## ETS AND LUNG CANCER
### META-ANALYSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Estimates included</th>
<th>Number of estimates</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% confidence limits)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed-effects meta analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband smoked†</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.17 (1.11-1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N America</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.11 (1.01-1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.25 (1.10-1.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.19 (1.09-1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Japan</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.25 (1.04-1.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- HongKong</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.45 (1.13-1.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- China</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.01 (0.90-1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.54 (1.25-1.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1981-1989</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.38 (1.23-1.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1990-2003</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.11 (1.04-1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1981-1986</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.30 (1.11-1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1987-1989</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.49 (1.26-1.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1990-1994</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.99 (0.89-1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1995-1998</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.23 (1.08-1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1999-2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.18 (1.05-1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-49 cases</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.32 (1.03-1.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-99</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.35 (1.17-1.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100-199</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.14 (1.01-1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200-399</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.35 (1.19-1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00 (0.90-1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.16 (1.09-1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.23 (1.05-1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not age adjusted</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.55 (1.32-1.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age adjusted</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.12 (1.06-1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose-response results:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reported</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.00 (0.90-1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.25 (1.17-1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spouse the index</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.17 (1.10-1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spouse not the index</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.20 (1.03-1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wife smoked†</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.13 (0.95-1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N America</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.03 (0.79-1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.19 (0.91-1.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.28 (0.80-2.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ETS AND LUNG CANCER META-ANALYSES (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Estimates</th>
<th>Number of included estimates</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% confidence limits)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed-effects meta analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband or wife smoked†,‡</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.17 (1.11-1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N America</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.10 (1.01-1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.24 (1.10-1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.19 (1.09-1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Japan</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.29 (1.09-1.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- HongKong</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.45 (1.13-1.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- China</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.00 (0.89-1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Other</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.55 (1.26-1.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1981-1989</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.40 (1.25-1.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1990-2003</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.10 (1.03-1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1981-1986</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.32 (1.14-1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1987-1989</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.52 (1.28-1.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1990-1994</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.99 (0.89-1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1995-1998</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.21 (1.08-1.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Published in 1999-2003</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.15 (1.03-1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-49 cases</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.59 (1.21-2.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-99</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.26 (1.10-1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100-199</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.20 (1.06-1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200-399</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.26 (1.12-1.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.03 (0.94-1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.16 (1.09-1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.21 (1.05-1.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not age adjusted</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.53 (1.31-1.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age adjusted</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.13 (1.06-1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose-response results not reported</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.00 (0.91-1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose-response results reported</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.26 (1.18-1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spouse the index</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.17 (1.11-1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spouse not the index</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.14 (1.00-1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace ETS exposure§</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.21 (1.11-1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N America</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.18 (1.04-1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.16 (0.97-1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.28 (1.11-1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood ETS exposure**</td>
<td>From any cohabitant</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.07 (0.99-1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From the mother specifically</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.96 (0.77-1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From the father specifically</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.90 (0.80-1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From parents specifically</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.69 (0.54-0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household ETS exposure††</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.10 (1.02-1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From sources other than the spouse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.04 (0.87-1.24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ETS AND LUNG CANCER META-ANALYSES (Continued 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Estimates included</th>
<th>Number of estimates</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% confidence limits)*</th>
<th>Fixed-effects meta analysis</th>
<th>Random-effects meta-analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ETS exposure in travel‡‡</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.24 (1.01-1.53)</td>
<td>1.34 (0.94-1.93)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social ETS exposure‡‡</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.04 (0.92-1.17)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.80-1.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ETS exposure</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.19 (1.07-1.34)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.02-1.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Relative risk estimates and 95% confidence limits used in these meta-analyses are adjusted for covariates if adjusted data are available, and otherwise are unadjusted. If the source publication provides more than one adjusted estimate, the data that are normally presented are those adjusted for most covariates.
† Index of exposure based on smoking by the spouse or, if not available, the nearest equivalent.
‡ Based on 56 estimates for women, 16 for men and 6 for sexes combined.
§ Results are based on analyses limited to the working population, if available. Otherwise the unexposed group includes those who do not work.
** Where estimates are available for more than one period of childhood, those for the earliest period have been used.
†† Where estimates are only available for specific household members, those for the mother have been used.
‡‡ Where estimates are available for more than one index of social or travel ETS exposure, those for the index with the highest frequency of exposure have been used.

A document¹ and a full computer output² provide more information on the relative risks combined, significance tests of heterogeneity and other detail.
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