smoked per day when gave up

Duration of smoking among 1980 smokers (current or ex)

(excluding Type III inconsistent subjects)

TABLE 10

,		1-10	Years smoked u 11-20	up to 1980 21-30	31+
Subjects who			_		_
reported never	N	5	2	5	1
having smoked in 1985	*	38.5	15.4	38.5	7.7
Subjects who					
reported being	N	29	96	84	128
current or ex-	ક	8.6	28.5	24.9	38.0

TABLE 11

Time of stopping smoking among 1980 ex-smokers

			Year of giving up+	
		Before 1960	1960-1969	1970-1980
Subjects who	N	1	<sub>.</sub> 5	3
reported never	8	11.1	55.6	33.3
having smoked			•	
in 1985				
Subjects who	N	6	14	43
reported being	ક	9.5	22.2	68.3
ex-smokers in 198	5			

<sup>+</sup> As stated in 1980.

TABLE 12

Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked at time of giving up among 1980 ex-smokers of manufactured cigarettes

		Number s	smoked at	t time of giving	up
		1-17	3	L8-22	23+
Subjects who	N	8		5	0
reported never	8	61.5	;	38.5	0.0
having smoked					
manufactured					
cigarettes in					
1985					
Subjects who	N	24		19	32
reported being	ક	32.0	:	25.3	42.7
ex-smokers of					
manufactured					
cigarettes in					
1985					

TABLE 13

Type II inconsistency of statements about smoking status - any product

							% Ty	pe II	
		Total	Neve:	r smok	ers in	1980	incons	istency	
		subjects	1985	1985	1985	Total	overall	of neve	er
			current	ex	never				
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<u>b+c</u> %	<u>b+c</u> %	CLASS.
							a	e	
Male	25-34	47	0	1	14	15	2.1	6.7	Ø.
	34-44	81	1	1 2	18	21	3.7	14.3	4.8
	45 - 54	72	1 1	3	10	14	5.6	28.6	-7-1
	55-65	59	1 3	4	4	9	8.5	55.6	tre i
	Total	259	3	10	46	59	5.0	22.0	
Female	25-34	63	0	0	00	20	2 0		
remare	34-44	88	0 0	2 1	28	30	3.2	6.7	
	45-54				30	31	1.1	3.2	
		56 77	0	1	21	22	1.8	4.5	
	55-65	74	0	5	27	32	6.7	15.6	
	Total	281	0	9	106	115 ·	3.2	7.8	
Sexes									
combine									
	Total	540	3	19	152	174	4.1	12.6	

### TABLE 14

Listing of data for subjects with Type II inconsistent status for smoking any product

(Never smoked in 1980, but current or ex-smoker in 1985, having started smoking before 1980)

### 1985 smoking

			Hand-			Year		Αg	ge	Year
<u>Serial</u>	Mancigs.	Nmancigs.	rolled	Pipe	Cigars	gave up	Sex	1980	1985	started
										*
10154	T	0.4	E	Manage	E-4	76	M	7. 1	1.0	cc
	Ex	24	Ex	Never	Ex	76	M	41	46	. 55
10371	Ex	3	Never	Ex	Ex	81	M	50	58	. 44
10738	Ex	6	Never	Never	Never	43	M	52	56	41
10971	Ex	10	Never	Never	Never	75	M	58	62	39
11795	Current	0	Never	Never	Never	-	M	44	49	50
12059	Ex	1	Never	Never	Never	44	M	63	67	missing
12678	Ex	10	Never	Never	Never	55	M	59	64	52
12762	Never	-	Never	Never	Curren	ıt -	M	57	62	43
12769	Ex	20	Never	Never	Never	60	M	48	51	52
13132	Ex	missing	Never	Never	Never	68	M	33	42	63
13428	Current	3 -	Never	Never	Never	-	M	45	50	missing
13789	Ex	5	Never	Never	Never	58	M	40	45	57
13792	Ex	99	Never	Never	Never	71	M	62	64	30
20123	Ex	10	Never	Never	Never	82	F	63	67	40
21003	Ex	5	Never	Never	Never	70	F	34	52	66
21430	Ex	10	Never	Never	Never	83	F	43	46	54
22261	Ex	1	Never	Never	Never	75	F	53	59	43
22294	Ex	10	Never	Never	Never	81	F	25	26	72
22319	Ex	20	Never	Never	Never	60	F	65	72	32
23612	Ex	20	Never	Never	Never	71	F	56	63	42
23801	Ex	5	Never	Never	Never	78	F	59	62	35
24338	Ex	1	Never	Never	Never	55	F	65	69	33
_ ,		_			1,0,02		-		9 2	J J

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  Based on age as stated in 1980 and age of starting smoking, as stated in 1985.

TABLE 15

Duration of smoking among 1985 current or ex smokers

(excluding Type III inconsistent subjects)

		1-10	Years smoked 11-20	up to 1985 21-30	31+
Subjects who reported never having smoked in 1980	g	7	0	5	9
	N	33.0	0.0	23.8	42.9
Subjects who reported being current or exsmokers in 1980	N	14	64	91	149
	%	4.4	20.1	28.6	46.9

Table 16

Time of stopping smoking among 1985 ex-smokers

		Ye	ear of giving up	+
		Before 1960	1960-1969	1970-1980
Subjects who reported never having smoked in 1980*	N	5	3	7
	%	33.3	20.0	46.7
Subjects who reported being ex-smokers in 1980	N	6	13	44
	%	9.5	20.6	69.8

<sup>+</sup> As stated in 1985.

<sup>\*</sup> And who in 1985 reported starting before 1980.

TABLE 17

Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked at time of giving up among 1985 ex-smokers of manufactured cigarettes

		Number smoked	at time of givin	** g up
		1-17	18-22	23+
Subjects who reported never having smoked any product in 1980*+	N %	12 70.6	3 17.6	2 11.8
Subjects who reported being current or exsmokers of any product in 1980+	N %	52 36.1	38 26.4	54 37.5

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  And who in 1985 reported starting before 1980.

<sup>\*\*</sup> As stated in 1985

<sup>+</sup> Time of starting and stopping was not available for individual products

TABLE 18

Listing of data for subjects with Type III inconsistent status

(1980 current smoker, 1985 gave up before 1980)

	1	1980	smoki	ng	1	1985 smoking								
<u>Serial</u>	<u>мс</u>	<u>HR</u>	PIPE	CGR	мс	<u>HR</u>	PIPE	CGR	Year started	Year gave up	Sex	Ag <u>80</u>	ge <u>85</u>	
10201	Ex40	N	N	C	Ex40	N	N	N	54	71	M	46	54	
22819	N	C	N	N	N	Ex	N	N	39	79	F	58	63	
22380	C12	N	N	N	Ex20	N	N	N	59	missing	F	37	43	
23315	C8	N	N	N	Ex15	N	N	N	missing	missing	F	60	55	

Key: N = non-smoker, C = current smoker, Ex = ex-smoker MC = manufactured cigarettes, HR = handrolled, CGR = cigar

### TABLE 19

## Smoking by spouse in 1980, by consistency of statements about own smoking habits

#### A. Married subjects

	-	1 se ) where e smokes	2 % (base ) with smoker other than spouse			
Type I inconsistent	38.5	(13)	20.0	(5)		
Type II inconsistent	11.1	(18)	0.0	(5)		
Type III inconsistent	50.0	(2)	100.0	(1)		
Consistent never smokers	29.2	(137)	28.9	(45)		
Consistent smokers	45.4	(295)	32.7	(107)		

### B. Unmarried subjects

% (base ) with other smoker

Type I	inconsistent	-	(0)				
Type II	inconsistent	0.0	(2)				
Type III	inconsistent	-	(0)				
Consistent never smokers 44.4 (							
Consistent smokers 52.2 (23)							

- 1. Married subjects.
- 2. Married subjects with adults in household apart from subject and spouse, but omitting any subjects claiming more smokers than adults in household.
- 3. Unmarried subjects with adults in household apart from subject, but omitting any subjects claiming more smokers than adults in household.

TABLE 20

Inconsistency rates among those whose spouse did or did not smoke, and among those where another household member did or did not smoke in 1980

### Al. Married subjects

	Spouse smoked man.cigs in 1980	Total subjects	N with inconsistency	% with inconsistency
Type I inconsistency among 1985 never smokers	No	105	8	7.6
	Yes	45	5	11.1
	Total	150	13	8.7
Type II inconsistency among 1980 never smokers	No	113	16	14.2
	Yes	42	2	4.8
	Total	155	18	11.6
Type III inconsistency among 1980 current/1985 ex-smokers	No	24	1	4.2
	Yes	22	1	4.5
	Total	46	2	4.3

### A2. Married subjects with other adults in household

	Smoker other than spouse in household in 1980	Total subjects	N with inconsistency	% with inconsistency
Type I inconsistency among 1985 never	No Yes	36 14	4 1	11.1 7.1
smokers	Total	50	5	10.0
Type II inconsistency	No	37	5	13.5
among 1980 never smokers	Yes Total	13 50	0 5	0.0 10.0
Type III inconsistency among 1980 current/1985	No Var	12	0	0.0
ex-smokers	Yes Total	9 21	1 1	11.1 4.8

Excluding any subjects claiming more smokers than adults in household.

TABLE 21

Smoking habits in 1980 - Agreement between 1980 statements
and 1985 recall statements

1980 statement	1985 statement	Ma N	le %	Fema N	ale	Overa N	- :11 %
Manufactured		11	•	N	70	N	- <b>15</b>
Yes No	Yes No	101 128	39.9 50.6	123 139	44.4 50.2	224 267	42.3 50.4
Yes No	No Yes	6 18	2.4 7.1	2 13	0.7 4.7	8 31	1.5 5.8
То	tal	253		277		530	
Handrolled ci	garettes						
Yes No	Yes No	20 209	8.0 83.3	4 268	1.4 96.8	24 477	4. <b>5</b> 90.3
Yes No	No Yes	10 12	4.0 4.8	3 2	1.1 0.7	13 14	2.5 2.7
То	tal	251		277		528	
Pipe							
Yes No	Yes No	17 218	6.8 86.9	0 275			
Yes No	No Yes	5 11	2.0 4.4	0 0			
Tot	tal	251					
Cigars							
Yes No	Yes No	7 217	2.8 86.5	1 275	0.4 99.3	8 492	1.5 93.2
Yes No	No Yes	12 15	4.8 6.0	0 1	0.0 0.4	12 16	2.3
Tot	tal	251		277		528	
Any product							
Yes No	Yes No	130 93	51.6 36.9	127 134	45.8 48.4	257 227	48.6 42.9
Yes No	No Yes	8 21	3.2 8.3	3 13	1.1 4.7	11 34	2.1 6.4
Tot	cal	252		277		529	

TABLE 22

Smoking habits in 1980 - Agreement between 1980 statements and 1985 recall statements - broken down by age

	1980	1985 statement	Ma N	le %	Fem N	ale %	Over N	all %		
				·		v	21	· ·		
Manuf	Manufactured cigarettes									
25-34		Yes	18	39.1	27	45.0	45	42.5		
	No	No	23	50.0	30	50.0	53 ·	50.0		
	Yes	No Voc	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
	No	Yes	5	10.9	3	5.0	8	7.5		
	To	otal	46		60		106			
35-44	Yes No	Yes No	27 45	34.2 57.0	43 41	48.9 46.6	70 86	41.9 51.5		
	NO	NO		37.0	41	40.0	00	21.5		
	Yes No	No Yes	2 5	2.5 6.3	1 3	1.1 3.4	3 8	1.8 4.8		
				0.5	-	3.4	0	4.0		
	To	otal	79		88		167			
45-54	Yes No	Yes No	27 33	39.1 47.8	24 28	42.9 50.0	51 61	40.8 48.8		
	Yes No	No Yes	3 6	4.3 8.7	1 3	1.8 5.4	4 9	3.2 7.2		
				0.7		3.4		7.2		
	То	tal	69		56		125			
,	••				•					
55-64	Yes No	Yes No	29 27	49.2 45.8	29 40	39.7 54.8	58 67	43.9 50.8		
	37.	<b>N</b> T								
	Yes No	No Yes	1 2	1.7 3.4	0 4	0.0 5.5	1 6	0.8 4.5		
	m-		5.0		70			-		
	10	tal	59		73		132			

TABLE 23

Type of product smoked in 1980 as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985

(restricted to men who stated smoking at both times)

1980 statement								
1985 recall statement	MC	HR	MC+HR	Pipe	Cigar	P + C	Cigs + P/C	Total
MC	64	1	2	0	0	0	7	74
HR	0	6	2	0	0	0	1	9
MC+HR	6	. 2	2	0	0	0	1	11
Pipe	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	8
Cigar	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
P + C	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Cigs+P/C	3	0	4	2	0	. 0	11	20
Total	73	10	10	9	5	0	20	127

TABLE 24

Overall change in type of product smoked in 1980 as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985

(restricted to subjects who stated smoking at both times)

	1980 N	statement %	1985 N	statement %	Ch N	ange %
<u>Men</u>						
MC HR MC+HR Pipe Cigar Pipe+cigar Cigs+Pipe/cigar	73 10 10 9 5 0 20	57.5 7.9 7.9 7.1 3.9 0.0 15.7	74 9 11 8 3 2 20	58.3 7.1 8.7 6.3 2.4 1.6 15.7	+1 -1 +1 -1 -2 +2 0	+0.8 -0.8 +0.8 -0.8 -1.6 +1.6
Women						
MC HR MC+HR Cigar	118 3 3 1	94.4 2.4 2.4 0.8	118 2 4 1	94.4 1.6 3.2 0.8	0 -1 +1 0	0.0 -0.8 +0.8 0.0
Total	125		125			

TABLE 25

Accuracy of recall of 1980 smoking habits according to 1985 smoking habits

	1980 and	1985 state	ements same	1980 and	1985 state	ements differ
1980 statement	Correct recall (a)	Incorrect recall (b)	% Incorrect (100b/(a+b))		Incorrect recall (d)	% Incorrect (100d/(c+d))
Manufactured o	igarettes					
Yes No	170 261	2 23	1.2 8.1	54 6	6 8	10.0 57.1
Handrolled cig	garettes					
Yes No	16 474	2 5	11.1	8 3	11 9	57.9 75.0
<u>Pipe</u>						
Yes No	13 485	0 7	0.0 1.4	4 8	5 4	55.6 33.3
Cigar	•					
Yes No	5 479	3 12	37.5 2.4	3 13	9 4	75.0 23.5
Any product						
Yes No	205 225	4 22	1.9 8.9	52 2	7 12	11.9 85.7

TABLE 26

Type of product smoked in 1980 as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985, according to 1985 smoking products

(Restricted to men who stated smoking in 1980 in both interviews)

_	85 recall	MC	HR	MC+HR	1980 sta Pipe	Cigar	P + C	Cigs + P/C	Total
a)	Non-smok	er in	1985						
	MC HR MC+HR Pipe Cigar P+C Cigs+P/C Total	13 0 1 0 0 0 0 14	1 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 2 4	16 1 2 1 1 5 27
b)	1985 pro MC HR MC+HR Pipe Cigar P+C Cigs+P/C Total	41 0 0 0 0 0 1 42	same as 0 3 1 0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 2 0 0 0 1 3	original 0 0 0 5 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 ,0 0 0 0 0 4 5	42 3 3 5 0 1 6
c)	MC HR MC+HR Pipe Cigar P+C Cigs+P/C Total	10 0 5 0 0 0 2	0 2 0 1 0 0 0	from 19 2 2 0 0 0 1 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 0	inal state  0 0 0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 1 1 0 0 0 5	16 5 6 2 2 0 9

TABLE 27

Accuracy of recall of type of product smoked according to 1985 smoking habits

(Restricted to men who stated at both interviews that they smoked in 1980)

1980 statement	Correct recall (a)	Incorrect recall (b)	% Incorrect (100b/(a+b))	Incorrect recall but same as 1985 (c)	% of incorrect same as 1985 (100c/b)
Non-smoker 198	<u>5</u>				
MC HR MC+HR Pipe/Cigars Cigs+P/C Total	13 1 0 2 2 18	1 2 2 2 2 2 9	7.1 66.7 100.0 50.0 50.0 33.3		
1985 products	same as 19	180			
MC HR MC+HR Pipe/Cigars Cigs+P/C Total	41 3 2 5 4 55	1 1 1 1 5	2.4 25.0 33.3 20.0 20.0		
1985 products	differ fro	om 1980			
MC HR MC+HR Pipe/Cigars	10 2 0	7 1 5	41.2 33.3 100.0 25.0	3 1 4	42.9 100.0 80.0
Cigs+P/C Total	3 5 20	6 20	54.5 50.0	0 4 12	0.0 66.6 60.0

TABLE 28

Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked in 1980 as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985

(restricted to subjects who stated smoking man.cigs at both times)

1985 recall				1980 statement		
statement		0-17	18-22	23+	Total	
		•				
		·				
<b>-</b>			•	2	00	
Male	1-17 18-22	17 10	3 18	2 10	22 38	
	23+	4	12	23	39	
	Total	31	33	35	99	
Female	1-18	42	10	5	57	
1 CMAIC	18-22	15	24	11	50	
	23+	0	4	9	13	
	Total	57	38	25	120	
Total	1-17	59	13	7	79	
	18-22	25	42	21	88	
	23+	4	16	32	52	
	Total	88	71	60	219	

TABLE 29

Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked in 1980 as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985 - broken down by 1985 level of manufactured cigarette consumption

1985 statement	1985 recall of 1980	0-7	1980 8-17	statement 18-22	23-32	33+
0-7 .	0-7 8-17 18-22 23-32 33+	18 4 2 0 1	4 17 3 0	2 1 7 4 3	1 0 2 3 5	0 1 1 0
8-17	0-7 8-17 18-22 23-32 33+	4 3 0 0	2 19 9 2 0	0 9 14 2 0	1 1 3 0 0	0 0 1 0
18-22	0-7 8-17 18-22 23-32 33+	1 0 3 0 0	0 3 9 1 0	0 2 17 2 0	1 1 6 4 0	0 1 5 0 1
23-32	0-7 8-17 18-22 23-32 33+	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	1 0. 3 3	0 1 2 4 1	0 0 1 4 0
33+	0-7 8-17 18-22 23-32 33+	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 3

TABLE 30

Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked

Error in recall related to change in smoking between 1980 and 1985

	Under	Erro stated	Cor		-	stated	<u>Total</u>
Change in smoking level (actual)	N	ક		·/-2) %	N	ૠ	
Reduced by 13 or more	29	53.7	11	20.4	14	25.9	54
Reduced by 3-12	27	35.1	30	39.0	20	26.0	77
Unchanged within +/-2	6	10.3	39	67.2	13	22.4	58
Increased by 3-12	4	10.5	10	26.3	24	63.2	38
Increased by 13 or more	0	0.0	4	28.6	10	71.4	14

	Reca	alled c	hange in s	moking	level
	Down		Unchanged		Up
	13+		(+/-2)		13+
Changes in smoking level (actual)	N	N	N	N	N
Reduced by 13 or more	32	7	11	3	1
Reduced by 3-12	13	37	22	4	1
Unchanged within +/-2	2	13	37	6	0
Increased by 3-12	0	4	21	11	2
Increased by 13 or more	1	0	7	2	4

# TABLE 31 Errors of recall in brand smoked

# (a) Size and filter/plain classification as stated in 1980 and as recalled in 1985

			Filt	er			P1	ain
Brand stated in 1980	Sub A	Α	Int	В	KS	>KS	A	B+C
Sub A Filter	. 3	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
A Filter	0	13		1	2	0	1	0
Intermediate Filter	0	1				0		
B Filter	0	0		7		0		
King Size Filter	1	3	8			10	0	1
> King Size Filter	0	0	0	2	2	5	0	0
A Plain	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0
B and C Plain	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
Recall correct							128 -	67.0%
Filter recalled correctoriginally reported	tly, si	ze la	arger	than			30 <b>–</b>	15.7%
Filter recalled correctoriginally reported	tly, si	ze sı	maller	tha	n		30 =	15.7%
Filter reported in 198	O, plai	n re	called	i			2 =	1.0%
Plain reported in 1980	, filte	r re	called	l			1 -	0.5%
Total							191 =	100.0%

### TABLE 31 (continued)

### Errors of recall in brand smoked

# (b) Errors in brand name classified by manufactured cigarette smoking habits in 1985

Smoking habits in 1985	<u>N</u>	Recall of 1980 brand smoked
Non-smoker of manufactured cigarettes	48	Correct 23 = 47.9% Incorrect 25 = 52.1%
Smoker of manufactured cigarettes 1980 & 1985 brand unchanged	52	Correct 41 = 78.8% Incorrect 11 = 21.2%
Smoker of manufactured cigarettes 1980 & 1985 brand differs	91	Correct 22 = 24.2% Incorrect As 1985 brand 20 = 22.0% Not 1985 brand 49 = 53.8% Total 69 = 75.8%

Age finished education - consistency of answers given in 1980 and 1985

			1980	stateme	ent	
1985 statement	-15	16	17	18	19-23	24+/not finished
-15	323	32	3	0	1	0
16	21	50	7	2	1	2
17	4	5	22	8	0	0
18	1	1	6	5	1	1
19-23	2	0	1	2	26	2
24+/not finished	0	0	0	0	1	1

TABLE 33

Age started smoking - consistency of answers
given in 1980 and 1985

			1980	) stateme	ent		
1985 statement	<12	12-13	14-15	16-17	18-19	20-24	25+
<12	5	1	2	0	0	0	0
12-13	7	5	.8	0	1	0	0
14-15	1	4	58	22	2	0	0
16-17	1	2	21	42	9	0	0
18-19	0	0	4	12	36	8	0
20-24	1	1	2	6	10	19	4

2

0

1

10

0 0

25+

TABLE 34

Year gave up smoking - consistency of answers given in 1980 and 1985

### (restricted to subjects who were ex-smokers in both 1980 and 1985)

### 1980 statement

1985 statement	<40	41-50	51-60	61-65	66-70	71-75	76-80
<40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41-50	1 -	3	0	0	0	0	0
51-60	0	0	4	0	0	1	0
61-65	0	0	1	3 .	2	0	0
66-70	0	0	0	3	3	4	0
71-75	0	0	0	0	2	8	6
76-80	0	0	0	0	1	4	17
81-85	0	0	1	0 .	0	0	8

TABLE 35
Which product smoked when gave up - consistency of answers given in 1980 and 1985

(restricted to subjects who were ex-smokers in 1980 and ex-smokers in 1985 having given up by 1980)

### 1980 statement

1985 statement	MC	HR	MC+HR	Pipe	Cigar	Pipe + Cigar	Cigarette + Pipe/Cigar
Males							
Manufactured cigarettes (MC)	19	0	0	1	0	0	2
Hand rolled cigarettes (HR)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
MC+HR	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
Pipe	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cigar	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Pipe + Cigar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cigarettes + Pipe/Cigar	9	0	0	0	0	0	1

Females	MC
Manufactured	
cigarettes (MC)	24
MC + HR	1

TABLE 36

Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked when gave up consistency of answers given in 1980 and 1985

(ex-smokers of man. cigs in 1980 and ex-smokers of man. cigs in 1985 having given up by 1980)

7	Q	Q	ሰ	statement	-
æ	7	ŁJ	u	Statement	_

1985 statement	1-7	8-12	13-17	18-22	23-27	28-32	33+
1- 7	4	0	0	1	0	0	0
8-12	4	7	1	0	0	0	0
13-17	0	1	3	2	0	0	1
18-22	0	1	1	8	2	1	3
23-27	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
28-32	0	0	0	0	1	4	2
33+	0	1	0	4	0	2	13

TABLE 37

Changes in smoking habits (any product) between 1980 and 1985 in relation to various other factors

(excluding Types I, II and III inconsistent subjects)

		Ex-smoker 1980 Smoker 1985 %(N)	Smoker 1980 Ex-smoker 1985 %(N)	Base
Total		2.2(11)	10.6(53)	502
Male	25-34	6.8(3)	11.4(5)	44
	35-44	3.9(3)	10.4(8)	77
	45-54	1.5(1)	13.6(9)	66
	55-65	0.0(0)	14.0(7)	50
	Total	3.0(7)	12.2(29)	237
Female	25-34	1.7(1)	5.0(3)	60
	35-44	1.2(1)	13.1(11)	84
	45-54	1.9(1)	3.8(2)	53
	55-65	1.5(1)	11.8(8)	68
	Total	1.5(4)	9.1(24)	265
Male	Social class up (80-85)	2.6(1)	10.3(4)	39
	Social class same	3.0(4)	12.1(16)	132
	Social class down	3.0(2)	13.6(9)	66
Female	Social class up (80-85)	0.0(0)	13.3(6)	45
	Social class same	1.4(2)	9.9(14)	142
	Social class down	2.6(2)	5.2(4)	77
Male	Still employed* (80-85) Became unemployed Became employed* Still unemployed	3.5(6) 0.0(0) 0.0(0) 6.3(1)	11.0(19) 19.1(9) 0.0(0) 6.3(1)	172 47 2 16
Female	Still employed* (80-85) Became unemployed Became employed* Still unemployed	0.0(0) 2.2(1) 0.0(0) 1.9(3)	4.9(2) 8.7(4) 11.8(2) 9.9(16)	41 46 17 161

<sup>\*</sup> Full-time

TABLE 38

Change in detailed smoking group between 1980 and 1985

(excluding Types I, II and III inconsistent subjects)

n. t			Pro	ducts s	moked	in 1980		<b>a:</b>	<b>.</b>
Products smoked	None	MC	HR	MC+HR	Pipe	Cigar	Pipe+ Cigar	Cigarettes+ Pipe/Cigar	Total
in 1985	Mone	MO	1110	MOTIK	ripe	Olgai	Oigai	ripe/Cigar	
2 2703									
<u>Male</u>									
None	93	14	4	2	2	3	0	4	122
Manufactured									
cigarettes (MC)	1	43	1	3	0	0	0	3	51
Handrolled (HR)	0	2	5	1	0	0	0	3 1	9
MC+HR	1	3	0	3	0	0	0 .	3	10
Pipe	2	1	1	0	5	0	0	3 1 1	10
Cigars	3	7	0	0	1	2	0		14
Pipe + Cigar	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Cigarettes and									
pipe/cigar	0	6	1	1	1	3	0	8	20
Total	100	76	12	10	10	8	0	21	237
Female									
None	134	23	1	0		0			158
MC	3	95	0	2		0			100
MC+HR	0	2	2	1		0			5
Cigars	1	0	0	0		1.			2
Total	138	120	3	3		1			265

TABLE 39

Change in number of manufactured cigarettes smoked between 1980 and 1985

### (Smokers of manufactured cigarettes at both times)

Number smoked			Number	smoked	in 198	0		
in 1985	0-7	8-12	13-17	18-22	23-27	28-32	33+	Total
<u>Male</u>								
0-7 8-12 13-17 18-22 23-27 28-32 33+ Total	1 0 2 0 0 0	3 3 2 2 0 0 0	1 4 1 2 0 0 0 8	0 6 1 10 3 1 3 24	0 0 1 4 0 4 0 9	1 0 3 0 0 1 6	0 0 1 5 3 0 2	6 15 6 28 6 5 6 72
<u>Female</u>								
0-7 8-12 13-17 18-22 23-27 28-32 33+	5 1 0 0 0	3 9 3 1 .0 0	0 3 9 8 1 0	2 7 11 11 2 1	0 2 2 3 2 0	1 0 0 2 1 1	1 0 2 0 2	12 23 26 27 6 4 2
Total	7	16	21	34	10	5	7	100

TABLE 40

Change in filter/plain smoking habits between 1980 and 1985

(Smokers of manufactured cigarettes at both times)

		1980 habits	
1985 habits	Plain		Filter
<u>Male</u>		·	
Plain	6		0
Filter	3		63
<u>Female</u>			
Plain	1		0
Filter	0		99

TABLE 41

Reporting of manufactured cigarettes smoked as round numbers

(excluding answers of zero)

Number of manufactured cigarettes smoked

		Ending in a 0		Ending	in a 5	Other	
Smoking	<u>Sex</u>	<u>N</u> .	<u>8</u>	N	<del>8</del>	N	<u>*</u>
1980	M	60	57.7	16	15.4	28	26.9
	F	55	44.4	27	21.8	42	33.9
1985	M	38	48.7	9	11.5	31	39.7
	F	36	34.6	23	22.1	45	43.3
1985 recall of 1980	М	79	68.1	24	20.7	13	11.2
	F	77	57.9	33	24.8	23	17.3

TABLE 42

Inconsistency in smoking habits (any product) related to inconsistency in age

Between-survey age difference

1980/1985 smoking habits		4 or 5 years	Other 1 to 9 years	Other	<u>Total</u>
All subjects	N	390	106	44	540
Type I inconsistency	Я	8 2.1	3 2.8	3 6.8	14 2.6
Type II inconsistency	N %	11 2.8	10 9.4	1 2.3	22 4.1
Type III inconsistency	N %	0.3	1 0.9	0 0.0	2 0.4
Type I+II+III inconsistency	N &	20 5.1	14 13.2	4 9.1	38 7.0
Consistent never smokers	N %	114 29.2	25 23.6	13 29.5	152 28.1
Consistent smoker/ex-smoke	r N	256 65.6	67 63.2	27 61.4	350 64.8
Age of starting agrees	1 % 1	31.6	28.4	33.3	31.1
differs by 1 or 2 years	* 1	44.1	37.3	40.7	42.6
differs by 3 years or more	_	24.2	34.3	25.9	26.3

<sup>%</sup> is of consistent smoker/ex-smokers

includes those with missing age of starting to smoke

TABLE 42 (contd.)

# Inconsistency in smoking habits (any product) related to inconsistency in age

			4 or 5 years	Between-sur age differe Other 1 to 9 years		Total
	+ II + III inconsist	ent	<u> </u>	7	<u> </u>	
Male	25-44	N %	4 4.4	1 3.7	2 18.2	7 5.5
	45-65	N %	8 8.5	6 22.2	1 10.0	15 11.5
	Total	N %	12 6.5	7 13.0	3 14.3	22 8.5
Female	25-44	N %	4 3.6	2 6.1	1 12.5	7 4.6
	45-65	N %	4 4.2	5 26.3	0.0	9 6.9
	Total	N %	8 3.9	7 13.5	1 4.3	16 5.7
Age of	starting to smoke di	ffare	by 3 von	*		
Male	25-44	N 1	18	3	1	22
		ક	29.0	15.0	14.3	24.7
	45-65	N 1	15	11	3	29
		*	19.7	61.1	37.5	28.4
	Total	N 1	33	14	4	51
		ક	23.9	36.8	26.7	26.7
Female	25-44	N 1	10	4	1	15
		ક	15.9	22.2	20.0	17.4
	45-65	N 1	19	5	2	26
		ક	34.5	45.5	28.6	35.6
	Total	N 1	29	9	3	41
_		ક	24.6	31.0	25.0	25.8

<sup>%</sup> is of consistent smoker/ex-smokers

1

includes those with missing age of starting to smoke

TABLE 43

Combined Type I, II and III smoking habit inconsistency by between-survey age difference and between-survey difference in age of finish of education

# Between-survey difference

Age	Age of finish of education	Number of subjects	Number Type I,II,III inconsistent	<del>-8</del>
4 or 5 years	Same	320	18	5.6
4 or 5 years	* Differs	70	2	2.9
Other	Same	107	14	13.1
Other	* Differs	43	4	9.3

<sup>\*</sup>Or missing (don't know) in one or both surveys

TABLE 44

Inconsistency on age of starting to smoke by between-survey age difference and between-survey difference in age of finish of education

Between-s	survey difference		Manufactor and	
<u>Age</u>	Age of finish of education	Number of consistent smokers/ex-smokers	Number inconsistent on age of start to smoke+	<u> </u>
4 or 5	Same	210	50	23.8
years	*			
4 or 5 years	Differs	46	12	26.1
Other	Same	68	21	30.9
Other	* Differs	26	9	34.6

Or missing (don't know) in one or both surveys

By 3 or more years, or missing (don't know) in one or both surveys.

TABLE 45

# Inconsistency on age of starting to smoke by between-survey age difference and between-survey difference in year stopped smoking

Between-survey difference

Age	Year stopped smoking	Number of consistent ex-smokers**	Number inconsistent on age of start to smoke+	
4 or 5	Same	14	1	7.1
years	1-2 years	25	7	28.0
	3 years or mor	e* 9	2	22.2
Other	Same	1	0	0.0
	1-2 years	10	2	20.0
	3 years or mor	e* 4	1	25.0

Or missing (don't know) in one or both surveys

<sup>1980</sup> and 1985 ex-smokers who gave up smoking pre 1980

By 3 or more years, or missing (don't know) in one or both surveys.

TABLE 46

Inconsistency on age of starting to smoke by between-survey age-difference and between-survey difference in numbers of manufactured cigarettes smoked at time of giving up

Between	survey	difference
---------	--------	------------

Age	No.man.cigs at time of giving up	Number of consistent ex-smokers**	Number consistent on age of start to smoke+	<u>8</u>
4 or 5 years	Same	16	4	25.0
	1-5	16	2	12.5
	6+	16	4	25.0
Other	Same	·7	0	0.0
	1-5	3	1	33.3
	6+	5	2	40.0

Or missing (don't know) in one or both surveys

<sup>1980</sup> and 1985 ex-smokers who gave up smoking pre 1980

By 3 or more years, or missing (don't know) in one or both surveys.

TABLE 47

Discrepancies in recalling smoking at time of previous interview - Men

(Based on RP2 Tables 8A, 8B, 9A, 9B, RP2A Table 7)

	m •	_	% of smok			% of non-smokers who
Study	<u>Time</u> Elapsed		led being			<del>_</del>
Beddy	Erapsed	Any	Mancigs	rik.	Pipe	Any
1952 &						
<u>1957</u>	6 months	0.5	1	7	12	5
•	18 months	0.7	5 3 5 9	20	15	22
	2 years	0.0	3	24	11	44
	3 years	1.5	5	47	12	
	4 years	2.8		15	11	
	5 years	1.5	4	25	23	37
	7-9 years	3.0	9	52	21	35
						•
<u> 1964</u>						
	16 16	2.0				
	14-16 years	3.2				46
<u> 1985</u>				*		
	5	<b>5</b> 0	<b>.</b> .			
	5 years	5.8	5.6	33.3	22.7	18.4

TABLE 48

Informants who recalled, correctly or incorrectly, the types of product smoked at earlier interview

(Restricted to men. Excludes subjects who were non-smokers at both interviews and who recalled correctly having been a non-smoker)

(Based on RP2 Tables 17A,18, RP2A Tables 8,12,13)

## A. Overall

Study		o. of formants	Incor reca %		whose recal	1 re		% of correct whose recall - current
1957	6 months	188	9	17	88	91	171	95
	18 months	163	19 ·	31	74	81	132	95
	5 years	209	21	44	66	79	165	90
	7-9 years	214	26	56	66	74	158	83
1964	14-16 years	365	36	132	52	64	233	69
1985	5 years	158	40	63	37	60	95	61

# TABLE 48 (contd.)

Informants who recalled, correctly or incorrectly, the types of product smoked at earlier interview

(Restricted to men. Excludes subjects who were non-smokers at both interviews and who recalled correctly having been a non-smoker)

(Based on RP2 Tables 17A,18, RP2A Tables 8,12,13)

## B. Informants who had changed the types of products they smoked

Study	Time elapsed	Number of informants	Recall=		Reca		not same t but was:	as
J			N	<b>8</b>	Corr N	ect %	Incor N	rect %
1957	6 month	ıs 24	15	63	8	33	1	4
	18 month	ıs 33	23	70	7	21	3	9
	5 years	53	29	55	17	32	7	13
	7-9 years	73	37	51	28	38	. 8	11
•								
1964	14-16 year	:s 172	69	40				
1985	5 years	s 82	23	28	37	45	22	27

## TABLE 49

(Restricted to men who at either interview said they were smokers at time of original interview, excluding "don't knows")

(based on Tables 22A,22B in RP2, Table 20 in RP2A)

Α.				Original :	1948-50 (1	pase = 173)	)
		Non- smoker of MC	0-4	5-14	15-24	25+	
<u>1957 E</u>							
	Non-smoker of MC	-	4.0	1.1	1.7	1.1	
	0- 4	0.0	1.2		0.6	0.0	
	5-14	2.3	1.1		9.8	1.7	
	15-24	2.3	1.1	7.5	20.2	6.9	
	25+	0.6	1.1	1.7	6.9	11.0	
В.				Original	1956 (1	pase = 125	)
		Non-					
		smoker of MC	0-4	5-14	15-24	25+	
1957 I	Recall						
	Non-smoker of MC	•	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0- 4	0.8	2.4	1.6	0.0	0.0	
	5-14	0.8	3.2	17.6	2.4	0.0	
	15-24	0.0	1.6	5.6	37.6	3.2	
	25 <del>+</del>	0.0	0.0	2.4	3.2	16.8	
C.				Original	1948-50 (	base = 301	)
C.		Non-					)
C.		Non- smoker of MC	0-4		1948-50 (* 15-24	base = 301 25+	)
	Recall	smoker	0-4				)
	Recall Non-smoker of MC	smoker	0-4	5-14			)
		smoker of MC		5-14	15-24	25+	)
	Non-smoker of MC	smoker of MC	3.7	5-14	15-24 1.3 1.3	25+ 0.6	)
	Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14 15-24	smoker of MC - 2.0 4.3 5.3	3.7 3.0	5-14 2.0 0.6 10.6 8.3	15-24 1.3 1.3 6.0	25+ 0.6 0.0	)
	Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14	smoker of MC - 2.0 4.3	3.7 3.0 3.7	5-14 2.0 0.6 10.6 8.3	15-24 1.3 1.3 6.0	25+ 0.6 0.0 2.3	)
	Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14 15-24	smoker of MC - 2.0 4.3 5.3 2.0	3.7 3.0 3.7 4.0	5-14 2.0 0.6 10.6 8.3	15-24 1.3 1.3 6.0 15.3 5.0	25+ 0.6 0.0 2.3 5.6	
<u>1964 I</u>	Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14 15-24	smoker of MC 2.0 4.3 5.3 2.0	3.7 3.0 3.7 4.0 0.3	5-14 2.0 0.6 10.6 8.3 2.3 Original	15-24  1.3 1.3 6.0 15.3 5.0	25+  0.6 0.0 2.3 5.6 10.3  base = 128	
<u>1964 I</u>	Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14 15-24	smoker of MC - 2.0 4.3 5.3 2.0	3.7 3.0 3.7 4.0	2.0 0.6 10.6 8.3 2.3	15-24 1.3 1.3 6.0 15.3 5.0	0.6 0.0 2.3 5.6 10.3	
<u>1964 I</u>	Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14 15-24	smoker of MC - 2.0 4.3 5.3 2.0 Non- smoker	3.7 3.0 3.7 4.0 0.3	5-14  2.0 0.6 10.6 8.3 2.3  Original 5-14	15-24  1.3 1.3 6.0 15.3 5.0  1980 (	25+  0.6 0.0 2.3 5.6 10.3  base = 128 25+	
<u>1964 I</u>	Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14 15-24 25+ Recall Non-smoker of MC	smoker of MC - 2.0 4.3 5.3 2.0 Non- smoker	3.7 3.0 3.7 4.0 0.3	5-14  2.0 0.6 10.6 8.3 2.3  Original 5-14  0.8	15-24  1.3 1.3 6.0 15.3 5.0  1980 (15-24)	25+  0.6 0.0 2.3 5.6 10.3  base = 128 25+ 1.6	
<u>1964 I</u>	Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14 15-24 25+ Recall Non-smoker of MC 0- 4	smoker of MC 2.0 4.3 5.3 2.0 Non- smoker of MC	3.7 3.0 3.7 4.0 0.3	5-14  2.0 0.6 10.6 8.3 2.3  Original 5-14  0.8 0.0	15-24  1.3 1.3 6.0 15.3 5.0  1980 (15-24 2.3 0.0	25+  0.6 0.0 2.3 5.6 10.3  base = 128 25+  1.6 0.0	
<u>1964 I</u>	Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14 15-24 25+ Recall Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14	smoker of MC - 2.0 4.3 5.3 2.0 Non- smoker of MC	3.7 3.0 3.7 4.0 0.3 0-4	5-14  2.0 0.6 10.6 8.3 2.3  Original  5-14  0.8 0.0 18.6	15-24  1.3 1.3 6.0 15.3 5.0  1980 (  15-24  2.3 0.0 3.1	25+  0.6 0.0 2.3 5.6 10.3  base = 128 25+  1.6 0.0 1.6	
<u>1964 I</u>	Non-smoker of MC 0- 4 5-14 15-24 25+ Recall Non-smoker of MC 0- 4	smoker of MC 2.0 4.3 5.3 2.0 Non- smoker of MC	3.7 3.0 3.7 4.0 0.3	5-14  2.0 0.6 10.6 8.3 2.3  Original 5-14  0.8 0.0	15-24  1.3 1.3 6.0 15.3 5.0  1980 (15-24 2.3 0.0	25+  0.6 0.0 2.3 5.6 10.3  base = 128 25+  1.6 0.0	

# TABLE 50

% of subjects falling into same or different categories at two interviews, when categorised by number of manufactured cigarettes smoked.

(Restricted to men who at either interview said they were smokers at time of original interview - excluding Don't knows)

(Based on RP2 Tables 22A, 22B; RP2A Table 11)

					*	;	
				Recall	category	vs. ori	ginal
					categ	ory	
•					_	2 or	2 or
	iginal	P	C	1	1	more	more
Study	1. V.	Base	Same	higher	lower	higher	lower
•							
1957	48-50	173	46.8	15.6	22.0	9.2	6.3
	= /	100	<b>-</b> , ,	10.0	2.0		
	56	125	74.4	12.8	8.0	4.8	0.0
1964	48-50	301	39.2	18.9	15.9	18.3	7.6
1985	80	128	45.3	22.7	10.9	15.0	6.3
<b></b>	• •	120	~J.J	<i></i>	10.9	10.0	0.5

Categorised as Non-smoker, 0-4, 5-14, 15-24, 25+

TABLE 51

Recall of number of manufactured cigarettes smoked - Correlation between memory error and change in amount smoked

# (Restricted to smokers at both times)

# (Based on RP2 Table 14)

Study	Original i.v.	Men	Women
1952	48	.63	.70
	49	.87	.77
	50	.76	.82
1957	48-50	.62	
	52	.51	
	55	.41	
	56	.80	
1985	80	.72	.77

TABLE 52

Recall of number of manufactured cigarettes smoked Comparison of correlation between current/recall and current/original

(Restricted to smokers at both times - although this is not clear in RP2)

(based on RP2 Table 15)

Study	Original i.v		Correlation between current and recall	Correlation between current and original  Answered both in round numbers Others
1952	48	M	.83	0.58 0.40
		F	.69	
	49	M	.77	0.54 0.24
		F	.88	
	50	М	.90	0.68 0.46
		F	.71	
1957	48-50	М	.63	. 29
	52	М	.74	.55
	55	M	.66	.47
	56	M	.88	.58
1985	80	М	.67	.51
		F	.72	.48

TABLE 53
% reporting manufactured cigarettes smoked as round numbers

Study	Year i.v.		Ending in 0	Ending in 5	Other
A. Consu	mption "yester	rday"			
1952	1948	M F	59 36	22 27	19 37
	1949	M F	54 28	23 15	23 57
	1950	M F	47 26	20 14	33 60
1957	1948-50	M	50	24	26
1985	1980	M F	58 44	15 22	27 34
	1985	M F	49 35	12 22	40 44
B. Usual	consumption				
1957	1952	M	43	27	30
	1955	M	59	25	16
	1956	М	57	20	23
1985	85 recall of 80	M F	68 58	21 25	11 17

#### TABLE 54

# Summary of Type I, II and III inconsistencies (any product)

```
: never smoked in 1985, current or ex-smoker in 1980
                                 Current M Cigs 20
Smoking habits in 1980:
                                 Ex M Cigs 10
                                 Ex M Cigs 20
                                 Ex M Cigs 20
                                 Ex M Cigs 20, Ex Cigar
                                 Ex Pipe
                                 Ex M Cigs 4
                                 Ex M Cigs 10, Current Pipe
                                 Ex M Cigs 3
                                 Ex M Cigs 20
                                 Ex M Cigs 15
                                 Current M Cigs 1
                                 Current M Cigs 1
                                 Ex M Cigs 5
Total: 14 subjects out of 166 1985 never smokers = 8.4%
        : never smoked in 1980, current or ex-smoker in 1985
Type II
            having started before 1980
                                  Ex M Cigs 24, Ex HR, Ex Cigar
Smoking habits in 1985:
                                 Ex M Cigs 3, Ex Pipe, Ex Cigars
                                 Ex M Cigs 6
                                  Ex M Cigs 10
                                  Current M Cigs 0
                                  Ex M Cigs 1
                                  Ex M Cigs 10
                                  Current Cigars
                                  Ex M Cigs 20
                                  Ex M Cigs N not stated
                                  Current M Cigs 3
                                  Ex M Cigs 5
                                  Ex M Cigs 99
                                  Ex M Cigs 10
                                  Ex M Cigs 5
                                  Ex M Cigs 10
                                  Ex M Cigs 1
                                  Ex M Cigs 10
                                  Ex M Cigs 20
                                  Ex M Cigs 20
                                  Ex M Cigs 5
                                  Ex M Cigs 1
Total: 22 subjects out of 174 1980 never smokers = 12.6%
Type III : current smoker in 1980, ex-smoker giving up before
            1980 in 1985
                                               Ex M Cigs 40, gave up
1980:
       Current Cigars, Ex M Cigs 40.
                                        1985:
                                               1971
                                               Ex HR, gave up 1979
1980: Current HR.
                                        1985:
      : 2 subjects out of 53 1980 current/1985 ex-smokers
         (excluding DK year gave up) = 3.8%
```

# Resentation vices lute

PART OF BURKE RESEARCH SERVICES GROUP LTD

J.4236/CID

STATION HOUSE - HARROW ROAD - WEMBLEY - HA9 6DE - ENGLAND TELEPHONE: 01-903 1399 - TELEX: 923755

April 1985

# 1980 Annual Consumer Survey -

# FOLLOW UP QUESTIONNAIRE

				Serial	No.	Cols	1-5
				Card C		Col	
			TIME INT	TERVIEW S	TARTED		
section as 'ye the in	ons relating to 'yesterday' (manufact ns), you must ask alternate informant sterday', and NOT Sunday. RING ONE ( formation in this questionnaire refer CTION:- We are doing a survey to est	tured of the control	and hand- ut SATURDA o denote v	rolled AY which day	_J 	day 1 y 2	Col 7
0.1(a)	the brands they buy.  Do you smoke manufactured cigarettes	e?	(a) Manu-	(b) Hand-	(c)	(d)	
(b)			factured cigar-		Pipe	Cigars WEEKLY	
(c)	Do you smoke a pipe?		ettes	ettes			
(b)	Do you smoke as much as one cigar or miniature cigar a week?	Yes	1	2	3	4	Col 8
	<del></del>	No	5	6	7	8	
Q.2(a)	Were you smoking manufactured cigarettes this time last year?						Col 9
(b)	Were you smoking hand-rolled cigarettes this time last year?	Yes	1	2	3	4	
(c)	Were you smoking a pipe this time last year?	No	5	6	7	8	
(d)	Were you smoking as much as one cigar or miniature cigar a week this time last year?						
Q.3(a)	Have you ever smoked at least one manufactured cigarette a day, for as long as a year?						Co1 10
(b)	Have you ever smoked at <u>least</u> one hand-rolled cigarette a day, for as long as a year?	Yes	1	2	3	4	
(c)	Have you ever smoked a pipe at least once a day for as long as a year?	Мо	5	6.	7	8	
(d)	Have you ever smoked at least one cigar or miniature cigar a week for as long as a year?						
		ନ ଲିଖି ବିଶ୍ୱ ହେଉ च	Manu-	Hand-	e produce in the expo		
INFOR	VIEWER: NOW SUMMARY-CODE THE MANT AS A PRESENT SMOKER, EX-SMOKER THER" FOR EACH TOBACCO PRODUCT:-		factured cigar- ettes	7	Pipe	Cigars WEEKLY	
	PRESENT SMOKER ('Yes' at Q.1)		1	2	3	4	Col
	EX-SMOKER ('No' at Q.1, but 'Yes' a	at Q.3	5	6	7	8	
	OTHER ('No' at Q.1 AND Q.3)		A	В	C	[9]	1

,	•	IF INFORMANT IS EX-SMOKER OF ANY PRODUCT AND DOES NOT	SMOKE AT ALL AT PRESENT
	Q.4	Hand-	Year 19
		READ OUT	Pipe 5 6 Cigars weekly 7 8
	Q.5	At what age did you start to smoke?  By 'smoke', I mean at least a cigarette a day, a pipe a day, or a cigar a week regularly for as long as a year.	('Yes' at any part of Q.3)  AGE (2 DIGITS)  (Can't remember)  Cols 17,18
			CC1 continues on class. pa
	ALL EX-SI	OKERS OF MANUFACTURED   (Summary Code 5 at foot of	
	d:	n average about how many manufactured cigarettes id you smoke in total each day before you topped smoking them? (Don't know/Can'	(TWO DIGITS) Cols 8,9
		THAT CHANTED OF HANDER OF THE LAND	
	Q.7a) V	That brands of manufactured cigarettes did you smoke yesterday/Saturday, including any which were offered to you? Can you give me the full names of them? PROBE: Any others? NONE smoke	ced yesterday/
		XACT BRAND NAME.  BRAND SMOKED, ASK (b)  * NB MONDAY INTERVIEWS remember to use the co	Saturday* 6 SKIP TO Q.7d)  rrect day! (Space cols 11-34)
		How many(BRAND) cigarettes did you smoke yes ENTER EXACT NUMBER FOR EACH BRAND (2 DIGITS), AND FOR	sterday/Saturday?
		Q.7 (a) Brand	Q.7(b)
		. Name	EXACT number
			<u> </u>
			<del></del>
			<del></del>
			<del></del>
			Cols 35, 36
		So then, yesterday/Saturday * you smoked a total of	
		CHECK TOTAL WITH INFORMANT AND AMEND IF NECESSARY	

#### BRAND CODE LIST

74

# FILTER BRANDS Acclaim Hild K.S.Filter 97 John Player Special Filter 81 Regal K.S. Filter Ardath K.S. Filter 97 John Player Special K.S Filter (black) 84 Rothmans International Player Special Filter (black) 85 Regal K.S. Filter (black) 86 Regal K.S. Filter (black) 86 Regal K.S. Filter (black) 87 Regal K.S. Filter (black) 88 Regal K.S. Filter (black) 88 Regal K.S. Filter (black) 89 Regal K.S. Filter (black) 80 Regal K.S. Filter (black

John Player K.S Ex.Mild Filter(red) 75 Raffles 100's

Y1 Rothmans International Filter John Player Superkings Rothmans K.S. Filter х3 Belair Menthol K.S. Filter 71 Benson & Hedges K.S. Special Filter John Player Superkings Low Tar John Player Vanguard Filter Rothmans K.S. Special Mild Filter 58 X1 72 XΩ Benson & Hedges Longer Length 95 83 Royal Standard Filter Berkeley Extra Mild K.S. Filter 59 Kensitas Club Filter Senior Service Superkings 8Y Berkeley Luxury Length Filter Cadets Filter Silk Cut Filter (red)
Silk Cut K.S. Filter (purple/mauve) Kensitas Club K.S. Filter 65 86 XX x9 Kensitas Club Mild K.S Filter 36 52 51 Camel Filter Kensitas K.S. Filter Silk Cut K.S. Extra Mild Filter 77 Carlton Long Size Filter 67 Kent Filter 89 Silk Cut No. 3 Filter (blue) 37 29 Silk Cut No. 5 Filter Carlton Premium Filter 23 Kings Qχ 25 Consulate Menthol Filter X5 Kingsmen 91 Sovereign Filter 27 Craven "A" K.S. Filter Lambert & Butler Int. Filter 7X ΥY Sovereign Mild Filter Craven "A" Mild K.S. Filter Lambert & Butler K.S. Filter 54 78 Spar K.S. Х6 Dorchester K.S. Lambert & Butler Sp.Mild KS Filter State Express 555 K.S. Filter 53 82 State Express 555 Ex. Mild KS Filter Du Maurier K.S. Filter 51 London K.S. Filter 55 YX Dunhill Int. Filter Mariboro Filter State Express 555 Int. Filter Y5 х7 Dunhill Int. Superior Hild Filter **Y3 Y4** Marlboro 100's Filter St. Moritz Filter Dunhill K.S. Filter 46 More Filter 76 United King Size 98 Dunhill K.S. Superior Hild Filter 83 More Menthol Filter **Y7** 73 Vanquard Filter Dunhill Luxury Length Filter 61 Park Drive Filter 3x Victoria Wine K.S. 93 **Embassy Filter** 60 Peter Stuyvesant K.S. Filter χ4 Winston K.S. Filter 40 Embassy Extra Mild Filter 40 Peter Stuyvesant Ex. Mild K.S Filter X2 Embassy Gold Filter 21 Peter Stuyvesant Luxury Length Filter 56 PLAIN BRANDS Embassy No.1 Extra Mild K.S Filter 70 Peter Stuyvesant Lux.Length Mild Filt 57 Capstan Full Plain Embassy No.1 K.S. Filter 79 Piccadilly Filter de Luxe Capstan Medium Plain 10 62 Embassy No.3 Standard Size Filter Piccedilly K.S. Filter 63 Park Drive Plain 06 **X8** Embassy Regal Filter 41 Player's No. 6 Filter Piccadilly No. 1 Plain 18 Embassy Regal K.S. Filter 74 Player's No. 6 Extra Mild Filter Player's Medium Navy Cut Plain 14 Player's No. 6 K.S. Filter Fine Fare K.S. 85 Player's No. 6 Plain 03 Player's No. 10 Filter Player's No. 10 Extra Mild Filter Gold Leaf Filter 49 Senior Service Plain 15 22 John Player K.S. Filter (blue) 88 24 Weights Plain 02

92

Woodbine Plain (K.S = King Size) ALL PRESENT SMOKERS OF MANUFACTURED

CARD CLASS 2

Q.7d) What was the <u>last</u> brand of cigarettes that you bought for yourself or was bought for you?

Brand Name	CODE	
Plain		Cols 37,38
Filter		

RECORD EXACT BRAND NAME AND CODE USING LIST OF BRANDS OPPOSITE

ASK ALL  I would now like you to think back to that is the year 1980.  Were you smoking manufactured cigarett			SPACE (CODE (53)	39-52) ROUTE
that is the year 1980.				KOUIE
Were you smoking manufactured cigarett	es in 1980?			
		Yes No	1 2	
Were you smoking hand rolled cigarette	s in 1980?	Yes No	(54) 1 2	
Were you smoking a pipe in 1980?		Yes No	(55) 1 2	
Were you smoking as much as one cigar a week in 1980?		Yes No	(56) 1 2	
were you smoking in 1980?		age,	(57 <b>-</b> 58)	Q. 10
What brand of manufactured cigarettes were you smoking most often in 1980?	BRAND NAME		CODE	
RECORD EXACT BRAND NAME AND CODE USING LIST OF BRANDS OPPOSITE>	Plain			
	Were you smoking a pipe in 1980?  Were you smoking as much as one cigar a week in 1980?  ASK ALL WHO WERE SMOKING MANUFACTURED FIVE YEARS AGO. OTHERS GO TO CLASSIFI About how many manufactured cigarettes were you smoking in 1980?  What brand of manufactured cigarettes were you smoking most often in 1980?  RECORD EXACT BRAND NAME AND CODE	Were you smoking as much as one cigar a week in 1980?  ASK ALL WHO WERE SMOKING MANUFACTURED CIGARETTES FIVE YEARS AGO. OTHERS GO TO CLASSIFICATION.  About how many manufactured cigarettes a day, on aver were you smoking in 1980?  WRITE IN NUMBER  What brand of manufactured cigarettes were you smoking most often in 1980?  BRAND NAME  RECORD EXACT BRAND NAME AND CODE  USING LIST OF BRANDS OPPOSITE	No  Were you smoking as much as one cigar a week in 1980?  ASK ALL WHO WERE SMOKING MANUFACTURED CIGARETTES FIVE YEARS AGO. OTHERS GO TO CLASSIFICATION.  About how many manufactured cigarettes a day, on average, were you smoking in 1980?  WRITE IN NUMBER  What brand of manufactured cigarettes were you smoking most often in 1980?  BRAND NAME RECORD EXACT BRAND NAME AND CODE  Plain	Were you smoking hand rolled cigarettes in 1980?  No

NOW GO TO CLASSIFICATION.

INFORMANT DETAILS	<del></del>		<u>Car</u>	d cla	śs 1 ]
EMPLOYMENT STATUS  Working - full time (30+ hrs)	1	Col 37			
Working - part time (10-29 hrs) Not working or less than 10hrs	2 3		AGE OF INFORMANT		Cols 41,42
			Record exact age <u>and</u>		, , , , ,
·			ring one code	1	Col
			20 - 24	2	43
DETAILS OF PRESENT/LAST FULL-TIME JOB	Office		25 - 29 30 - 34	3 4	
OCCUPATION (include details of last	M/NM	Co1 38	35 - 39 40 - 44	5 6	
full-time occupation for widow/ retired/pensioner/unemployed)			45 - 49	7	
			50 - 54 55 - 59	8 9	
	occ	Co1 39	60 - 64	0	
Enter any professional qualifications			65+	X	
<pre>apprenticeships served for present occupation:-</pre>			STATUS *Married	1	Col 44
			<b>*</b> Separated Not married(S/W/D)	2	
	į	,	*If woman married or separated		
INDUSTRY	sc	Col	and husband not H.H, enter		
		40	husband's occupation		
MPORTANT:			***************************************		
i belongs to any one of the groups below, write in the number of			<u>sex</u> man	0	
mplayees'staff for which responsible—  .eti-employed	]		WOMAN -Housewife	х	
Ranager "senior" (see F.W. manual)	7		-Not housewife	Y	
Aznager junior* (see F.W. manual) establishment No of stafffor		1	TERMINAL AGE		Col
lierk of works Foreman/Chargehand/Ganger - whom responsible CODE ONE: - Manufacturing	4	4	OF EDUCATION		45
Distributive	A B		How old were you when		
Neither	С	<u> </u>	you finished your <u>full-time</u> education?		
NOW ASK INFORMATION ON RIGHT			15 and under	1	
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD - IF INFORMANT			17	2	
IS NOT H.H/C.W.E.			18	4	
DETAILS OF PRESENT/LAST FULL-TIME JOB			19-23 24 or over	5	
OCCUPATION (include details of last full-time occupation for widow/			Not yet finished	7	
retired/pensioner/unemployed)			INFORMANT IS		
•			H.H./C.W.E.	9	
			Not H.H./C.W.E.		
Enter any professional qualifications, apprenticeships served for present	'		ASCERTAIN EMP DETAILS OF H.H	LOYME ./C.W	NT LE
occupation:-					
	Ì		SOCIAL GRADE of head of household/chief		Co1 46
			wage earner B	1 2	
INDUSTRY			Cl	3	
			C2 D	5	
MPOSTANT:			E	6	ļ
if belongs to any one of the groups below, write in the number of		}	HOUSEHOLD Adults 16+		Cols 47-50
emplayees staff for which responsible—  Scif emplayed No ofemplayees			Children 5-15		
Atonager "senior" (see F.W. manual) Size of firm/	7		Infants 0-4		
Atanager junior (see F.W. manual) establishment No of stafffor	1		TOTAL		
Clerk of works Foreman/Chargehand, Genger whom responsible	<u> </u>		. 5,110		

INFORMANT'S	NAME AND HOME ADD	RESS (Block	c Capitals)		<del></del>			<u> </u>	<del></del>
	s/Miss)					(Initials)		<del></del>	
HOME ADDRES	S (N.B. PROVIDE FU	TLL POSTAL	ADDRESS - VI	ERY IMP	ORTANT)				
						(	Please Ti	ck ~ )	
HOME TEL NO	! <b>:</b>					NON	E	REFUSED	
WHERE INTER	VIEWED	Но	Col 51 me l	ADI	DRESS WHERE I	NTERVIEWED:			
		Wo		╽ —		<del> </del>	<del></del>		<del></del>
IF NOT INTE	RVIEWED AT HOME: (	Elsewhe Codes 2 or	1 1.7	IF	NO OR DON'T	KNOW AT (a)	(Codes 5	or 6) ASK	(b)
(a) Does yo	ur home address co he area of (READ O ME OF QUOTA DISTRI	ome Your Out tct)?	es . 4 No . 5 /K . 6		) In which lo address (WR	cal council			
QUOTA DISTR	ıći	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
			1	N	ı	A	Cols	(Space Co	ol 52)
		Q.D.					53 - 56	(Space C	ols <b>57-</b> 59)
		(OFFICE USE) RES.					60 - 63	(Space C	Cols 64-65)
Mon I Thurs 4	(RING ONE CODE) Tues 2 Wed Fri 5 Sat .U.O. 7	3 6 8	Co1 66						
NET_INCOME	OF HEAD OF HOUSEHO	OLD OR C.W.	<u>E.</u>	LE	NGTH OF INTE	RVIEW (RING	ONE CODE)	•	
Which of th to your (hi that is, af income tax,	P. and/or Social S ese comes closest s/her) income - ter deducting national insurancemes and so on?	£1,6 £2,0 £2,5 £3,1	00   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0				1 - 5 6 - 15 16 - 20 21 - 25 26 - 30 31 mins ar	mins mins mins mins mins	1 Col 69 3 4 5 6
		£6,0 £7,6 £9,5 L,900 or mo Don't kn	80 6 00 7 00 8 re 9	<u>AC</u>	COMPANIED BY	SUPERVISOR		Yes No	0 X
			Space Col 68	3	Date: / /85				Cols 70-72
DECLARATION questionnai	I declare that a	the informa	int was unkn	nown to	me until the	interview t	ook place:	and that	this
(Signed)				Number					Cols
SUPERVISED		•				<u> </u>	1		73-76
	TIME INTERVIEW I	FINISHED:	<del></del>		JOB NO.	4236	Cols. 7	7 - 80	

# Research Services Ltd., Station House, Harrow Road, Stonebridge Park, Wembley, Middlesex HA9 6DE

12201

# SURVEY 1980

[ALL MEN]

Serial No. Card Class TIME INTERVIEW STAFTED NOTE: INTERVIEWS ON MONDAY. In asking all brand and consumption questions relating to 'yesterday' (manufactured and hand-rolled Saturday sections), you must ask alternate informants about SATURDAY

as 'yesterday', and NOT Sunday. RING ONE CODE to denote which day Sunday the information in this questionnaire refers to INTRODUCTION: - We are doing a survey to establish the types of product people use and the brands they buy.

Q.1(a) (b) (c) (d)	Do you smoke manufactured cigarettes?  Do you smoke hand-rolled cigarettes?  Do you smoke a pipe?  Do you smoke as much as one cigar or miniature cigar a week?	(a) Manu- factured cigar- ettes	(b) Hand- rolled cigar- ettes	(c) Pipe	(d) Cigars WEFKLY	Col
Q,2(a) (b) (c) (d)	Were you smoking manufactured cigarettes this time last year?  Were you smoking hand-rolled cigarettes this time last year?  Were you smoking a pipe this time last year?  Were you smoking a pipe this time No last year?  Were you smoking as much as one cigar or miniature cigar a week this time last year?	1	(A)		(a)	Co1 9
Q.3(a) (b) (c) (d)	Have you ever smoked at <a href="Least">Least</a> one manufactured cigarette a day, for as long as a year? Have you ever smoked at <a href="Least">Least</a> one hand-rolled cigarette a day, for as long as a year? Yes Have you ever smoked a pipe at <a href="Least">Least</a> once a day for as long as a year? Have you ever smoked at <a href="Least">Least</a> one cigar or miniature cigar a week for as long as a year?	<u>1</u>	2	3	4 8	Co1 10
INFORM	VIEWER: NOW SUMMARY-CODE THE TANT AS A PRESENT SMOKER, EX-SMOKER THER" FOR EACH TOBACCO PRODUCT:-	Manu- factured cigar- ettes	Hand- rolled cigar- ettes	Pipe	Cigars WEEKLY	
	PRESENT SMOKER ('Yes' at 0.1)	(1)	2	1 3	4 .	Col

PRESENT SMOKER ('Yes' at Q.1). EX-SMOKER ('No' at Q.1, but 'Yes' at Q.3) OTHER ('No' at Q.1 AND Q.3).....

Q.3(e) ALL OTHERS ANSWERING 'No' at Q.1d AND Q.3d FOR CIGARS

On this card (SHOW CARD A) are four different groups of cigars showing the actual size of miniature cigars including those made like cigarettes, small cigars, medium cigars, and large cigars. Have you ever smoked any of these on any occasion during the past year, including last Christmas, of course?

ASK Q.3e 'NON-REGULAR cigar smoker 'NON-SMOKER X of cigars Y

Yes

No

(Otto)

IF MANUFACTURED CIGARETTES SMOKED 'A YEAR AGO' BUT NOT SMOKED 'NOW

('No' at Q.1 and 'Yes' at Q.2 for manufactured cigarettes)

What was the last brand of manufactured cigarettes that you smoked regularly? RECORD EXACT BRAND NAME AND CODE, -USING LIST OF BRANDS BELOW

and the control of th		1000
BRAND NAME	CODE	Cols
Plain		12,13
Filter		

graphical Armania and the States				
FILTER BRANDS	John Player Special Filter	81	Rothmans International Filter	YI
FILTER BRANDS	John Player Special K.S. Filter	84	Rothmans K.S. Filter	
Belair Menthol K.S. Filter 87*	John Player Vanguard Filter	83*	Rothmans K.S. Special Mild Filter	58*
Benson & Hedges K.S. Special Filter X1	Kensitas Club Filter	48	Silk Cut Filter	
Cadets Filter 36	Kensitas Club K.S. Filter	XX	Silk Cut K.S. Filter	
Camel Filter 77	Kensitus Club Mild K.S. Filter	52*	Silk Cut No. 3 Filter	37*
Carlton Long Size Filter 67	Kensitus K.S. Filter	XY	Silk Cut No. 5 Filter	20*
Carlton Premium Filter 23	Kent Filter	. 89	Sovereign Filter	25
Consulate Menthol Filter X5*	Lambert & Butler International Filter	7X	Sovereign Mild Filter	27*
Craven 'A' K.S. Filter	Lambert & Butler K.S. Filter	78	State Express 555 K.S. Filter	X6
Craven 'A' Mild K.S. Filter 50*	Lambert & Butler Special Mild K.S. Filter	82*	State Express 555 Extra Mild K.S. Filter	YX*
du Maurier K.S. Filter 51	Marlboro Filter	Y2	State Express 555 International Filter	Y5
Dunhill International Filter X7	More Filter	76	St. Moritz Filter	Y3*
Dunhill International Superior Mild Filter Y4*	More Menthol Filter	73*	Vanguard Filter -	83•
Dunhill K.S. Filter Y6	Park Drive Filter	. 3X	Winston K.S. Filter	YO
Dunhill K.S. Superior Mild Filter Y7*	Peter Stuvvesant K.S. Filter	X4		
Embassy Filter 60	Peter Stuyvesant Extra Mild K.S. Filter	X2*	PLAIN BRANDS	
Embassy Filter Embassy Extra Mild Filter 40*	Peter Stuyvesant Luxury Length Filter	56	Capstan Full Plain	11
Embassy Gold Filter 21	Peter Stuyvesant Luxury Length Extra Mile	Filter 57*	Capstan Medium Plain	10
Embassy No. 1 Extra Mild K.S. Filter 70*	Piccadilly Filter de Luxe	62	Park Drive Plain	06
Embassy No. 1 Extra wind R.S. Filter 79	Piccadilly K.S. Filter	X8	Piccadilly No. 1 Plain	18
Embassy No. 3 Standard Size Filter 63	Player's No. 6 Filter	38	Player's Medium Navy Cut Plain	14
Embassy Regal Filter 41	Player's No. 6 Extra Mild Filter	31*	Player's No. 6 Plain	03
Embassy Regal Filter 74	Player's No. 6 K.S. Filter	85	Senior Service Plain	15
Gold Leal Filter 49	Player's No. 10 Filter	22	Weights Plain	02
John Player K.S. Filter 88	Player's No. 10 Extra Mild Filter	24*	Woodbine Plain	01
John Player K.S. Extra Mild Filter 75*	Regal K.S. Filter	74	[K.S. = King Size] [* = Mild Brands for Q.11	

# IF INFORMANT IS EX-SMOKER OF ANY PRODUCT AND DOES NOT SMOKE AT ALL AT PRESENT

('Yes' at any part of Q.3 and 'No' at all parts of Q.1)

When did you last give up smoking? I mean, Q.5(a)after giving up, you did not smoke as much as a cigarette a day, a pipe a day, or a cigar a week regularly for as long as a year. Which year was it?

Cols 14,15 (Don't know) YY TO WE HAVE WARRIED FROM Yes No Co1 Manufactured cigarettes 16 Hand-rolled cigarettes : Pipe Cigars weekly

What products were you smoking at that time? (CODE ALL THAT APPLY)

READ OUT

IF INFORMANT HAS EVER SMOKED FOR AS LONG AS A YEAR

('Yes' at any part of Q.3)

At what age did you start to smoke? By 'smoke', I mean at least a cigarette a day, a pipe a day, or a cigar a week regularly for as long as a year.

RECORD AGE (2 DIGITS)

(Don't know/Can't remember)

Cols 17,18 YY

CIGARETTES

Present Ex-Smoker



ALL EX-SMOKERS OF MANUFACTURED (Summary Code 5 at foot of page 1) Serial No. cols. 1  Card Class 2 col. 6					
Q.7 On average about how many manufactured cigarettes (TWO DIGITS)  did you smoke in total each day before you stopped smoking them? (Don't know/Can't remember)  YY					
ALL PRESENT AND EX-SMOKERS OF MANUFACTURED (Summary Codes 1 or 5)  Q.8 When you smoke manufactured cigarettes (When you last smoked manufactured cigarettes)inhale a lot, inhale a fair amount, inhale just a little,  READ OUT or do/did you not inhale at all?  (Don't know/Can't remember)  Col 10  C					
EX-SMOKERS OF MANUFACTURED: (Summary Code 5) SKIP TO NEXT SECTION (GOLD)  ALL PRESENT SMOKERS OF MANUFACTURED (Summary Code 1)	7				
Q.9a) What brands of manufactured cigarettes did you smoke yesterday/Saturday,*including any which were offered to you? Can you give me the full names of them? PROBE: Any others? NONE smoked yesterday,  RECORD EXACT BRAND NAME.  ** NB MONDAY INTERVIEWS FOR EACH BRAND SMOKED, ASK (b)  ** NB MONDAY INTERVIEWS remember to use the correct day! (Space cols 11-34)					
(b) How many(BRAND) cigarettes did you smoke yesterday/Saturday?* ENTER EXACT NUMBER FOR EACH BRAND (2 DIGITS), AND FOR TOTAL MANUFACTURED CIGS SMOKED	!				
Q.9 (a) Brand Q.9(b)  Name EXACT number  SLK CUT FITTER .AO.  BENSON & HEDGES KS. SPECIAL .O 1.	/ ! !				
BENSON & HEDGES KS. SPECIAL !!					
(c) So then, yesterday/Saturday * you smoked a total of	,				

CHECK TOTAL WITH INFORMANT AND AMEND IF NECESSARY

manufactured cigarettes?

#### CLASSIFICATION - Page 2 Card Ctars INFORMANT'S NAME AND HOME ADDRESS (Block Capitals) NAME (Mr/Mrs/Wiss) (Initials) HOME ADDRESS (N.B. PROVIDE FULL POSTAL ADDRESS - VERY IMPORTANT) (Please Tick / ) NONE HOME TEL. NO: REFUSED WHERE INTERVIEWED ADDRESS WHERE INTERVIEWED: Home 1 Work( Elsewhere 3 IF NOT INTERVIEWED AT HOME: Col Yes (a) Does your home address come under 51 (b) In which the alcouncil accords upur home a de the area of (READ OUT FULL (WRITE-IN) No NAME OF QUOTA DISTRICT)? D/K 3 QUOTA DISTRICT: ..... CN LA Cols (Space 52) AREA CODE Q.D. (fg (Space 57-59) *53 – 56* (OFFICE USE) 60 — 63 (Space 64,65) RES. DAY OF WEEK (RING ONE CODE) **TWINS** 2 Wed Col Yes Col Tues 3 Mon (a) Have you a twin brother/sister? 66 68 2 No IF 'YES' AT (a) ASK (b) 5 Thurs Fri Sat 6 (Space 67) Yes 4 (b) Are you identical twins? O.U.O. 7 8 No 5 IF 'YES' AT (b) ASK (c) 7 Yes (c) Is he/she still alive? 8 No NET INCOME OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD OR C.W.E. LENGTH OF INTERVIEW (RING ONE CODE) (HAND CARD) O.A.P. and/or Social Security ONLY

Х

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Y

1-5

6-15

16-20

21 - 25

26-30

ACCOMPANIED BY SUPERVISOR

31 mins and over

mins

mins

mins

mins

mins

Yes

No

4

5

6

0

Col

69

£1,210

£1,520

£1,820

£2,370

£2,960

£3,700

£4,630

£5,880

£7,230

£9,040 or more

(Don't know)

Which of these comes closest to your

(his/her) income - that is, after

deducting income tax, national

on?

insurance, pension schemes and so

# CLASSIFICATION - Page 3

<u>I</u>	Day of month of interview (two digits)	Co1 70 2	Co1 71 Co1 72
	Month of interview: September October November December	9 X Y	
	Does anyone (else) in your household smoke manufactured Yes No	3	Co1 73 → (B)
1	IF 'YES' ASK (B)		
	Tho else smokes manufactured cigarettes		
	Husband/Wife	1	Co1   74
	Son(s) 1	2	
	2	3	
	3 or more	4	
	Write in actual no.		
	Daughter(s) I	5	
	2	6	
	3 or more	7	
	Write in actual no.		
	Father	8	
	Mother	9	Col
	Other relations living in household !		75
	2	2	,
	3 or more Write in actual no.	3	
	Friend/lodger living in household !	/.	
	riiend/lodger living in household /	5	
	3 or more	6	
	Write in actual no.		
	(Don't know)	Y	
	(Space	ce Col.	. 76)
	CLARATION I declare that the informant was unknown to me until the interview took place; and the conducted according to the manual and has been checked.	at this qu	uestionnaire

(Signed) SUPERVISED BY		No			
TIME INTERVIEW FINISHED:	1.08pm	JOB NO.	2470	Cols. 77–80	

### APPENDIX C

File ACS80.DAT - Relevant data from the 1980 ACS for all persons originally interviewed in age group 25-65

Created 10.8.85 by Mrs.B.A.Forey Description file is ACS80.DES

### Summary

Vari	able	Valid Codes	Missing code
1	SERIAL	xxxxx	0
ż	80MCNOW	1-2	Õ
3	80HRNOW	1-2	Ö
4	80PIPNOW	1-2	ő
5	80CGRNOW	1-2	Õ
6	80MC1	1-2	Õ
7	80HR1	1-2	Ö
8		1-2	0
9	80PIP1		0
	80CGR1	1-2.	
10	80MCEVR	1.2	0
11	80HREVR	1-2	0
12	80PIPEVR	1.2	0
13	80CGREVR	1-2	0
14	80MC	1.3	0
15	80HR	1.3	0
16	80PIP	1-3	0
17	80CGR	1-3	0
18	80CGRREG	1-4	0
19	80BREX	XXX	-1
20	YREX	XX	-1
21	80PRODEX	0-9	-1
22	AGESTR	XX	-1
23	80AVXNMC	XX	-1
24	80INHALE	0-4	5
25	80YESNMC	XX	-1
26	80LASTBR	XXX	- 1
27	80EMPST	1-3	-1
28	80M/NM	0-2	-1
29	800CCUP	1-12	-1
30	80soccl	1-6	-1
31	80AGE	XX	-1
32	80AGEEST	0-1	-1
33	80AGEGP	3-11	-1
34	80MARIED	1-3	-1
35	80SEX	1-3	-1
36	80EDUC	1-7	8
37	80нн	1-2	-1
38	80HHSOCL	1-6	-1
39	80ADULTS	1-10	-1
40	80CHILD	0-10	-1
41	80INFANTS	0-10	-1
42	80ТОТИН	1-10	-1
43	80QD I ST	0-3	-1
44	80D1ST	xxxx	-1
45	80DAYIV	0-6	- i
46	80TWIN	1-3	Ó
47	80MINSIV	1-6	-1
48	80DATEIV	xxxx	- 1
49	800THSMK	1-2	3
50	80SPOUSE	0-1	-1
51	80SONS	0-3	-1
52	80DAUTER	0-3	-1
53	80FATHER	0-1	-1
54	80MOTHER	0-1	-1
55 55	800THREL	0-3	-1
		0-3	-1
56	80LODGER		- 1 - 1
57	FOLLOWUP	0-2	- 1

	•								
	iable Name	Description	Format	Source (Card/ Col)	Level	Meaning	Not eligible		ollowed
1	SERIAL		8	All cards cols 1-5					
2	80MCNOW	Smokes manufactured cigarettes (self-defined)	2	1.8	1 2	No Yes	1484 1121	1209 920	319 236
3	80HRNOW	Smokes handrolled cigarettes (self-defined)	2	1.8	1 2	No Yes	2455 150	2006 123	516 39
4	WON41408	Smokes a pipe (self-defined)	2	1.8	1 2	No Yes	2481 124	2040 89	533 22
5	80CGRNOW	Smokes at least one cigar per week	2	1.8	1 2	No · Yes	2454 151	2011 118	534 21
6	80MC1	Smoked man.cigs. this time last year	2	1.9	1 2	No Yes	1429 1176	1177 952	305 250
7	80HR1	Smoked handrolled cigs. this time last year	2	1.9	1 2	No Yes	2456 149	2005 124	518 37
8	80PIP1	Smoked a pipe this time last year	2	1.9	1 2	No Yes	2482 123	2045 84	532 23
9	80CGR1	Smoked at least one cigar per week this time last year	.2	1.9	1 2	No Yes	2466 139	2016 113	532 23
10	80MCEVR	Ever smoked at least one man.cig. a day for as long as a year	2	1.10	1 2	No Yes	970 1635	779 1330	202 353
11	80HREVR	Ever smoked at least one handrolled cig. a day for as long as a year	2	1.10	1 2	No Yes	2373 232	1956 173	502 53
12	80PIPEVR	Ever smoked at least one pipe a day for as long as a year	2	1.10	1 2	No Yes	2429 176	1995 134	520 35
13	80CGREVR	Ever smoked at least one cigar per week for as long as a year	2	1.10	1 2	No Yes	2443 162	1994 135	526 29
14	80MC	Summary: man.cigs.	2	1.11	1 2 3	Current smoker(Yes at var 2) Ex-smoker (Yes at var 10) Other	1121 553 931	920 428 781	236 118 201
15	80HR	Summary: handrolled	2	1.11	1 2 3	Current Ex Other	150 103	123 74 1932	39 22 494

,		iable						Net	Net	Callana d
		Name	Description	Format	Source (Card/ Col)	Level	Meaning	Not eligible	Not followed	Followed
	16	80PIP	Summary: pipe	2	1.11	1 2 3	Current Ex Other	124 74 2407	89 66 1974	22 156 517
	17	80CGR	Summary: cigars	2	1.11	1 2 3	Current Ex Other	151 43 2411	118 31 1980	21 12 522
•	18	80CGRREG	Summary: cigars, incl. occasional/ regular	2	1.11	1 2 3 4	Current Ex Occasional Other	151 43 375 2036	118 31 286 1694	21 12 60 462
	19	80BREX	Last brand smoked regularly (smokers 1 yr ago, but not now)	3	1.12- 1.13	0 1-20 21+	NA Plain brands Filter brands	2522 3 80	2071 3 55	536 0 19
7	20	80YREX	Year gave up smoking (any product)	3	1.14- 1.15	-1 0 <50 50-59 60-69 70-74 75-79		32 2147 15 28 90 103 149 41	20 1771 16 17 70 87 111	2 456 4 3 25 18 35
2	21	80PRODEX	What products smoking at that time	3	1.16	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NA Man.cigs. HR cigs. Man. + HR cigs. Pipe Cigars Pipe and cigars Man.cigs. and pipe/cigar HR cigs. and pipe/cigar Man. + HR cigs and pipe/cigar	2147 383 8 19 9 7 2 21 0	1771 302 8 15 11 2 0 15	456 80 1 5 2 4 0 3
i	22	80AGESTR	Age started smoking regularly	у 3	1.17-1.18	-1 0 <10 11-15 16-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35+		94 868 17 311 939 263 58 34 21	83 705 14 249 760 226 52 24	14 182 8 79 220 34 11 1
2	23	80AVXNMC	Average man.cigs. per day before stopping	3	2.8-2.9	-1 0 1- 7 8-12 13-17 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37 38-42 43-47 48+	missing NA	19 2052 57 116 68 133 21 45 4 48 7	9 1701 54 83 52 102 19 40 5 35 5	1 437 17 15 11 29 5 11 3 14 0

Var	riabler Name	Description	Format	Source (Card/	Level	Meaning	Not eligible	Not followed	Followed
24	80INHALE	Inhalation of man.cigs.	3	2.10	0 1 2 3 4 5	NA a lot a fair amount just a little not at all missing	931 618 536 389 116 15	781 531 420 278 109 10	201 126 116 85 23 4
25	80YESNMC	Number of man.cigs. smoked yesterday	3	2.10(6) 2.35- 2.36	-1 0 1- 7 8-12 13-17 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37 38-42 43-47 48+		2 1523 84 145 162 329 122 111 31 59 16 21	1 1235 89 129 142 253 93 85 12 55 9	0 323 12 39 41 69 27 20 2 15 2
26	80LASTBR	Last brand bought (current smokers)	. 3	2.37- 2.38	0 1-20 21+	NA Plain brands Filter brands	1484 77 1044	1209 32 888	319 16 220
27	80EMPLST	Employment status	3	1.37	1 2 3	Working full-time Working part-time Not working	1736 256 613	1489 214 426	334 80 141
28	80M/NM	Manual/non-manual (present/last full-time job)	3	1.38	0 1 2	NA Manual Non-manual	2 1437 1166	5 1099 1025	1 345 209
29	800CCUP	Occupation	2	1.39	-1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	missing Farmers, foresters, fishermen Miners, quarrymen Construction workers Labourers Transport & communication wkrs. Clerical workers Sales workers Administrators, managers Professional & technical, artists Armed forces Other industrial occupations Other service occupations	869 43 13 44 50 122 288 193 108 172 5 434 264	640 26 6 54 35 93 276 205 99 142 6 323 224	221 12 2 5 13 34 45 39 8 16 0 101 59
30	80SOCCL	Social class	2	1.40	1 2 3 4 5 6	I II III IV V Unoccupied	148 546 1333 373 129 76	108 462 1143 286 80 50	16 98 300 101 28 12
31	AGE	Exact age	2	1.41- 1.42		<19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65+	1 0 378 380 423 267 275 237 257 344 43	0 0 327 307 363 252 211 186 197 253 33	0 0 48 67 94 78 70 60 62 64 12

Var	riable <sup>*</sup> Name	Description	Format	Source (Card/ Col)	Level	Meaning	Not eligible	Not followed	Followed
32	80AGEEST	Age estimated by interviewer	2	1.42(X)	0 1	No Yes	2557 48	2093 36	549 6
33	80AGEGP	Age group	2	1.43	-1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	missing 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	1 378 380 423 267 275 237 257 344 43	0 327 307 363 252 211 186 197 253 33	0 48 67 94 78 70 60 62 64
34	80MARIED	Marital status	2	1.44	-1 1 2 3	missing Married Separated Not married (S/W/D)	1 2126 41 437	0 1695 35 399	0 478 4 73
35	80SEX	Sex	2	1.44	-1 1 2 3	missing Male Female (housewife) Female (not housewife)	1 1292 1239 73	0 1064 1003 62	0 265 282 8
36	80EDUC	Age finished full-time education	2	1.45	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	15 and under 16 17 18 19-23 24 or over Not yet finished missing (* includes erroneous -1)	1554 538 150 133 165 38 4 23*	1162 490 162 110 161 32 2	365 92 40 18 30 5
37	80нн	Head of household	2	1.45	-1 1 2	missing Yes No	1 1510 1094	-0 1247 882	0 293 262
38	80HHSOCL	Social grade of head of household	2	1.46	-1 1 2 3 4 5 6	missing A B C1 C2 D E	1 81 379 610 930 520 84	0 73 303 537 736 399 81	0 15 67 95 226 136 16
39	80ADULTS	Adults (16+) in household		1.47	-1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	missing 10+	1 272 1669 436 167 45 7 7 1 0	0 235 1344 346 152 39 11 0 1	0 41 320 113 54 20 6 0 1

Var	iable Name	Description	Format	Source (Card/ Col)	Level	Meaning	Not eligible	Not followed	Followed
40	80CHILD	Children (5-15) in household	2	1.48	-1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	missing 10+	1 1638 428 415 96 23 3 1 0 0	0 1343 358 319 89 17 1 0 1	0 320 108 101 21 5 0 0
41	80INFANT	Infants (0-4) in household	2	1.49	-1 0 1 2 3 4 5	missing	1 2242 272 83 6 1	0 1843 218 65 3 0	0 492 54 8 1 0
42	<b>ВИТОТОВ</b>	Total number in household	2	1.50	-1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	missing 10+	1 240 837 510 666 233 92 16 4	0 203 673 432 525 204 72 11 5	0 29 154 131 142 62 22 11 1 0
43	80QDIST	Where interview conducted	2	1.51	0 1 2 3	At home  Elsewhere-home in quota d  Elsewhere-home not in quota d  Elsewhere-DK if home in "	876 ist 309 ist 420	502 1136 491 0	215 298 42 0
44	80DIST	Quota district	7	1.53- 1.56					
45	80DAYIV	Day of week of interview	2	1.7 & 1.66	-1 0 1 2 3 4 5	missing Monday: yesterday = Saturday Monday: yesterday = Sunday Tues. Wed. Thurs. Fri. Sat.	1 351 327 429 381 406 351 359	0 272 263 358 322 307 316 291	0 74 63 77 84 80 78 99
46	80TWIN	Whether informant is a twin	2	1.68	0 1 2 3	missing No Yes - identical Yes - non-identical	15 2552 10 28	6 2090 12 21	4 544 4 3
47	80MINSIV	Length of interview	2	1.69	-1 1 2 3 4 5 6 12	missing 1-5 mins 6-15 16-20 21-25 26-30 31+ punched &, meaning not specified on questionnaire	1 306 1878 269 62 17 6	0 260 1455 265 45 6 0 98	0 66 381 77 11 0 0 20

Variabl No. Nam		Description	Format	Source (Card/ Col)	Level	Meaning	Not eligible	Not followed	Followed
48 80D	ATEIV	Date of interview	5	1.70- 1.72	DDMM				
49 800	OTHSMK	Other man.cig. smokers in household	2	1.73	-1 1 2	missing Yes No	12 994 1599	17 795 1317	3 237 315
50 80s	SPOUSE	Spouse smokes (in household)	2	1.74- 1.75	-1 0 1	missing No Yes	3 1799 803	9 1486 634	0 367 188
51 80s	SONS	Sons smoke (in household)	2	1.74- 1.75	-1 0 1 2 3	missing 3+	3 2485 95 13 9	9 2012 88 17 3	0 514 37 3 1
52 80D.	AUTER	Daughters smoke (in household)	2	1.74- 1.75	-1 0 1 2 3	missing 3+	3 2516 76 9	9 2061 50 8 1	0 530 22 2 1
53 80F	FATHER	Father smokes (in household)	2	1.74- 1.75	-1 0 1	missing No Yes	3 2575 27	9 2093 27	0 545 10
54 80M	MOTHER	Mother smokes (in household)	2	1.74- 1.75	-1 0 1	missing No Yes .	3 42 42	9 25 25	0 7 7
55 800	OTHREL	Other relations smoke (in household)	2	1.75	-1 0 1 2 3	missing 3+	3 2556 34 7 5	9 2086 27 6 1	0 548 7 0 0
56 80L	.ODGER	Lodgers smoke (in household)	2	1.75	-1 0 1 2 3	missing 3+	3 2586 13 1 2	9 2101 18 1 0	0 553 1 0 1
57 FOL	LOWUP	Follow-up status	t s	hich ape data upplied n	0 1 2	Not eligible(dist.not selected) Not followed up(dist. selected) Followed-up	2605 0 0	0 2129 0	0 0 555



## APPENDIX D

File FOLLOWUP.DAT Data from 1980 ACS and 1985 follow-up for those persons who were followed-up.

Created 27.8.85 by Mrs.B.A.Forey Description file is FOLLOWUP.DES

### Summary

Variable Valid Codes Miss	ng c	ode
1 SERIAL	000000000000000000111111111111111111111	code
40 80CHILD 0-10 41 80INFANTS 0-10 42 80TOTHH 1-10 43 80QDIST 0-3 44 80DIST XXXX 45 80DAYIV 0-6 46 80TWIN 1-3	1 1 1 1 1 1 0	
47 80MINSIV 1-6 48 80DATEIV XXXX 49 800THSMK 1-2 50 80SPOUSE 0-1 51 80SONS 0-3 52 80DAUTER 0-3 53 80FATHER 0-1 54 80MOTHER 0-1 55 800THREL 0-3 56 80LODGER 0-3	1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1	

Varia	, Na	Valid Codes	Missing o	ÇO
<b>V</b> a. 14	510			
58	85MCNOW	1-2	0 0	
59	85HRNOW	1-2	ů	
60	85PIPNOW	1-2	0	
61	85CGRNOW	1-2	0	
62	85MC1	1-2	0	
63	85HR1	1-2	0	
64	85PIP1	1-2	0	
65	85CGR1	1-2	0	
66	85MCEVR	1-2	0	
67	85HREVR	1-2	0	
68	85P1PEVR	1-2	0	
69	85CGREVR	1-2	0	
70	85MC	1-3	0	
71	85HR	1-3	0	
72	85PIP	1-3	0	
73	85CGR	1-3	-1	
74	85YREX	XX	-1	
<i>7</i> 5	85PRODEX	0-9	- 1	
76	85AGESTR	XX	- 1	
77	85AVXNMC	XX	-1	
78	85YESNMC	XX	-1	
79	85LASTBR	XXX	-1	
80	85EMP	1-3	-1	
81	85M/NM	0-2	-1	
82	850CCUP	1-12	-7	
83	85SOCCL	1-6	-1	
84	85AGE	XX	-1	
85	85AGEEST	0-1	-1	
86	85AGEGP	3-11	-1	
87	85MAR I ED	1-3	-1	
88	85SEX	1-3	8	
89	85EDUC	1-7	-1	
90	85HH	1-2	-1	
91	85HHSOCL	1-6	-1	
92	85ADULTS	1-10	-1	
93	85CHILD	0-10	-1	
94	85 INFANT	0-10	-1	
95	85TOTHH	1-10	-1	
96	85WHERIV	1-3	-1	
97	8501ST	XXXX	-1	
98	85DAYIV	0-6	-1	
99	85 I NCOME	1-10	-1	
100	85MINSIV	1-6	-1	
101	85DATEIV	xxxx	- 1	
102	85MC80	1-2	3	
103	85HR80	1.2		
104	85PIP80	1-2	3 3 3 3	
105	85CGR80	1-2	-1	
106	85NMC80	XX	-1	
107	85BR80	XXX	-1	

Missing code

			1005			COLIDEE				
	980 Name	No.	1985 Name	Description	1980	SOURCE 1985 Le	evel	Meaning	1980	1985
1	SERIAL				All c					
2	80MCNOW	58	85MCNOW	Smokes manufactured cigarettes (self-defined)	1.8	3.8	1 2	No Yes	319 236	362 193
3	80HRNOW	59	85HRNOW	Smokes handrolled cigarettes (self-defined)	1.8	3.8	1 2	No Yes	516 39	522 33
4	80PIPNOW	60	85PIPNOW	Smokes a pipe (self-defined)	1.8	3.8	1 2	No Yes	533 22	529 26
5	80CGRNOW	61	85CGRNOW	Smokes at least one cigar per week	1.8	3.8	1 2	No Yes	534 21	528 27
6	80MC1	62	85MC1	Smoked man.cigs.this time last year	1.9	3.9	1 2	No Yes	305 250	342 213
7	80HR1	63	85HR1	Smoked handrolled cigs. this time last year	1.9	3.9	1 2	No Yes	518 37	519 36
8	80PIP1	64	85PIP1	Smoked a pipe this time last year	1.9	3.9	1 2	No Yes	532 23	530 25
9	80CGR1	65	85CGR1	Smoked at least one cigar per week this time last year	1.9	3.9	1 2	No Yes	532 23	534 21
10	80MCEVR	66	85MCEVR	Ever smoked at least one man.cig. a day for at least a year	1.10	3.10	1 2	No Yes	202 353	185 370
11	80HREVR	67	85HREVR	Ever smoked at least one handrolled cig. a day for at least a year	1.10	3.10	1 2	No Yes	502 53	480 75
12	80PIPEVR	68	85PIPEVR	Ever smoked at least one pipe a day for at least a year	1.10	3.10	1 2	No Yes	520 35	497 58
13	80CGREVR	69	85CGREVR	Ever smoked one cigar per week for as long as a year	1.10	3.10	1 2	No Yes	526 29	508 47
14	80MC	70	85MC	Summary : man.cigs.	1.11	3.11	1 2 3	Current smoker(Yes var 2/58) Ex smoker (Yes var10/66) Other		193 179 183
15	80HR	71	85HR	Summary : handrolled	1.11	3.11	1 2 3	Current Ex Other	39 22 494	33 50 472
16	80PIP	72	85PIP	Summary : pipe	1.11	3.11	1 2 3	Current Ex Other	22 16 517	26 38 491
17	80CGR	73	85CGR	Summary : cigars	1.11	3.11	1 2 3	Current Ex Other	21 12 522	27 24 504

	980	No.	1985 Name	Description	1980	SOURCE 1985 L		Meaning	1980	1985
18	80CGRREG			Summary : cigars, incl. occasional/regular	1.11			Current Ex Occasional Other	21 12 60 462	
19	80BREX			Last brand smoked regularly (smokers 1 yr ago, but not now)	1.12- 1.13			NA Plain brands Filter brands	536 0 19	
20	80YREX	74	85YREX	Year gave up smoking (any product)	1.14- 1.15	3.14- 3.15	0	missing NA pre 1950	2 456 4 3 25 18 35 12	4 402 4 7 16 20 28 61 13
21	80PRODEX	75	85PRODEX	What products smoking at that time	1.16	3.16	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NA Man.cigs. HR cigs. Man. + HR cigs. Pipe Cigars Pipe and cigars Man.cigs. + pipe/cigar HR cigs. + pipe/cigar Man. + HR + pipe/cigar	456 80 1 5 2 4 0 3 0 4	402 118 5 6 3 5 0 12 0 4
22	80AGESTR	76	85AGESTR	Age started smoking regularly	1.17- 1.18	3.17- 3.18	-1 0 <10 11-15 16-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35+		14 182 8 79 220 34 11 1	32 172 4 90 187 52 10 5
23	80AVXNMC	77	85AVXNMC	Average man.cigs. per day before stopping	2.8-2.9	4.8- 4.9	-1 0 1- 7 8-12 13-17 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37 38-42 43-47 48+		1 437 15 15 11 29 5 11 3 14 0	7 376 33 33 17 41 8 14 4 19 0
24	80INHALE			Inhalation of man.cigs.	2.10		0 1 2 3 4 5	NA a lot a fair amount just a little not at all missing	201 126 116 85 23 4	

	980 • Name	No.	1985 Name	Description	1980	SOURC 1985		Meaning	1980	1985
25	80YESNMC	78	85YESNMC	Number of man.cigs. smoked yesterday	2.10 (6) 2.35- 2.36	4.10 (6) 4.35- 4.36	-1 0 1- 7 8-12 13-17 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37 38-42 43-47 48+	missing	0 323 12 39 41 69 27 20 2 15 2	0 366 12 37 43 54 21 12 2 2
26	80LASTBR	79	85LASTBR	Last brand bought (current smokers)	2.37- 2.38	4.37- 4.38		NA Plain brands Filter brands	319 16 220	362 8 185
. 27	80EMPLST	80	85EMPLST	Employment status	1.37	3.37	1 2 3	Working full-time Working part-time Not working	334 80 141	258 93 204
28	80M/NM	81	85M/NM	Manual/non-manual (present/last full-time job)	1.38	3.38	0 1 2	NA Manual Non-manual	1 345 209	5 331 219
29	800CCUP	82	85OCCUP	Occupation	1.39	3.39	-1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	missing Farmers, foresters, fishermen Miners, quarrymen Construction workers Labourers Transport communication workers Clerical workers Sales workers Administrators, managers Professional & technical, artists Armed forces Other industrial occupations Other service occupations	221 12 2 5 13 34 45 39 8 16 0 101 59	297 8 2 11 10 21 33 33 15 21 0 67 32
30	80SOCCL	83	85soccl	Social class	1.40	3.40	-7 1 2 3 4 5	Missing I II III IV V Unoccupied	16 98 300 101 28 12	4 17 102 282 93 23 34
31	80AGE	84	85AGE	Exact age	1.41- 1.42	3.41- 3.42	25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-74 75-79		48 67 94 78 70 60 62 64 12	9 44 67 93 78 70 67 73 41 11 2
32	80AGEEST	85	85AGEEST	Age estimated by interviewer	1.42 (X)	3.42 (X)	0 1	No Yes	549 6	537 18

	980 - Name	No.	1985 Name	Description	1980	SOURCI 1985		Meaning	1980	1985
33	80AGEGP	86	85AGEGP	Age group	1.43	3.43	-1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	missing 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64	0 48 67 94 78 70 60 62 64	0 9 44 67 93 78 70 67 73
34	80MARIED	87	85MARIED	Marital status	1.44	3.44	-1 1 2 3	missing Married Separated Not married (S/W/D)	0 478 4 73	0 452 4 99
35	80SEX	88	85SEX	Sex	1.44	3.44	-1 1 2 3	missing Male Female (housewife) Female (not housewife)	0 265 282 8	0 265 286 4
36	80EDUC	89	85EDUC	Age finished full-time education	1.45	3.45	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	15 and under 16 17 18 19-23 24 or over Not yet finished missing	365 92 40 18 30 5 1	371 85 41 16 34 3 0
37	80нн	90	85нн	Head of household	1.45	3.45	-1 1 2	missing Yes No	0 293 262	1 320 235
38	80HHSOCL	91	85HHSOCL	Social grade of head of household	1.46	3.46	1 2 3 4 5 6	A B C1 C2 D E	15 67 95 226 136 16	6 61 117 184 116 70
39	80ADULTS	92	85ADULTS	Adults (16+) in household	1.47		-1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	missing	41 320 113 54 20 6 0	4 53 292 137 51 16 1 1 0
40	80CHILD	93	85CHILD	Children (5-15) in household	1.48	3.48	-1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	míssing	108 101 21 5 0 0 0	5 112 57 17 5 1 0 0

	980 Name	No.	1985 Name	Description	1980	\$0UR 1985		Meaning	1980	1985
41	80INFANT	94	85INFANT	Infants (0-4) in household	1.49	3.49	-1 1 2 3 4 5	missing	54 8 1 0	5 41 11 1 0
42	80ТОТНН	95	85ТОТНН	Total number in household	1.50	3.50	-1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	missing 10+	29 154 131 142 64 22 11 1 0	5 42 176 114 146 50 16 6 0
43	80QDIST			Where interview conducted	1.51		0 1 2 3	At home Elsewhere-home in quota dist Elsewhere-home not in " " Elsewhere-DK if home in " "	215 : 298 42 0	
		96	85WHERIV	Where interview conducted		3.51	1 2 3	At home At work Other		540 13 2
44	80DIST	97	850 IST	Quota district		3.53· 3.56				
45	80DAYIV	98	85DAYIV	Day of week of interview	1.7 & 1.66	3.7 & 3.66	0 1 2 3 4 5	Monday: "yesterday" = Sat. Monday: "yesterday" = Sun. Tues. Wed. Thurs. Fri. Sat.	74 6 77 84 80 78 99	67 52 131 86 88 69 62
46	NIWT08			Whether information is a twin	1.68		0 1 2 3	missing No Yes - identical No - non-identical	544 4 3	
		99	85 I NCOME	Net income of head of household		3.67	-1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DK 1,600 2,000 2,500 3,120 3,890 4,876 6,080 7,600 9,500 11,900 or more OAP/Social Security only		228 8 9 11 14 28 45 61 29 34 35 53
47	VISNIMO8	100	85MINSIV	Length of interview	1.69	3.69	1 2 3 4 5 6	1- 5 mins 6-15 16-20 21-25 26-30 31+ unknown	66 381 77 11 0 0 20	381 9 1 0

	80 Name	No.	1985 Name	Description	1	1980	)	\$0UR0 1985		Meaning	1980	1985
48 (	80DATEIV	101	85DATEIV	Date of int	ervíew	1.70		3.70- 3.72	MMGG			
49	800THSMK			Other man.c household	cig.smokers in	1.73	3		-1 1 2	missing Yes No	3 237 315	
50	80SPOUSE			Spouse smok		1.79			1 2	No Yes	367 188	
51	80sons			Sons smoke (in househo	old)	1.74 1.79			0 1 2 3	3+	514 37 3 1	
52	80DAUTER			Daughters : (in househo		1.7 1.7			0 1 2 3	3+	530 22 2 1	
53	80FATHER			Father smol		1.7 1.7			0 1	No Yes	545 10	
54	80MOTHER			Mother smol		1.7 1.7			0 1	No Yes	548 7	
55	800THREL			Other rela	tions smoke old)	1.7	5		0 1 2 3	3+	548 7 0 0	
56	80LODGER			Lodgers sm (in househo		1.7	5		0 1 2 3	3+	553 1 0 1	
57	FOLLOWUP			Follow-up	status				2	Followed-up	555	
		102	85MC80	Recall 80:	smoked man.cigs. in 1980			4.53	1 2 3	Yes No DK		266 279 10
		103	85HR80	Recall 80:	smoked handrolled o in 1980	cigs.		4.54	1 2 3	Yes No DK		39 504 12
		104	85PIP80	Recall 80:	smoked a pipe in 1980			4.55	1 2 3	Yes No DK		29 512 14
		105	85CGR80	Recall 80:	smoked one cigar pe in 1980	er week		4.56	1 2 3	Yes No DK		24 519 12

1980 ' No. Name	No.	1985 Name	Description	SOURCE 1980 1985 Level Meaning	1980 1985
	106	85NMC80	Recall 80: Average man.cigs. per day in 1980	4.571 4.58 0	5 290
			per day 111 1700	1- 7	21
				8-12	39
				13-17	38
				18-22	97
				23-27 28-32	20 15
				33-37	4
				38-42	16
				43-47	1
				48+	9
	107	85BR80	Recall 80: Brand smoked most	4.59- 0 NA	289
	101	0,5,00	often in 1980	4.60 1-20 Plain brands	23
				21+ Filter brands	243



APPENDIX E

TABLE 1 FOLLOWED UP : SEX

FACTOR: SEX SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:NONE

		2*K ANA	ALYSIS			2*2	2 ANALY	SES		
SEX	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R	_	LIMIT	'S
	CASES CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
MALE	259 2363	267.702354.30	0.96	0.00						
FEMALE	281 2386	272.302394.70	1.04	0.00	0.56	0.4563	1.07	(	0.90,	1.28)

TABLE 2 FOLLOWED UP : AGE(4)

FACTOR:

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

SEX

MALE

2\*2 ANALYSES\_\_\_\_LIMITS 2\*K ANALYSIS\_ EXPECTED CHI2 PROB R AGE OBSERVED HOMOG -TREND CASES CONTROLS CASES CONTROLS ENEITY (1DF) 76.39 696.61 69.47 633.53 25-34 47 726 0.59 1.00 13.08 0.0003 2.01 ( 1.39, 18.92 0.0000 2.35 ( 1.61, 6.16 0.0131 1.68 ( 1.13, 35-44 81 622 1.19 2.00 2.91) 45-54 53.86 491.14 72 473 1.39 3.00 3.43)59 59.29 540.71 4.00 55-65 541 0.99 2.50) CHISQ 21.45 7.37 D.F. 3

0.0001

PROB

0.0066

TABLE 2 FOLLOWED UP : AGE(4)

FACTOR:

AGE

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

FEMALE

				2*K AN	ALYSIS			2*2	2 ANALY	SES		
AGE	OBS	ERVED	EXI	PECTED	HOMOG -	TREND	CH12	PROB	R		LIMI	rs
	CASES	CONTROLS	CASES	CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
25-34	63	671	77.34	656.66	0.80	1.00						
35-44	88	686	81.55	692.45	1.09	2.00	2.94	0.0863	1.37	(	0.97,	1.92)
45-54	56	438	52.05	441.95	1.09	3.00	2.25	0.1336	1.36	(	0.93,	1.99)
55-65	74	591	70.07	594.93	1.06	4.00	2.28	0.1314	1.33	(	0.94,	1.90)
				CHISQ	4.12	2.11						
				D.F.	3	1						
				PROB	0.2487	0.1466						

TABLE 2 FOLLOWED UP : AGE(4)

FACTOR:

AGE

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED

STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			2*K AN/	ALYSIS			2*2	2 ANALY	SES		
AGE	OBS	SERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG -	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI	rs .
	CASES	CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
25-34	110	1397	153.721353.28	0.69	1.00						
35 - 44	169	1308	151.021325.98	1.13	2.00	14.28	0.0002	1.63	(	1.27.	2.09)
45-54	128 911		105.90 933.10	1.24	3.00	17.75	0.0000	1.79	(	1.37.	2.33)
55-65	133	1132	129.361135.64	1.03	4.00	8.07	0.0045	1.48	Ċ	1.14,	1.92)
			CHISQ	21.48	8.57						
			D.F.	3	1						
			PROB	0.0001	0.0034						

TEST OF HOMOGENEITY

DIVIDING TEST CHISQ FACTOR(S) FACTOR CONTRIBUTION

SEX AGE

MALE 35-44 1.21 45-54 1.97 55-65 0.42

35-44 FEMALE 1.03 45-54 55-65 1.97

> CHISQ DF PROB 0.1340 35-44 2.25 1 3.94 0.0473 45-54 1 55-65 0.3903

0.32

TABLE 3 FOLLOWED UP : SOCIAL CLASS (4)

FACTOR: SOCCL

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)
SEX MALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2	*K ANALY	SIS			2*2	2 ANALY	SES		
SOCCL	OBS	ERVED	EXPECTE	D H	OMOG-	TREND	CHIZ	PROB	R		LIMI	rs
	CASES	CONTROLS	CASES CONT	ROLS E	NEITY			(1DF)				
AB	32	414	44.07 401.	93	0.70	1.00						
C1	40	541	57.41 523.	59	0.67	2.00	0.00	0.9544	0.96	(	0.59,	1.55)
C2	110	893	99.11 903.	.89	1.12	3.00	4.60	0.0320	1.59	Ċ	1.06.	2.40)
DE	77	514	58.40 532.	60	1.37	4.00	8.64	0.0033	1.94	Ċ	1.27,	2.97)
			СН	IISQ 1	7.42	15.28						
			D.	F.	3	1						
			PR	OB	0.0006	0.0001						

TABLE 3 FOLLOWED UP : SOCIAL CLASS (4)

422

611

780

573

FACTOR:

SOCCL

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

69.64 591.36 93.67 795.33

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

 2.77
 0.0959
 0.69
 ( 0.46, 1.04)

 0.68
 0.4107
 1.18
 ( 0.83, 1.68)

 0.04
 0.8381
 1.06
 ( 0.72, 1.55)

LIMITS

C1

C2

DE

50

109

72

FEMALE 2\*K ANALYSIS 2\*2 ANALYSES\_\_\_ EXPECTED SOCCL OBSERVED HOMOG-TREND CHI2 PROB R CASES CONTROLS CASES CONTROLS ENEITY (1DF) ΑB 50 49.73 422.27

> 67.96 577.04 1.07 4.00 CHISQ 9.27 1.99 D.F. PROB 0.0260 0.1578

1.01

0.69

1.19

1.00

2.00

3.00

TABLE 3 FOLLOWED UP : SOCIAL CLASS (4)

FACTOR: SOCCL

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED

STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			2*K AN	ALYSIS			2*2	2 ANALY	SES		
SOCCL		ERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI	rs
		CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
AB	82 836 90 1152		93.80 824.20 0.86 1.00		1.00						
C1	90	1152	127.061114.94	0.69	2.00	1.90	0.1679	0.79	(	0.58.	1.08)
C2	219	1673	192.781699.22	1.15	3.00	4.52	0.0335	1.35	Ċ	1.03	1.76)
DE	149	1087	126.361109.64	1.20	4.00	5.03	0.0249	1.40	(	1.05,	1.85)
			CHISQ	22.20	13.73						
			D.F.	3	1						
			PROB	0.0001	0.0002						

DIVIDING FACTOR(S)	TEST FACTOR	CHISQ CONTRIBUT	ION	
SEX	SOCCL			
MALE	C1 C2 DE	0.58 0.64 2.23		
FEMALE	C1 C2 DE	0.43 0.55 2.00		
	C1 C2 DE	CHISQ 1.02 1.18 4.23	DF 1 1	PROB 0.3133 0.2765 0.0398

TABLE 4 FOLLOWED UP : MARITAL STATUS

FACTOR: MAR STAT

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

MALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2*K AN/	ALYSIS			2*2	2 ANALY	SES		
MAR STAT		SERVED CONTROLS	EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CHIZ	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMIT	rs
MARRIED	225	1948	214.731958.27	1.05	0.00						
SEP	1	31	3.16 28.84	0.29	0.00	1.09	0.2961	0.28	(	0.04,	1.81)
SING/WD	33	383	41.11 374.89	0.79	0.00	2.02	0.1553	0.75	(	0.51,	1.09)
			CHISQ D.F. PROB	3.96 2 0.1382	0.00 1 1.0000						

FOLLOWED UP : MARITAL STATUS

FACTOR: MAR STAT

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

D.F.

PROB

FEMALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

2\*K ANALYSIS \_2\*2 ANALYSES\_ EXPECTED MAR STAT OBSERVED HOMOG-TREND CHI2 PROB R LIMITS CASES CONTROLS CASES CONTROLS ENEITY (1DF) MARRIED 240 1886 224.001902.00 1.08 0.00 SEP 3 5.06 42.94 51.94 441.06 0.75 0.3877 0.52 ( 0.16, 5.04 0.0248 0.66 ( 0.46, 45 0.57 0.00 1.67) 38 455 SING/WD 0.71 0.00 0.94)CHISQ 6.39 0.00

2

0.0409

1.0000

TABLE 4 FOLLOWED UP : MARITAL STATUS

FACTOR: MAR STAT

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

2\*K ANALYSIS \_2\*2 ANALYSES\_\_\_ EXPECTED MAR STAT OBSERVED HOMOG-TREND CHI2 PROB LIMITS R CASES CONTROLS CASES CONTROLS ENEITY (1DF) MARRIED 465 3834 438.733860.27 1.07 0.00 SEP 8.22 71.78 76 0.46 0.00 2.27 1.15) 93.05 815.95 SING/WD 71 838 0.00 0.74 7.16 0.90)CHISQ 10.00 0.00 D.F. 2 0.0067 1.0000 PROR

TEST OF HOMOGENEITY

DIVIDING TEST CHISQ

FACTOR(S) FACTOR CONTRIBUTION

SEX MAR STAT

MALE SEP 0.18 SING/WD 0.13

**FEMALE** SEP 0.11 SING/WD 0.10

> CHISO DF PROB 0.29 SEP 0.5891 SING/WD 0.23 0.6309

### TABLE 5 FOLLOWED UP : AGE FINISHED EDUCATION

FACTOR: AGEEDUC
SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)
SEX MALE

FOLLOWED DISEASE: STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2*K ANALYSIS			2*K ANALYSIS						
AGEEDUC		SERVED CONTROLS	EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CH12	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMIT	rs	
UPTO15	179	1384	153.061409.94	1.19	1.00		•					
16	34	494	51.71 476.29	0.63	2.00	10.29	0.0013	0.53	(	0.37,	0.77)	
17-18	18	250	26.24 241.76	0.66	3.00	4.86	0.0275	0.56	(	0.34,	0.91)	
19+	24	221	23.99 221.01	1.00	4.00	0.43	0.5128	0.84	(	0.54,	1.32)	
			CHISQ	14.46	5.16							
			D.F. PROB	3 0.0023	1 0.0232							

TABLE 5 FOLLOWED UP : AGE FINISHED EDUCATION

FACTOR: AGEEDUC SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED) SEX FEMALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:NONE

			2*K AN	ALYSIS			2*3	2 ANALY	SES	;	
AGEEDUC		SERVED CONTROLS	EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CHI2	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMI	TS
UPTO15	174	1345	161.071357.93	1.09	1.00						
16	·55	537	62.77 529.23	0.86	2.00	1.84	0.1744	0.79	(	0.58.	1.09)
17-18	40	306	36.69 309.31	1.10	3.00	0.00	0.9699	1.01	Ċ	0.70,	1.46)
19+	12	181	20.47 172.53	0.56	4.00	4.32	0.0376	0.51	(	0.28,	0.93)
			CHISQ D.F. PROB	6.49 3 0.0902	3.09 1 0.0786						

TABLE 5 FOLLOWED UP : AGE FINISHED EDUCATION

FACTOR: AGEEDUC

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			2*K AN	2*2 ANALYSES							
AGEEDUC		SERVED CONTROLS	EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CHIZ	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMI	rs
UPTO15	353	2729	314.132767.87	1.14	1.00						
16	89	1031	114.481005.52	0.76	2.00	10.51	0.0012	0.66	(	0.52,	0.85)
17-18	58	556	62.93 551.07	0.91	3.00	1.99	0.1583	0.80	(	0.60,	1.07)
19+	36	402	44.46 393.54	0.79	4.00	3.80	0.0512	0.69	(	0.48,	0.99)
			CHISQ	13.90	8.11						
			D.F. PROB	3 0.0030	1 0.0044						

DIVIDING FACTOR(S)	TEST FACTOR	CHISQ CONTRIBUT	ION	
SEX	AGEEDUC			
MALE	16 17-18 19+	1.32 2.03 0.73		
FEMALE	16 17-18 19+	1.15 1.56 0.94		
	16 17-18 19+	CHISQ 2.47 3.59 1.67	DF 1 1	PROB 0.1161 0.0582 0.1963

TABLE 6 FOLLOWED UP : EMPLOYMENT STATUS

FACTOR: EMPLSTAT

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2*K AN/		2*2 ANALYSES						
EMPLSTAT		SERVED CONTROLS	EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CHI2	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMI.	rs
FULLTIME	237	2163	237.072162.93	1.00	0.00						
PARTTIME	2	13	1.48 13.52	1.40	0.00	0.00	0.9893	1.40	(	0.32,	6.22)
NOTWORK	20	187	20.45 186.55	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.9818	0.98	(	0.60,	1.58)
			CHISQ	0.21	0.00						
			D.F.	2	1						
			PROB	0.8994	1.0000						

TABLE 6 FOLLOWED UP : EMPLOYMENT STATUS

**EMPLSTAT** FACTOR:

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

FEMALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

SEX

2\*2 ANALYSES\_ \_2\*K ANALYSIS\_ EXPECTED **EMPLSTAT** OBSERVED HOMOG-TREND CHI2 PROB R LIMITS CASES CONTROLS CASES CONTROLS ENEITY (1DF) 90 FULLTIME 1069 122.111036.89 0.71 0.00 56.37 478.63 PARTTIME 77 1.43 458 17.34 0.0000 2.00 ( 1.45, 0.00 2.75) NOTWORK 859 102.52 870.48 1.58 ( 1.18, 114 1.13 0.00 9.09 0.0026 2.10) 0.00 CHISQ 19.31 D.F. 2 1 **PROB** 0.0001 1.0000

TABLE 6 FOLLOWED UP : EMPLOYMENT STATUS

FACTOR: EMPLSTAT

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

2\*K ANALYSIS \_2\*2 ANALYSES\_\_ EXPECTED **EMPLSTAT** OBSERVED TREND HOMOG-CHI2 PROB LIMITS R CASES CONTROLS CASES CONTROLS ENEITY (1DF) FULLTIME 327 3232 359.193199.81 0.90 0.00 17.39 0.0000 1.97 ( 1.44, 6.37 0.0116 1.38 ( 1.08, PARTTIME 79 471 57.85 492.15 1.43 0.00 17.39 0.0000 2.69) NOTWORK 134 1046 122,961057,04 0.00 1.10 1.77) CHISQ 16.97 0.00 D.F. 2 1 0.0002 1.0000 PROB

TEST OF HOMOGENEITY

DIVIDING TEST CHISQ

FACTOR(S) FACTOR CONTRIBUTION

SEX **EMPLSTAT** 

MALE PARTTIME 0.20

NOTWORK 2.06

**FEMALE** PARTTIME 0.01 NOTWORK 0.76

> CHISQ DF PROB 0.21 PARTTIME 0.6502 NOTWORK 2.82 0.0931

TABLE 7 FOLLOWED UP : N ADULTS IN HOUSEHOLD

FACTOR: ADULTS
SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)
SEX MALE

DISEASE: FOLLO STRATIFIED FOR:NONE FOLLOWED

		•	2*K ANA	ALYSIS			2*2	2 ANALY	SES		
ADULTS		SERVED CONTROLS	EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CHI2	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMI	TS
1	14	218	22.93 209.07	0.59	1.00						
2	158	1535	167.301525.70	0.94	2.00	2.34	0.1264	1.60	(	0.92,	2.81)
3	52	389	43.58 397.42	1.22	3.00	5.06	0.0245	2.08	(	1.14,	3.80)
4+	35	220	25.20 229.80	1.45	4.00	7.10	0.0077	2.48	(	1.32,	4.66)
			CHISQ	10.46	10.25						
			D.F. PROB	3 0.0150	1 0.0014						

TABLE 7 FOLLOWED UP : N ADULTS IN HOUSEHOLD

FACTOR: ADULTS
SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

FEMALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:NONE

		2*K AN/	ALYSIS			2*2	2 ANALY	SES		
ADULTS	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI	TS
	CASES CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
1	27 289	33.29 282.71	0.79	1.00						
2	155 1485	172.791467.21	0.89	2.00	0.16	0.6874	1.12	(	0.73.	1.71)
3	55 399	47.83 406.17	1.17	3.00	2.13	0.1443	1.48	Ċ	0.91.	2.39)
4+	44 213	27.08 229.92	1.75	4.00	8.81	0.0030	2.21	Ċ	1.34,	3.65)
		CHISQ	16.39	14.48						
		D.F.	3	1						
		PROB	0.0009	0.0001						

TABLE 7 FOLLOWED UP : N ADULTS IN HOUSEHOLD

FACTOR: ADULTS

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			2*K AN	ALYSIS			2*2	2 ANALY	SES	;	
ADULTS	CASES	SERVED CONTROLS	EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CHI2	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMI	TS
2	41 313 107	507 3020 788	56.22 491.78 340.092992.91 91.41 803.59	0.71 0.91 1.19	1.00 2.00 3.00	1.91 7.12	0.1668 0.0076	1.29	(	0.92, 1.17.	1.81)
4+	79	433	52.28 459.72	1.60	4.00	16.68	0.0000	2.31	(	1.56,	3.43)
			CHISQ D.F. PROB	25.17 3 0.0000	24.67 1 0.0000						

DIVIDING FACTOR(S)	TEST FACTOR	CHISQ CONTRIBUT	ION	
SEX	ADULTS			
MALE	2 3 4+	0.58 0.43 0.04		
FEMALE	2 3 4+	0.43 0.32 0.03		
	2 3 4+	CHISQ 1.00 0.75 0.07	DF 1 1	PROB 0.3164 0.3871 0.7869

TABLE 8 FOLLOWED UP : TOTAL N IN HOUSEHOLD

FACTOR:

TOT HH

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

FOLLOWED DISEASE: STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

PROB

CH12

TREND

1.00

2.00

3.00

4.00

5.00

MALE

		2*K ANA	ALYSIS		
TOT HH	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG -		
	CASES CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY		
1	14 211	22.23 202.77	0.61		
2	75 722	78.76 718.24	0.95		
3	56 484	53.36 486.64	1.06		
4	68 590	65.02 592.98	1.05		
5+	46 355	39.63 361.37	1.18		

(1DF) 0.1728 1.57 ( 0.87, 2.82) 1.86 1.74 ( 2.80 0.0940 0.96, 3.18) 1.74 ( 0.96, 2.89 0.0890 3.13) 3.99 0.0458 1.95 ( 1.06, 3.61)

\_2\*2 ANALYSES\_

R

LIMITS

CHISQ 5.01 3.68 D.F. 0.2858 0.0550 PROB

1.18

TABLE 8 FOLLOWED UP : TOTAL N IN HOUSEHOLD

FACTOR:

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

39.63 361.37

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

SEX

FEMALE

		2*K AN	2*K ANALYSIS					2*2 ANALYSES						
TOT HH	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI	TS				
	CASES CONTROLS		ENEITY			(1DF)								
1	15 232	26.02 220.98	0.55	1.00										
2	<i>77 7</i> 90	91.35 775.65	0.83	2.00	1.65	0.1995	1.51	(	0.85,	2.66)				
3	69 464	56.16 476.84	1.26	3.00	7.59	0.0059	2.30	(	1.30,	4.06)				
4	72 603	71.12 603.88	1.01	4.00	3.94	0.0472	1.85	(	1.05,	3.26)				
5+	48 297	36.35 308.65	1.37	5.00	8.48	0.0036	2.50	(	1.39,	4.51)				
		CHISQ	15.20	9.95										
		D.F.	4	1										
		PROB	0.0043	0.0016										

TABLE 8 FOLLOWED UP : TOTAL N IN HOUSEHOLD

FACTOR: TOT HH

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

		2*K AN	2*2 ANALYSES							
тот нн	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI	rs
	CASES CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY		(1DF)					
1	29 443	48.26 423.74	0.57	1.00						
2	152 1512	170.111493.89	0.88	2.00	3.86	0.0495	1.54	(	1.02,	2.31)
3	125 948	109.52 963.48	1.16	3.00	10.62	0.0011	2.02	(	1.34,	3.05)
4	140 1193	136.141196.86	1.03	4.00	7.30	0.0069	1.79	(	1.19,	2.70)
5+	94 652	75.98 670.02	1.27	5.00	12.84	0.0003	2.22	(	1.45,	3.39)
		CHISQ	18.04	12.94						
		D.F.	4	1						
		PROB	0.0012	0.0003						

TEST FACTOR	CHISQ CONTRIBUTI	ON	
тот нн			
2 3 4 5+	0.00 0.23 0.01 0.16		
2 3 4 5+	0.00 0.19 0.01 0.15		
2 3 4 5+	CHISQ 0.01 0.42 0.02 0.31	DF 1 1 1	PROB 0.9282 0.5179 0.8849 0.5768
	FACTOR  TOT HH  2 3 4 5+ 2 3 4 5+	FACTOR CONTRIBUTION  TOT HH  2 0.00 3 0.23 4 0.01 5+ 0.16  2 0.00 3 0.19 4 0.01 5+ 0.15  CHISQ 2 0.01 3 0.42 4 0.02	FACTOR CONTRIBUTION  TOT HH  2

TABLE 9 FOLLOWED UP : WHERE INTERVIEWED

FACTOR: WHEREIV
SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)
SEX MALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2*K AN	2*2 ANALYSES								
WHEREIV	OBSERVED CASES CONTROLS		EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CHI2	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMITS		
HOME OTHR(Y) OTHR(N)	84 146 29	533 1199 631	60.95 556.05 132.861212.14 65.19 594.81	1.44 1.11 0.42	0.00 0.00 0.00	2.85 32.45	0.0914 0.0000	0.77 0.29	(	0.58, 0.19,	1.03)	
			CHISQ D.F. PROB	33.40 2 0.0000	0.00 1 1.0000							

TABLE 9 FOLLOWED UP : WHERE INTERVIEWED

FACTOR: WHEREIV

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

FEMALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2*K AN	2*2 ANALYSES							
WHEREIV	OBSERVED CASES CONTROLS		EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CH12	PROB (1DF)	R.		LIMI	TS
HOME OTHR(Y) OTHR(N)	127 143 11	849 1255 282	102.83 873.17 147.301250.70 30.87 262.13	1.27 0.97 0.33	0.00 0.00 0.00	4.14 18.97	0.0418 0.0000	0.76 0.26	(	0.59, 0.14,	0.98) 0.47)
-			CHISQ D.F. PROB	20.78 2 0.0000	0.00 1 1.0000						

TABLE 9 FOLLOWED UP : WHERE INTERVIEWED

FACTOR: WHEREIV

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			2*K AN		2*2 ANALYSES						
WHEREIV	OB:	SERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG -	TREND	CH12	PROB	R		LIMI	TS
		CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
HOME	211	1382	163.781429.22	1.33	0.00						
OTHR(Y)	289	2454	280.152462.85	1.04	0.00	7.25	0.0071	0.77	(	0.63,	0.93)
OTHR(N)	40	913	96.07 856.93	0.39	0.00	52.03	0.0000	0.28	(	0.20,	0.39)
			CHISQ	54.14	0.00						
			D.F.	2	1						
			PROB	0.0000	1.0000						

DIVIDING FACTOR(S)	TEST FACTOR	CHISQ
SEX	WHEREIV	
MALE	OTHR(Y) OTHR(N)	0.00 0.04
FEMALE	OTHR(Y) OTHR(N)	0.00 0.04

	CHISQ	DF	PROB
OTHR(Y)	0.01	1	0.9420
OTHR(N)	0.08	1	0.7730

TABLE 10 FOLLOWED UP : DAY INTERVIEWED

FACTOR: DAYIV

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

MALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

				2*K AN	ALYSIS		2*2 ANALYSES						
DAYIV	OBSERVED		EXF	ECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI	TS	
	CASES CON	TROLS	CASES	CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)					
MON(SAT)	33 3	29	35.77	326.23	0.91	0.00							
MON(SUN)	31 2	93	32.02	291.98	0.96	0.00	0.01	0.9429	1.05	(	0.63,	1.77)	
TUES	40 3	82	41.70	380.30	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.9594	1.04	(	0.64,	1.69)	
WED	39 3	47	38.14	347.86	1.02	0.00	0.11	0.7388	1.12	(	0.69,	1.82)	
THURS	33 3	56	38.44	350.56	0.85	0.00	0.03	0.8596	0.92	(	0.56,	1.53)	
FRI	30 3	51	37.65	343.35	0.78	0.00	0.23	0.6345	0.85	(	0.51,	1.43)	
SAT	53 3	04	35.28	321.72	1.59	0.00	5.07	0.0244	1.74	(	1.10,	2.75)	
				CHISQ D.F. PROB	12.83 6 0.0459	0.00 1 1.0000							

TABLE 10 FOLLOWED UP : DAY INTERVIEWED

FACTOR: DAYIV

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

FEMALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:NONE

2\*2 ANALYSES\_\_ 2\*K ANALYSIS EXPECTED DAYIV OBSERVED CHI2 HOMOG -TREND PROB LIMITS R CASES CONTROLS CASES CONTROLS ENEITY (1DF) MON(SAT) 40 295 35.30 299.70 1.15 0.00 34.66 294.34 46.57 395.43 42.25 358.75 MON(SUN) 30 299 0.85 0.2907 0.00 1.12 0.74 ( 0.45, 1.22) 407 0.00 0.0790 TUES 35 3.08 0.63 ( 0.39, 0.73 1.02) 43 WED 358 1.02 0.00 0.16 0.6873 0.89 ( 0.56, 1.40) 0.92 ( 0.59, 45 42.57 361.43 THURS 359 1.06 0.00 0.05 0.8227 1.45) 38.35 325.65 41.30 350.70 FRI 45 319 1.20 0.00 0.00 0.9563 1.04 ( 0.66, 1.64) SAT 349 1.05 0.00 0.09 0.7694 0.91 ( 0.57, 1.44) CHISQ 6.15 0.00 D.F. 6

0.4067

PROB

1.0000

TABLE 10 FOLLOWED UP : DAY INTERVIEWED

FACTOR: DAYIV

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

				2*K AN	2*2 ANALYSES							
DAYIV	OBSERVED		EX	PECTED	HOMOG -	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI	rs
	CASES	CONTROLS	CASES	CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
MON(SAT)	73	624	71.07	625.93	1.03	0.00						
MON(SUN)	61	592	66.68	586.32	0.91	0.00	0.39	0.5345	0.88	(	0.61.	1.26)
TUES	75	789	88.27	775.73	0.84	0.00	1.26	0.2618	0.81	è	0.58.	1.14)
WED	82	705	80.39	706.61	1.02	0.00	0.00	0.9832	0.99	Ċ	0.71,	1.38)
THURS	78	715	81.01	711.99	0.96	0.00	0.14	0.7106	0.92	Ċ	0.66.	1.30)
FRI	75	670	76.00	669.00	0.99	0.00	0.03	0.8526	0.95	Ċ	0.68,	1.34)
SAT	96	653	76.58	672.42	1.29	0.00	1.74	0.1874	1.26	Ċ	0.91,	1.73)
				CHISQ	8.48	0.00						
				D.F.	6	1						
				PRO8	0.2051	1.0000						

TEST OF HOMOGENEITY

SAT

DIVIDING FACTOR(S)	TEST FACTOR	CHISQ CONTRIBUTION				
SEX	DAYIV					
MALE	MON(SUN) TUES WED THURS FRI SAT	0.49 1.04 0.25 0.00 0.18 1.90	CHISQ MON(SUN) TUES WED	0.94 2.07 0.47	DF 1 1	PROB 0.3325 0.1501 0.4909
FEMALE	MON(SUN) TUES WED THURS FRI	0.45 1.03 0.22 0.00 0.14	THURS FRI SAT	0.00 0.32 3.85	1 1 1	0.9993 0.5696 0.0498

1.95

TABLE 11 FOLLOWED UP : LENGTH OF INTERVIEW (MINS)

FACTOR: MINS IV SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED) SEX MALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2*K AN/	ALYSIS		2*2 ANALYSES						
MINS IV		SERVED CONTROLS	EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMI	rs	
1-5	28	231	25.59 233.41	1.11	1.00		(101)					
6-15	174	1644	179.651638.35	0.97	2.00	0.27	0.6046	0.87	(	0.57,	1.33)	
16-20	45	313	35.38 322.62	1.31	3.00	0.29	0.5886	1.19	(	0.72,	1.96)	
21+	12	174	18.38 167.62	0.63	4.00	2.01	0.1567	0.57	(	0.28,	1.14)	
			CHISQ	5.81	0.27							
			D.F.	3	1							
			PROB	0.1213	0.6032							

TABLE 11 FOLLOWED UP : LENGTH OF INTERVIEW (MINS)

FACTOR:

MINS IV

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED) SEX

FEMALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:NONE

			2*K ANA	2*2 ANALYSES							
MINS IV		ERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R	LIMITS		TS.
4 =		CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
1-5	35	338	39.30 333.70	0.88	1.00						
6-15	196	1700	199.771696.23	0.98	2.00	0.21	0.6431	1.11	(	0.76,	1.62)
16-20 21+	32	221	26.66 226.34	1.23	3.00	1.35	0.2444	1.40	(	0.84,	2.32)
217	18	127	15.28 129.72	1.20	4.00	0.74	0.3901	1.37	(	0.75,	2.50)
			CHISQ	2.34	2.02						
			D.F.	3	1						
			PROB	0.5042	0.1552						

TABLE 11 FOLLOWED UP : LENGTH OF INTERVIEW (MINS)

FACTOR: MINS IV

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED

STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			2*K AN/	2*2 ANALYSES							
MINS IV		SERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMITS	
		CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
1-5	_63	569	64.89 567.11	0.97	1.00						
6-15	370	3344	379.423334.58	0.97	2.00	0.00	0.9614	1.00	(	0.76,	1.33)
16-20	77	534	62.03 548.97	1.28	3.00	1.66	0.1981	1.28	(	0.90,	1.84)
21+	30	301	33.66 297.34	0.88	4.00	0.06	0.8062	0.92	(	0.59,	1.44)
			CHISQ	4.80	0.40						
			D.F.	3	1						
			PROB	0.1873	0.5258						

			**
DIVIDING FACTOR(S)	TEST FACTOR	CHISQ CONTRIBUTION	4
SEX	MINS IV		
MALE	6-15 16-20 21+	0.42 0.10 1.82	
FEMALE	6-15 16-20 21+	0.29 0.11 1.68	
	6-15 16-20 21+	CHISQ DF 0.71 1 0.20 1 3.49	0.3999

TABLE 12 FOLLOWED UP : MAN CIGS

FACTOR: MANCIG80

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)
SEX MALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

				2*K AN	2*2 ANALYSES							
MANCIG80		ERVED CONTROLS		ECTED CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CHIZ	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMIT	is
NEVER EX	77 75	732 608	79.97	729.03 615.48	0.96 1.12	0.00	0.71	0.3983	1.17	,	0.84.	1.64)
0-17 18-22	35 35	330 307	36.08	328.92 308.19	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.9451	1.01	Ì	0.66,	1.54)
23+	37	384		379.38	0.88	0.00	0.10	0.7529	0.92	(	0.61,	1.38)
				CHISQ D.F. PROB	1.69 4 0.7919	0.00 1 1.0000						

TABLE 12 FOLLOWED UP : MAN CIGS

FACTOR: MANCIG80

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

FEMALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2*K ANALYSIS				2*2 ANALYSES					
MANCIG80	OBSERVED CASES CONTROLS			ECTED CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CHI2	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMIT	s
NEVER EX	116 40	988 376	116.36	987.64 372.15	1.00 0.90	0.00 0.00	0.17	0.6775	0.91	,	0.62,	1 72)
0-17	62	543	63.77	541.23	0.97	0.00	0.01	0.9323	0.97	(	0.70,	1.32) 1.35)
18-22 23+	38 25	285 193		288.96 195.02	1.13 1.10	0.00 0.00	0.29 0.09	0.5902 0.7644	1.14	(	0.77, 0.70,	1.68) 1.75)
		173	22.70				0.07	0.7044	1.10	`	0.70,	1.137
				CHISQ D.F.	1.15 4	0.00 1						
				PROB	0.8870	1.0000						

TABLE 12 FOLLOWED UP : MAN CIGS

FACTOR: MANCIG80

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: SEX

			2*K ANALYSIS			2*2 ANALYSES					
MANCIG80	OBS	SERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB R			LIMITS	
		CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
NEVER	193	1720	196.341716.66	0.98	0.00						
EX	115	984	111.36 987.64	1.04	0.00	0.08	0.7772	1.05	(	0.81,	1.34)
0-17	97	873	99.85 870.15	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.9650	0.99	(	0.76,	1.28)
18-22	73	592	67.85 597.15	1.09	0.00	0.42	0.5164	1.11	Ċ	0.83,	1.48)
23+	62	577	64.60 574.40	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.9713	0.99	(	0.73,	1.35)
			CHISQ	0.84	0.00						
			D.F.	4	1						
			PROB	0.9329	1.0000						

DIVIDING	TEST	CHISQ
FACTOR(S)	FACTOR	CONTRIBUTION

SEX	MANCI G8	30		
MALE	EX 0-17	0.45 0.01		
	18•22 23+	0.01 0.15		
FEMALE	EX 0-17 18-22 23+	0.55 0.01 0.01 0.20		
	EX 0-17 18-22 23+	CHISQ 1.00 0.02 0.03 0.35	DF 1 1 1	PROB 0.317 0.894 0.873 0.554

TABLE 13 FOLLOWED UP : HR CIGS

FACTOR:

HR

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)
SEX MALE

FOLLOWED DISEASE: STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2*K AN	2*2 ANALYSES							
HR	OBSERVED CASES CONTROLS		EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG - ENEITY	TREND	CHI2	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMI	rs
NONE EX NOM	31 22 206	251 161 1951	27.86 254.14 18.08 164.92 213.071943.93	1.13 1.25 0.96	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.04 0.44	0.8481 0.5079	1.11	(	0.62, 0.57,	1.98) 1.27)
			CHISQ D.F. PROB	1.60 2 0.4497	0.00 1 1.0000						

TABLE 13 FOLLOWED UP : HR CIGS

FACTOR:

HR

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED

FEMALE

STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

		2*K ANALYSIS			2*2 ANALYSES				
HR	OBSERVED CASES CONTROLS	EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG - ENEITY	TREND	CHI2	PROB (1DF)	R	LIMITS	
NOW EX NONE	7 23 0 16 274 2347	3.16 26.84 1.69 14.31 276.152344.85	2.58 0.00 0.99	0.00 0.00 0.00	2.72 3.92	0.0991 0.0477	INSUFFIC 0.38 (	TIENT DATA 0.17, 0.87)	
	•	CHISQ D.F. PROB	7.11 2 0.0285	0.00 1 1.0000					

TABLE 13 FOLLOWED UP : HR CIGS

HR

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED

STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			2*K AN	2*K ANALYSIS			2*2 ANALYSES					
HR		SERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG -	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI	TS	
		CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)					
NOW	38	274	31.02 280.98	1.26	0.00							
EX	22	177	19.76 179.24	1.13	0.00	0.05	0.8233	0.90	(	0.52,	1.57)	
NONE	480	4298	489.224288.78	0.98	0.00	1.94	0.1639	0.76	(	0.53,	1.09)	
			CHISQ	2.38	0.00							
			D.F.	2	1							
			PROB	0.3035	1.0000							

TEST OF HOMOGENEITY

DIVIDING TEST FACTOR(S) FACTOR CHISQ

CONTRIBUTION

SEX HR

MALE ΕX 0.48 NONE 0.33

FEMALE EΧ 4.01 NONE 2.56

> CHISQ DΕ PROB 4.49 2.88 0.0341 NONE 0.0894

TABLE 14 FOLLOWED UP : PIPE

FACTOR: PIPE SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED) SEX MALE

FOLLOWED DISEASE: STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2*K ANALYSIS			2*2 ANALYSES					
PIPE	OBSERVED CASES CONTROLS		EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CH12	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMITS	
NOW	22	213	23.21 211.79	0.94	0.00				_		
EX NONE	16 221	140 2010	15.41 140.59 220.382010.62	1.04 1.00	0.00 0.00	0.01 0.02	0.9061 0.8799	1.11	(	0.56, 0.67,	2.18) 1.69)
HOHE		2010	220.302010.02	7.00	0.00	0.02	0.0177	1.00	`	0.01,	1.077
			CHISQ	0.10	0.00						
			D.F. PROB	2 0.9525	1 1.0000						

TABLE 14 FOLLOWED UP : PIPE

FACTOR: PIPE

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED

SEX

FEMALE

STRATIFIED FOR:NONE

				2*K AN	ALYSIS		2*2 ANALYSES					
PIPE		SERVED		ECTED	HOMOG -	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R	LIMITS		
	CASES	CONTROLS	CASES	CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
NOM	0	G	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
EX	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
NONE	281	2386	281.002	386.00	1.00	0.00			•			
				CHISQ	INSUFFI	CIENT DATA	4					

TABLE 14 FOLLOWED UP : PIPE

FACTOR: PIPE

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			2*K AN		2*2 ANALYSES								
PIPE		SERVED CONTROLS	EXPECTED CASES CONTROLS	HOMOG- ENEITY	TREND	CHIZ	PROB (1DF)	R		LIMI	TS		
NOM	22	213	23.21 211.79	0.94	0.00		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
EX	16	140	15.41 140.59	1.04	0.00	0.01	0.9061	1.11	(	0.56,	2.18)		
NONE	502	4396	501.384396.62	1.00	0.00	0.02	0.8799	1.06	(	0.67,	1.69)		
			CHISQ	0.10	0.00								
			D.F.	2	1								
			PROB	0.9525	1.0000								

TEST OF HOMOGENEITY

DIVIDING TEST FACTOR(S) FACTOR CHISQ CONTRIBUTION

SEX PIPE

MALE ΕX 0.00 NONE 0.00

FEMALE EΧ 0.00 NONE 0.00

> CHISQ DF PRO8 0.00 ΕX 1.0000 0 NONE 0.00 0 1.0000

TABLE 15 FOLLOWED UP : CRS

FÁCTOR:

CIGAR

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)
SEX MALE

DISEASE: STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

FOLLOWED

		2*K AN	2*2 ANALYSES							
CIGAR	OBSERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI.	TS
	CASES CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
NOW	20 264	28.05 255.95	0.69	0.00						
EX	12 71	8.20 74.80	1.54	0.00	3.55	0.0597	2.23	(	1.06,	4.71)
OCC	50 566	60.85 555.15	0.81	0.00	0.18	0.6706	1.17	(	0.68.	2.00)
NONE	177 1462	161.901477.10	1.10	0.00	3.32	0.0686	1.60	Ċ	0.99,	2.57)

CHISQ 8.23 0.00 D.F. 3 1.0000 0.0416 PROB

TABLE 15 FOLLOWED UP : CRS

FACTOR:

CIGAR

DISEASE: FOLLOWED

SEX

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED) FEMALE

STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

				2*K AN/	ALYSIS	2*2 ANALYSES						
CIGAR	089	SERVED	EXP	ECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CH12	PROB	Ŕ		LIMIT	S
	CASES	CONTROLS	CASES	CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
NOM	1	5	0.63	5.37	1.70	0.00						
EX	0	3	3 0.32 2.68		0.00	0.00	0.12	0.7237	INSUFF	ICI	ENT DATA	
OCC	9	96	11.06	93.94	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.9528	0.47	(	0.05,	4.29)
NONE	271	2282	268.992	284.01	1.01	0.00	0.03	0.8551	0.59	(	0.07,	4.98)
				CHISQ D.F. PROB	1.04 3 0.7918	0.00 1 1.0000						

TABLE 15 FOLLOWED UP : CRS

FACTOR: CIGAR

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			2*K AN	2*2 ANALYSES							
CIGAR	OBS	ERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CH12	PROB	R	LIMITS		ſS
		CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)				
NOM	21	269	28.69 261.31	0.71	0.00						
EX	12	74	8.51 77.49	1.48	0.00	2.89	0.0893	2.05	(	0.98,	4.29)
220	59	662	71.91 649.09	0.80	0.00	0.09	0.7662	1.12	(	0.66,	1.89)
NONE	448	3744	430.893761.11	1.04	0.00	2.97	0.0850	1.54	(	0.97,	2.46)
			CHISQ	7.94	0.00						
			D.F.	3	1						
			PROB	0.0472	1.0000						

DIVIDING FACTOR(S)	 CHISQ CONTRIBUTION

SEX	CIGAR	
MALE	EX OCC NONE	0.05 0.02 0.02
FEMALE	EX OCC NONE	1.08 0.61 0.82

	CHISQ	DF	PROB
EX	1.12	1	0.2892
000	0.63	1	0.4273
NONE	0.84	1	0.3604

TABLE 16 FOLLOWED UP : AGE(9)

FACTOR: AGE5
SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)
SEX MALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

			2*K ANALYSIS				2*2 ANALYSES						
AGE5	OBSE	RVED	EXI	PECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI.	rs	
	CASES (	CONTROLS	CASES	CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)					
25-29	17	376	38.84	354.16	0.41	1.00							
30-34	30	350	37.55	342.45	0.78	2.00	3.70	0.0543	1.90	(	1.04,	3.47)	
35-39	35	384	41.40	377.60	0.83	3.00	4.83	0.0280	2.02	(	1.12,	3.63)	
40-44	46	238	28.06	255.94	1.76	4.00	26.10	0.0000	4.27	(	2.48,	7.36)	
45-49	37	249	28.26	257.74	1.36	5.00	15.59	0.0001	3.29	(	1.86,	5.81)	
50-54	35	224	25.59	233.41	1.42	6.00	16.70	0.0000	3.46	(	1.95,	6.14)	
55-59	27	229	25.30	230.70	1.08	7.00	8.52	0.0035	2.61	(	1.42,	4.80)	
60-64	27	277	30.04	273.96	0.89	8.00	5.26	0.0218	2.16	Ċ	1.17,	3.98)	
65+	5	35	3.95	36.05	1.30	9.00	3.47	0.0625	3.16	(	1.15,	8.65)	
				CHISQ	36.72	9.63							
				D.F.	8	1							
				PROB	0.0000	0.0019							

TABLE 16 FOLLOWED UP : AGE(9)

FACTOR: AGE5
SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED

STRATIFIED FOR:NONE

SEX FEMALE

			2*K ANALYSIS				2*2 ANALYSES						
AGE5	OBSI	ERVED	EXF	PECTED	HOMOG -	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMIT	s	
	CASES	CONTROLS	CASES	CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)					
25-29	30	330	37.93	322.07	0.77	1.00							
30-34	33	341	39.41	334.59	0.82	2.00	0.01	0.9163	1.06	(	0.63.	1.79)	
35-39	56	405	48.57	412.43	1.17	3.00	2.74	0.0979	1.52	è	0.96.	2.42)	
40-44	32	281	32.98	280.02	0.97	4.00	0.51	0.4767	1.25	Ċ	0.74.	2.11)	
45-49	31	239	28.45	241.55	1.10	5.00	1.40	0.2359	1.43	è	0.84.	2.42)	
50-54	25	199	23.60	200.40	1.07	6.00	0.98	0.3217	1.38	Ċ	0.79.	2.41)	
55-59	34	226	27.39	232.61	1.28	7.00	3.17	0.0750	1.65	è	0.99.	2.77)	
60-64	33	324	37.61	319.39	0.86	8.00	0.09	0.7654	1.12	ì	0.67.	1.88)	
65+	7	41	5.06	42.94	1.45	9.00	1.32	0.2512	1.88	Ċ	0.78,	4.50)	
				CHISQ	7.91	1.44							
				D.F.	8	1							
				PROB	0.4421	0.2304							

TABLE 16 FOLLOWED UP : AGE(9)

FACTOR: AGE5
SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

D.F. PROB DISEASE: FOLLOWED

STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			2*K ANALYSIS				2*2 ANALYSES						
AGE5	OBS	ERVED	EXI	PECTED	HOMOG -	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI	rs	
	CASES	CONTROLS	CASES	CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)					
25-29	47	706	76.77	676.23	0.59	1.00							
30-34	63	691	76.96	677.04	0.80	2.00	2.09	0.1483	1.36	(	0.92,	2.01)	
35-39	91	789	89.98	790.02	1.01	3.00	7.59	0.0059	1.70	(	1.18,	2.44)	
40-44	78	519	61.04	535.96	1.32	4.00	17.69	0.0000	2.23	(	1.55,	3.21)	
45-49	68	488	56.71	499.29	1.23	5.00	13.51	0.0002	2.08	(	1.42,	3.05)	
50-54	60	423	49.19	433.81	1.25	6.00	13.53	0.0002	2.14	(	1.44,	3.17)	
55-59	61	455	52.69	463.31	1.18	7.00	11.17	0.0008	1.99	(	1.35,	2.95)	
60-64	60	601	67.65	593.35	0.88	8.00	3.26	0.0711	1.46	(	0.99,	2.17)	
65+	12	76	9.01	78.99	1.38	9.00	4.98	0.0257	2.28	(	1.18,	4.41)	
				CHISQ	29.60	9.07							
				D.F.	8	1							
				PROB	0.0002	0.0026							

DIVIDING FACTOR(S)	TEST FACTOR	CHISQ CONTRIBUTION	DIVIDING FACTOR(S)	TEST FACTOR	CHISQ CONTRIBUTION				
SEX	AGE5		SEX	AGE5			CHISQ	DF	PROB
MALE	30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65+	1.14 0.32 4.99 2.27 2.49 0.71 1.48 0.37	FEMALE	30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65+	0.87 0.21 4.76 1.99 2.36 0.49 1.03 0.18	30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65+	2.00 0.53 9.75 4.26 4.84 1.20 2.51 0.56	1 1 1 1 1 1	0.1569 0.4656 0.0018 0.0389 0.0277 0.2735 0.1130 0.4563

TABLE 17 FOLLOWUED UP : SOCIAL CLASS (6)

FÁCTOR: SOCCL6 SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)
SEX MALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR: NONE

				2*K ANA	ALYSIS			2*2	ANALYSES					
SOCCL6		ERVED		ECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMI	TS		
		CONTROLS		CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)						
A	4	76		72.09	0.48	1.00								
В	28	338	36.17	329.83	0.76	2.00	0.35	0.5536	1.57	(	0.54,	4.59)		
C1	40	541	57.41	523.59	0.67	3.00	0.16	0.6932	1.40	(	0.49,	4.02)		
CZ	110	893	99.11	903.89	1.12	4.00	2.20	0.1379	2.34	(	0.86,	6.34)		
D	71	469	53.36	486.64	1.38	5.00	3.61	0.0574	2.88	(	1.06,	7.77)		
E	6	45	5.04	45.96	1.22	6.00	1.17	0.2801	2.53	(	0.70,	9.17)		
				CHISQ	18.04	14.87								
				D.F.	5	1								
				PROB	0.0029	0.0001								

TABLE 17 FOLLOWUED UP : SOCIAL CLASS (6)

FACTOR: SOCCL6

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)
SEX FEMALE

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:NONE

				2*K AN	ALYSIS_		2*2 ANALYSES									
SOCCL6		RVED	EXF	PECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHI2	PROB	R		LIMITS					
	CASES (	CONTROLS	CASES	CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)								
Α	11	7.8		79.62	1.20	1.00										
В	39	344	40.35	342.65	0.96	2.00	0.17	0.6822	0.80	(	0.39,	1.64)				
C1	50	611	69.64	591.36	0.69	3.00	1.81	0.1782	0.58	(	0.29,	1.15)				
C2	109	<b>78</b> 0	93.67	795.33	1.19	4.00	0.02	0.8868	0.99	(	0.51,	1.92)				
D	62	453	54.26	460.74	1.16	5.00	0.01	0.9280	0.97	(	0.49,	1.93)				
E	10	120	13.70	116.30	0.71	6.00	0.84	0.3594	0.59	(	0.24,	1.45)				
				CHISQ	11.71	0.91										
				D.F.	5	1										
				PROB	0.0390	0.3407										

TABLE 17 FOLLOWUED UP : SOCIAL CLASS (6)

FACTOR: SOCCL6

SELECTION: (15 REJECTS TREATED AS NON FOLLOWED)

DISEASE: FOLLOWED STRATIFIED FOR:SEX

			_ 2*K AN/	ALYSIS		2*2 ANALYSES								
SOCCL6	OBS	SERVED	EXPECTED	HOMOG-	TREND	CHIZ	PROB	R		LIMI	S			
	CASES	CONTROLS	CASES CONTROLS	ENEITY			(1DF)							
A	15	154	17.28 151.72	0.86	1.00									
В	67	682	76.52 672.48	0.86	2.00	0.01	0.9211	1.02	(	0.56.	1.83)			
C1	90	1152	127.061114.94	0.69	3.00	0.37	0.5453	0.80	Ċ	0.45.	1.42)			
C2	219	1673	192.781699.22	1.15	4.00	0.94	0.3323	1.36	Ċ	0.78.	2.36)			
D	133	922	107.62 947.38	1.27	5.00	1.57	0.2101	1.48	ì	0.85.	2.59)			
E	16	165	18.74 162.26	0.84	6.00	0.00	0.9569	0.95	Ċ	0.47,	1.93)			
			CHISQ	24.85	10.82									
			D.F.	5	1									
			PROB	0.0001	0.0010									

DIVIDING FACTOR(S)	TEST FACTOR	CHISQ CONTRIBUT		
SEX	SOCCL6			
MALE	B C1 C2 D E	0.65 1.12 1.10 1.63 2.26		
FEMALE	B C1 C2 D	0.41 0.83 0.88 1.49 1.09		
1 1	B C1 C2 D	CHISQ 1.06 1.95 1.99 3.12 3.34	DF 1 1 1 1	PROB 0.3033 0.1626 0.1585 0.0775 0.0675



### APPENDIX F

RESTRICTED TO SUBJECTS STATING A SPECIFIC BRAND NAME IN 1980 AND RECALL, AND EITHER A SPECIFIC NAME OR NON-SMOKER IN 1985. BRAND SMOKED IN 1980 AS REPORTED IN 1980 AND AS RECALLED IN 1985, BRAND SMOKED IN 1985 N.B. INCORRECT RECALL BRANDS ARE MARKED \* IF NOT IN 1980 LIST.

## NON SMOKER OF MANUFACTURED CIGARETTES IN 1985

CORRECT RECALL

SERIAL 1980 BRAND

RECALL BRAND=1980

6 PARK DRIVE PLAIN 1 WOODBINE PLAIN 10092

6 PARK DRIVE PLAIN 24099 11377

6 PARK DRIVE PLAIN 6 PARK DRIVE PLAIN 10107

38 PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER 22445

38 PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER 38 PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER .2130 2185

38 PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER 11262

38 PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER 12656 12401

SILK CUT FILTER (RED) 60 EMBASSY FILTER 22605

FILTER EMBASSY NO.1 EXTRA MILD K.S. 23315 101 14215

FILTER SPECIAL FILTER BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL BENSON & HEDGES K.S. 101 101 22171 21728

BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL 101 2648

ROTHMANS K.S. FILTER 103 103 .0114 .3525

SILK CUT K.S. FILTER(PURPLE/MAUVE) ROTHMANS K.S. FILTER 109 .2290

SILK CUT K.S. FILTER(PURPLE/MAUVE) 109 21289 1901

ROTHAMNS INTERNATIONAL FILTER

DUNHILL K.S. FILTER 9600

### INCORRECT RECALL

RECALL BRAND  14 PLAYERS MEDIUM NAVY CUT PLAIN  70 EMBASSY NO.1 EXTRA MILD K.S. FILTER  88 TOHN PLAYER K.S. FILTER	74 EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILIER 190 WENSITAS CHIR V S. FILTER			109 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER(PURPLE/MAUVE)	SO FLAIERS NO. O FILIER 85 PLAYERS NO. 6 K.S. FILTER		84 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S. FILTER(BLACK)	81	85 PLAYERS NO.6 K.S. FILTER	81 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL FILTER	38 PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER	60 EMBASSY FILTER	*95 BENSON & HEDGES LONGER LENGTH	107 DUNHILL INT FILTER	78 LAMBERT & BUTLER K.S. FILTER	81 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL FILTER	*95 BENSON & HEDGES LONGER LENGTH	60 EMBASSY FILTER	101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER
SERIAL 1980 BRAND 11894 15 SENIOR SERVICE PLAIN 20490 24 PLAYERS NO.10 EXTRA MILD FILTER 13222 38 PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER	41 EMBASSY R	3 48 KENSITAS 9 60 EMBASSY F	60 EMBASSY F	11451 65 SILK CUT FILTER (RED)	75	10333 78 LAMBERT & BUTLER K.S. FILTER	81 JOHN	78 07	84 JOHN	8 88 JOHN PLAY	4 101 BENSON &	7 101 BENSON &	11538 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER	22843 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER	13761 103 ROTHMANS K.S. FILTER	10313 106 STATE EXPRESS 555 K.S. FILTER	356	G	21853 206 DUNHILL K.S. FILTER

# SMOKER OF MANUFACTURED CIGARETTES 1980 & 1985, BRAND UNCHANGED

1985 BRAND=1980

### CORRECT RECALL

RECALL BRAND=1980		
SERIAL 1980 BRAND 20268 1 WOODBINE PLAIN 13627 6 PARK DRIVE PLAIN 10079 6 PARK DRIVE PLAIN 12904 6 PARK DRIVE PLAIN	114 115 122 22 38 38 40 41 41 41 41 41 41	NO.1 K.S. F NO.6 K.S. F NO.6 K.S. F HEDGES K.S. TILTER K.S. FILTER K.S. FILTER CLUB K.S. GLUB K.S.

12016 201 ROTHMANS INTERNATIONAL FILTER

20488 206 DUNHILL K.S. FILTER 21554 206 DUNHILL K.S. FILTER

10895 220 KENSITAS K.S. FILTER

10537 220 KENSITAS K.S. FILTER

### INCORRECT RECALL

RECALL BRAND	6 PARK DRIVE PLAIN	41 EMBASSY REGAL FILTER
SERIAL 1980 BRAND	11955 38 PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER	21625 52 KENSITAS CLUB MILD K.S. FILTER
SERIA	11955	21625

NEWSTINS CLUB MILL K.S. FILIER 65 SILK CUT FILTER (RED) 53 DORCHESTER K.S. 24036 23228

101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER EMBASSY EXTRA MILD FILTER EMBASSY NO.1 EXTRA MILD K.S. FILTER 22216 22382

SILK CUT FILTER (RED) EMBASSY REGAL FILTER EMBASSY FILTER EMBASSY FILTER 24548 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER EMBASSY NO.1 K.S. FILTER 23108 10122

21534 109 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER(PURPLE/MAUVE)

SIIK CUT K.S. FILTER(PURPLE/MAUVE)

13616 109

PETER STUYVESANT K.S. FILTER

EMBASSY FILTER

PLAYERS NO.6 K.S. FILTER

1985 BRAND=1980

## SMOKER OF MANUFACTURED CIGARETTES 1980 & 1985, BRAND DIFFERS

### CORRECT RECALL

1985 BRAND 82 LAMBERT & BUTLER SP MILD K.S. FILTER 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER 115 FINE FARE K.S. 50 CRAVEN "A" MILD K.S. FILTER 84 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S. FILTER(BLACK) 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER 84 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S. FILTER 85 LAMBERT & BUTLER SP MILD K.S. FILTER 65 SILK CUT FILTER (RED) 84 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S. FILTER(BLACK) 71 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS 71 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS 71 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS 71 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS 72 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS 73 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS 74 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS 75 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS 76 DUNHILL K.S. FILTER 78 LAMBERT & BUTLER 78 LAMBERT & BUTLER 78 LAMBERT & BUTLER 78 LAMBERT & BUTLER	1985 BRAND=RECALL
RECALL BRAND=1980	RECALL BRAND 85 PLAYERS NO.6 K.S. FILTER 109 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER(PURPLE/MAUVE) 85 PLAYERS NO.6 K.S. FILTER 120 KENSITAS CLUB K.S. FILTER 206 DUNHILL K.S. FILTER 103 ROTHMANS K.S. FILTER 109 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER 81 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL FILTER 78 LAMBERT & BUTLER K.S. FILTER
SERIAL 1980 BRAND  10522 6 PARK DRIVE PLAIN  11356 6 PARK DRIVE PLAIN  21867 25 SOVEREIGN FILTER  13606 37 SILK CUT NO.3 FILTER (BLUE)  22233 37 SILK CUT NO.3 FILTER  20628 40 EMBASSY EXTRA MILD FILTER  11470 41 EMBASSY REGAL FILTER  11470 41 EMBASSY FILTER  1367 58 ROTHMANS K.S. SPECIAL MILD FILTER  1365 60 EMBASSY FILTER  1365 60 EMBASSY FILTER  24126 65 SILK CUT FILTER (RED)  21640 67 CARLTON LONG SIZE FILTER  11978 79 EMBASSY NO.1 K.S. FILTER  21307 81 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL FILTER  21309 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER  22008 107 DUNHILL INT FILTER  23689 109 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER(PURPLE/MAUVE)  11395 200 WINSTON K.S. FILTER(PURPLE/MAUVE)  11395 200 WINSTON K.S. FILTER	NO.10 FI NO.5 FI NO.6 FIL S CLUB FI IER K.S. FER K.S. FER K.S.

1332 88 JOHN PLAYER K.S. FILTER (BLUE) 13383 88 JOHN PLAYER K.S. FILTER (BLUE) 121742 88 JOHN PLAYER K.S. FILTER (BLUE) 21738 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER 11323 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER 11323 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER 12526 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER 12796 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER 12797 104 FETER STUYVESANT K.S. FILTER 12796 109 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER 12796 201 WINSTON K.S. FILTER 13797 201 GRAVEN "A" K.S. FILTER 1360 311 CRAVEN "A" K.S. FILTER 1360 311 CRAVEN "A" K.S. FILTER 1360 315 SERIOR SERVICE PLAIN 1361 15 SERIOR SERVICE PLAIN 1362 21 CRAVEN "A" MILD FILTER 1360 48 KENSITAS OO.6 EXTRA MILD FILTER 1260 48 KENSITAS CUB FILTER 1260 48 KENSITAS CUB FILTER 1260 48 KENSITAS CUB FILTER 1270 31 PLAYERS NO.6 EXTRA MILD FILTER 1270 32388 50 CRAVEN "A" MILD K.S. FILTER 1270 60 EMBASSY FILTER 1270 60 EMBASSY FILTER 1370 60 EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER 1371 61 DU MAUNER K.S. FILTER 1372 62 SILK CUT FILTER (RED) 1073 65 SILK CUT FILTER 1370 60 EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER 1371 61 EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER 1371 6	EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S. FILTER JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S. FILTER EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S. FILTER JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S. FILTER(BLACK SILK CUT K.S. FILTER(PURPLE/MAUVE) BUNHILL LUXURY LENGHT FILTER EMBASSY NO.1 EXTRA MILD K.S. FILTER MARLBORO 100S FILTER EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER CALTON LONG SIZE FILTER CADETS FILTER PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER SILK CUT FILTER (RED) WOODBINE PLAIN EMBASSY REGAL FILTER EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER EMBASSY FILTER SILK CUT K.S. FILTER EMBASSY FILTER SILK CUT K.S. FILTER EMBASSY FILTER	1985 BRAND 72 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS LOW TAR 102 PETER STUTVVESANT EX MILD K.S. FILTER 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER 79 EMBASSY NO. 1 K.S. FILTER 120 KENSITAS CLUB K.S. FILTER 13 JORCHESTER K.S. 93 VICTORIA WINE K.S. 94 VICTORIA WINE K.S. 159 BERKELEY EXTRA MILD K.S. FILTER 101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER 102 KENSITAS CLUB K.S. FILTER 103 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER 104 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER 105 GRAVEN "A" MILD K.S. FILTER 106 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER 117 EMBASSY NO. 1 K.S. FILTER 118 JEHAIR MENTHOL K.S. FILTER 119 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER 119 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER 110 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER 110 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER 110 SILK CUT K.S. FILTER 111 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS
EMBASSY NO.1 K.S. FILTER EMBASSY NO.1 K.S. FILTER JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL FILTER LAMBERT & BUTLER SP MILD K.S. FILTER JOHN PLAYER VANGUARD FILTER JOHN PLAYER VANGUARD FILTER	EMBASSY EXTRA MILD FILTER EMBASSY FILTER EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER EMBASSY REGAL FILTER EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER	

53 DORCHESTER K.S.	7.1		72 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS LOW TAR	206 DUNHILL K.S. FILTER	50 CRAVEN "A" MILD K.S. FILTER	101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER	72 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS LOW TAR	71 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS	206 DUNHILL K.S. FILTER	75 JOHN PLAYER K.S. EX MILD FILTER(RED)	86 BERKELEY LUXURY LENGTH FILTER	71 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS	121 STATE EXPRESS 555 EX MILD K.S. FILTER	71 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS	92 RAFFLES 100S	93 VICTORIA WINE K.S.	60 EMBASSY FILTER	206 DUNHILL K.S. FILTER	71 JOHN PLAYER SUPERKINGS	50 CRAVEN "A" MILD K.S. FILTER	53 DORCHESTER K.S.	50 CRAVEN "A" MILD K.S. FILTER
101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER	60 EMBASSY	79	) *95 BENSON & HEDGES LONGER LENGTH	101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER	*219 ARDATH K.S. FILTER	38 PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER	41 EMBASSY REGAL FILTER	60 EMBASSY FILTER	65 SILK CUT FILTER (RED)	38 PLAYERS NO.6 FILTER	74 EMBASSY REGAL K.S. FILTER	81 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL FILTER	52 KENSITAS CLUB MILD K.S. FILTER	22 PLAYERS NO.10 FILTER	60 EMBASSY FILTER	15 SENIOR SERVICE PLAIN	101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER	101 BENSON & HEDGES K.S. SPECIAL FILTER	106 STATE EXPRESS 555 K.S. FILTER	79 EMBASSY NO.1 K.S. FILTER	221 CRAVEN "A" K.S. FILTER	220 KENSITAS K.S. FILTER
83	84 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S.	84 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S.	84 JOHN PLAYER SPECIAL K.S.	84	84	82	82	& &	88	101	101	101	102		107	108	109		206	207	220	20724 221 CRAVEN "A" K.S. FILTER

. •