EPIDEMIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE ON ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE AND HEART DISEASE

- 1. 56 epidemiological studies of heart disease and ETS among lifelong non-smokers have been published.
- 2. The overall evidence from these studies does not clearly indicate any increased risk of heart disease in relation to workplace ETS exposure. Only one of 22 results reported shows a statistically significant association, and the combined evidence is not significant.
- 3. Although most published estimates for spousal smoking are not statistically significant, there have been reports of a significant association or dose-response relationship in some studies. However, there are a number of reasons why the findings should not be interpreted as indicating a causal effect of ETS exposure including:
 - The reported results vary markedly with study size. Meta-analyses by study size show quite a small reported increase in risk (less than 10%) in studies involving over 1000 heart disease cases, but a much larger reported increase (over 60%) in studies with fewer than 100 cases.
 - Many of the studies fail to consider possible lifestyle confounding factors. There are over 300 different risk factors reported for heart disease¹ and several studies have shown differences in many lifestyle factors between smoking and non-smoking households.²⁻¹¹
 - The studies generally rely on reported rather than objectively measured ETS exposure data. One¹² of the six studies¹³⁻¹⁷ using serum or salivary cotinine and the single study¹⁸ using carboxyhaemoglobin as a marker of ETS exposure found a significant relationship between the marker and risk of heart disease. However, the combined evidence from these studies does not show a significant relationship.
 - Some of the studies^{11,19-21} have relied on unvalidated reports by the subject of current or past heart disease, with no confirmation of the diagnosis.
 - Results from one of the very largest studies,²² which found no relationship with spousal smoking, have been excluded by some reviewers.^{23,24} Another very large study,²⁵ which also found no relationship, has been widely criticised but for reasons which bear little or no relationship to the data presented.²⁶ Whether or not its results are excluded from overall analysis makes little difference to the overall conclusions to be drawn.
 - The studies may have inappropriately included some misclassified current and former smokers, and biochemical evidence has indicated that self-reporting after a coronary diagnosis is distorted²⁷. A study reporting particularly high heart disease mortality among smokers who deny smoking,²⁸ suggests the possibility of bias resulting from such misclassification.
- 4. Extrapolation from active smoking data to estimate risk at low exposure lacks scientific credibility. The mechanistic theories that have been proposed to support such extrapolation^{23,29} are speculative.
- 5. Taken as a whole, the epidemiology does not provide strong support for the claim that exposure to ETS causes heart disease in non-smokers.

THE DATA

The tables and figures that follow summarize the key evidence in relation to heart disease and ETS exposure.

- Table 1 gives details of the 56 studies providing data.
- Table 2 shows the actual indices of spousal smoking (or the nearest equivalent) for which data are available.
- Tables 3 and 5 show, for spousal smoking and for workplace ETS exposure respectively, the individual relative risk estimates and 95% confidence limits for each successive study.
- Tables 4 and 6 show, for spousal smoking and workplace ETS exposure respectively, relative risk estimates by extent of exposure together with the significance of the dose-related trend statistic.
- Table 7 presents dose-response data in relation to other indices of ETS exposure.

The term "relative risk" is taken to include direct estimates of the relative risk from prospective studies, and indirect estimates (odds ratios) from case-control or cross-sectional studies. Relative risk estimates and 95% confidence limits in Tables 3 to 7 are adjusted for covariates if adjusted data are available, and otherwise are unadjusted. Where, in some cases, the source publication provides more than one adjusted estimate, the data that are normally presented are those adjusted for most covariates. Where studies present appropriate data on numbers of cases and controls (or populations at risk) unadjusted relative risks and 95% confidence limits are calculated, or checked, using the CIA program described by Morris and Gardner.³⁰

Some studies reported adjusted relative risks and confidence intervals only by level of the exposure of interest. These adjusted risks and intervals were used to estimate corresponding "effective numbers" of cases and controls (or subjects at risk) at each level, which could then be combined to allow estimation of risks and confidence intervals for overall exposure.³¹

The tables are based on results from the sources listed under "References to data sources" which follows the tables. Appendix A explains why results from other specific publications, which might have been thought to cite relevant data, are not included in the tables.

Meta-analyses of these data are available.³²⁻³⁴

This work was supported by the tobacco industry. The accuracy of the material presented and the interpretation of the findings are solely the responsibility of the authors.

References to text

- 1. Hopkins PN, Williams RR. Identification and relative weight of cardiovascular risk factors. *Cardiol Clin* 1986;**4**:3-31.
- 2. Sidney S, Caan BJ, Friedman GD. Dietary intake of carotene in nonsmokers with and without passive smoking at home. *Am J Epidemiol* 1989;**129**:1305-9.
- 3. Thompson DH, Warburton DM. Lifestyle differences between smokers, ex-smokers and non-smokers, and implications for their health. *Psychol Health* 1992;**7**:311-21.
- 4. Thornton A, Lee P, Fry J. Differences between smokers, ex-smokers, passive smokers and non-smokers. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1994;**47**:1143-62.
- 5. Cress RD, Holly EA, Ahn DK, Kristiansen JJ, Aston DA. Contraceptive use among women smokers and nonsmokers in the San Francisco Bay area. *Prev Med* 1994;23:181-9.
- 6. Subar AF, Harlan LC, Mattson ME. Food and nutrient intake differences between smokers and non-smokers in the US. *Am J Public Health* 1990;**80**:1323-9.
- 7. Le Marchand L, Wilkens LR, Hankin JH, Haley NJ. Dietary patterns of female nonsmokers with and without exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. *Cancer Causes Control* 1991;2:11-6.
- 8. Matanoski G, Kanchanaraksa S, Lantry D, Chang Y. Characteristics of nonsmoking women in NHANES I and NHANES I epidemiologic follow-up study with exposure to spouses who smoke. *Am J Epidemiol* 1995;**142**:149-57.
- 9. Dallongeville J, Marécaux N, Fruchart J-C, Amouyel P. Cigarette smoking is associated with unhealthy patterns of nutrient intake: a meta-analysis. *J Nutr* 1998;**128**:1450-7.
- 10. Forastiére F, Mallone S, Lo Presti E, Baldacci S, Pistelli F, Simoni M, *et al.* Characteristics of nonsmoking women exposed to spouses who smoke: epidemiologic study on environment and health in women from four Italian areas. *Environ Health Perspect* 2000;**108**:1171-89.
- 11. Iribarren C, Friedman GD, Klatsky AL, Eisner MD. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke: association with personal characteristics and self reported health conditions. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2001;**55**:721-8.
- 12. Whincup PH, Gilg JA, Emberson JR, Jarvis MJ, Feyerabend C, Bryant A, *et al.* Passive smoking and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: prospective study with cotinine measurement. *BMJ* 2004;**329**:200-4.
- 13. Tunstall-Pedoe H, Brown CA, Woodward M, Tavendale R. Passive smoking by self report and serum cotinine and the prevalence of respiratory and coronary heart disease in the Scottish heart health study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1995;**49**:139-43.
- 14. Chen R, Tavendale R, Tunstall-Pedoe H. Environmental tobacco smoke and prevalent coronary heart disease among never smokers in the Scottish MONICA surveys. *Occup Environ Med* 2004;**61**:790-2.
- 15. Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Kivimaki M, Lowe GD, Batty GD. Objectively measured secondhand smoke exposure and risk of cardiovascular disease. What is the mediating role of inflammatory and hemostatic factors? *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;**56**:18-23.
- 16. Jefferis BJ, Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Wannamethee SG, Feyerabend C, Doig M, *et al.* Cotinine-assessed second-hand smoke exposure and risk of cardiovascular disease in older adults. *Heart* 2010;**96**:854-9.
- 17. Batty GD, Gale CR, Jefferis B, Kvaavik E. Passive smoking assessed by salivary cotinine and self-report in relation to cause-specific mortality: 17-year follow-up of study participants in the UK Health and Lifestyle Survey. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2014;**68**:1200-3.
- 18. Hedblad B, Engström G, Janzon E, Berglund G, Janzon L. COHb% as a marker of cardiovascular risk in never smokers: results from a population-based cohort study. *Scand J Public Health* 2006;**34**:609-15.

- 19. Martin MJ, Hunt SC, Williams RR. Increased incidence of heart attacks in nonsmoking women married to smokers. In: *Presented at 114th Annual Meeting of American Public Health Association, October 1, 1986.* 1986;
- 20. Mannino DM, Siegel M, Rose D, Etzel R. Health effects of environmental tobacco smoke exposure in US adults: data from the 1991 National Health Interview Survey. *Epidemiology* 1995;6:56S.
- 21. Vozoris N, Lougheed MD. Second-hand smoke exposure in Canada: prevalence, risk factors, and association with respiratory and cardiovascular diseases. *Can Respir J* 2008;**15**:263-9.
- 22. LeVois ME, Layard MW. Publication bias in the environmental tobacco smoke/coronary heart disease epidemiologic literature. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 1995;**21**:184-91.
- 23. Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Environmental tobacco smoke exposure and ischaemic heart disease: an evaluation of the evidence. *BMJ* 1997;**315**:973-80.
- 24. Wells AJ. Heart disease from passive smoking in the workplace. J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;31:1-9.
- 25. Enstrom JE, Kabat GC. Environmental tobacco smoke and tobacco related mortality in a prospective study of Californians, 1960-98. *BMJ* 2003;**326**:1057-61. Full version available at http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/326/7398/1057
- 26. Enstrom JE, Kabat GC. The Lancet's call to ban smoking in the UK [Letter]. Lancet 2004;363:398-9.
- 27. Woodward M, Tunstall-Pedoe H. Biochemical evidence of persistent heavy smoking after a coronary diagnosis despite self-reported reduction: analysis from the Scottish Heart Health Study. *European Health Journal* 1992;**13**:160-5.
- 28. Suadicani P, Hein HO, Gyntelberg F. Mortality and morbidity of potentially misclassified smokers. *Int J Epidemiol* 1997;**26**:321-7.
- 29. Glantz SA, Parmley WW. Passive smoking and heart disease. Mechanisms and risk. *JAMA* 1995;**273**:1047-53.
- 30. Morris JA, Gardner MJ. Calculating confidence intervals for relative risks (odds ratios) and standardised ratios and rates. *BMJ* 1988;**296**:1313-6.
- 31. Hamling J, Lee P, Weitkunat R, Ambühl M. Facilitating meta-analyses by deriving relative effect and precision estimates for alternative comparisons from a set of estimates presented by exposure level or disease category. *Stat Med* 2008;**27**:954-70.
- 32. Lee PN, Forey BA, Hamling JS, Thornton AJ. *ETS and heart disease meta-analyses*. Sutton, Surrey: P N Lee Statistics and Computing Ltd; 2015. <u>www.pnlee.co.uk/Reports.htm</u> [Download LEE2015A]
- Lee PN, Forey BA, Hamling JS, Thornton AJ. *Detailed meta-analysis on ETS and heart disease*. Sutton, Surrey: P N Lee Statistics and Computing Ltd; 2015. <u>www.pnlee.co.uk/Reports.htm</u> [Download LEE2015B]
- 34. Lee PN, Forey BA, Hamling JS, Thornton AJ. *Meta-analyses of the epidemiological evidence relating ETS to lung cancer and heart disease*. Sutton, Surrey: P N Lee Statistics and Computing Ltd; 2014. www.pnlee.co.uk/Reports.htm [Download LEE2014K]

Study Endpoints Number of heart disease cases in lifelong non-smokers Ref Author Year Location Тур Fatality Disease Females Combined Males e Р F IHD 494 1a Hirayama 1984 Japan Р F 19 2 Garland 1985 USA/California IHD 3 Lee 1986 England CC NF IHD 77 41 4 Martin 1986 USA/Utah CS NF PHA 23 5 Svendsen 1987 USA Р F,NF IHD,IHD 69 6 Butler 1988 USA/California Р F IHD 80 7 USA/? CC NF Palmer 1988 MI 336 8 Hole 1989 Scotland Р F,NF IHD,A/E 55 65 9 CC F.NF 73 230 Jackson 1989 New Zealand IHD.MI 10 Sandler 1989 USA/Maryland Р F AHD 988 370 USA/Georgia Р F 11 Humble 1990 CVD 76 12 Dobson 1991 Australia CC F+NF IHD+MI 160 183 13 CC 12 Gardiner 1992 Scotland F+NF IHD 14 La Vecchia CC NF 1993 Italy FMI 44 69 15 Layard 1995 USA CC F IHD 914 475 16 LeVois (CPS-I) 1995 USA Р F AHD 7133 7758 * 17 Mannino 1995 USA CS NF CVD * 18 1995 USA/4 cities CC NF 68 Muscat NMI 46 19 Tunstall-Pedoe 1995 Scotland CS NF IHD 428 20 Steenland 1996 USA Р F IHD 1325 2494 21 1997 CC NF 200 Janghorbani Iran IHD 22 Kawachi 1997 USA Р F+NF 152 IHD+MI NF 23 Ciruzzi 1998 Argentina CC FMI 180 156 24 McElduff 1998 Australia CC F+NF MI+MI 85 198 25 Spencer 1999 Australia CC NF FMIS 91 26a He 1 2000 China/Xi'an CC NF MI/CS 115 27 Iribarren 2001 USA CS NF HD 1856 2945 28 Rosenlund 2001 Sweden CC NF FMI 135 199 CC 279 29 Pitsavos 2002 Greece NF FMI/UA F 30 Enstrom 2003 USA/California Р IHD 3645 2287 31 Chen 1 2004 Scotland CS NF IHD 385 32 Nishtar Pakistan NF * * 2004 CC CAD 33 Whincup 2004 Great Britain Р F+NF IHD 111 CC F IHD 34 McGhee 2005 Hong Kong 225 359 35 Qureshi 2005 USA Р F+NF CAD 219 36 Hedblad Sweden Р F+NF IHD+MI 91 2006 NF 37 Stranges 2006 USA CC FMI 89 195 38 6280 Teo 2006 52 countries CC NF FMI 39 Wen 2006 China Р F CVD 272 CVD-Stroke 115 Р F 40 2007 USA Eisner CVD 718 339 41 2007 Р F 1299 1272 Hill 1 New Zealand IHD F 42 Hill 2 2007 New Zealand Р IHD 1026 654 43 China/Beijing CS 431 He₂ 2008 NF IHD 44 Sulo 2008 Albania CC NF ACS 169 45 2008 CS NF HD 1773 Vozoris Canada 46 Ding 2009 Hong Kong CC NF IHD 314

TABLE 1: Studies providing information on risk of heart disease in relation to ETSexposure in lifelong non-smokers

TABLE 1 (continued):Studies providing information on risk of heart disease in relation toETS exposure in lifelong non-smokers

Study	Study			Endpoint	Endpoints		Number of heart disease cases in lifelong non-smokers		
Ref	Author	Year	Location	Typ e	Fatality	Disease	Females	Combined	Males
47	Gallo (EPIC)	2010	Europe	Р	F	CD-Stroke IHD	259	81	140
48	Hamer	2010	England, Scotland	Р	F	CVD		96	
49	Jefferis	2010	Britain	Р	F+NF	FMI		74	
50	Peinemann	2011	Germany	CS	NF	IHD		128	
51	Chen 2	2012	China/4 provinces	CS	NF NF	IHD MI		405 171	
52	He 3	2012	China/Xi'an	Р	F	IHD	22		19
53	Clark	2013	Singapore	Р	F	IHD	225		86
54	Iversen (Tromsø)	2013	Norway	Р	F+NF	FMI	211		115
55	Kastorini	2013	Greece	CC	NF	ACS		52	
56	Batty (HALS2)	2014	UK	Р	F	CVD	71		27

Notes for Table 1

McElduff (ref 24) reported results for 3 samples. Only those for Newcastle 1992-94 are included under study 24. Results for Auckland 1986-88 and for Newcastle 1988-89 are additional to earlier reports by Jackson (ref 9) and Dobson (ref 12) and are considered under studies 9 and 12 respectively.

Qureshi (ref 35) reported results for CVD as a whole (defined in that paper as either stroke or coronary artery disease) and for stroke alone. The CAD results reported below were estimated from these separate results.

Wen (ref 39) reported results for CVD as a whole and for stroke alone. The results reported below are for CVD excluding stroke where it was possible to estimate these values from the separate results. Where this was not possible, the results reported are for CVD as a whole.

Jefferis (ref 49) reports combined results for two studies, one in men and the other in women. The study of men is a continuation of that reported by Whincup (ref 33) but the follow-up periods do not overlap.

Hill reported results for two cohorts, one interviewed in 1981 (Hill 1 - ref 41) and one in 1996 (Hill 2 - ref 42).

- The study author is usually the first author of the publication providing the data see references.
- The study year is the year of that publication.
- The study types are CC=case control, CS=cross-sectional and P=prospective.
- Fatality is indicated by F=fatal heart disease and NF=non-fatal heart disease. F+NF implies data are only available for fatal and non-fatal heart disease combined.
- Disease is indicated by

A/E = angina or ECG abnormality, ACS = acute coronary syndrome, AHD = arteriosclerotic heart disease, CAD = coronary artery disease, CD-Stroke = circulatory disease other than cerebrovascular, CVD = cardiovascular disease, CVD-Stroke = CVD other than stroke, FMI = first myocardial infarction, FMI/UA = first myocardial infarction or unstable angina, FMIS = first myocardial infarction surviving 28 days, HD = heart disease,IHD = ischaemic (coronary) heart disease, MI = myocardial infarction, MI/CS = myocardial infarction or coronary stenosis, NMI = newly diagnosed myocardial infarction, PHA = previous heart attack.

Numbers of heart disease cases in lifelong non-smokers are totals in the study; for analyses relating to specific types of exposure numbers may be lower than this. For studies 17 and 32 (indicated by *) numbers were not given. For studies 19, 29 31, 38, 44, 45, 48, 49, 50, 51 and 55, and for study 47 for IHD, data were only provided for sexes combined. For study 6, numbers relate to the spouse-pairs cohort only, the AHSMOG cohort including ex-smokers.

January 2015

Study Ref	Study Author		Exposed Group	Comparison Group
1a	Hirayama		Spouse ever smoked	Spouse never smoked
2	Garland	А. В.	Spouse ever smoked Spouse a current smoker	Spouse never smoked Spouse never smoked
3	Lee		Spouse ever smoked in marriage	Spouse never smoked in marriage
4	Martin	А. В.	Spouse ever smoked Spouse a current smoker	Spouse never smoked Spouse never smoked
5	Svendsen		Spouse a smoker at entry to study	Spouse non-smoker at entry to study
6	Butler	А. В.	Spouse ever smoked in marriage Spouse a current smoker in marriage	Spouse never smoked in marriage Spouse never smoked in marriage
7	Palmer		Spouse ever smoked*	Spouse never smoked*
8	Hole		Cohabitant ever smoked	Cohabitant never smoked
9	Jackson		Exposed to passive smoking at home	Not exposed to passive smoking at home
10	Sandler		Household smoker at entry to study	No household smoker at entry to study
11	Humble		Spouse a current smoker	Spouse never smoked
12	Dobson		Exposed to ETS at home	Not exposed to ETS at home
13	Gardiner		Spouse ever smoked in marriage	Spouse never smoked in marriage
14	La Vecchia	А. В.	Spouse ever smoked Spouse a current smoker	Spouse never smoked Spouse never smoked
15	Layard		Any spouse ever smoked	No spouse ever smoked
16	LeVois (CPS-I)	А. В.	Spouse ever smoked Spouse a current smoker	Spouse never smoked Spouse never smoked
17	Mannino		Exposed to ETS at home	Not exposed to ETS at home
18	Muscat		Spouse ever smoked	Spouse never smoked
19	Tunstall-Pedoe		Any ETS exposure in last 3 days	No ETS exposure in last 3 days
20	Steenland	А. В.	Spouse ever smoked in marriage Spouse a current smoker	Spouse never smoked in marriage Spouse never smoked in marriage
21	Janghorbani		Spouse ever smoked	Spouse never smoked
22	Kawachi		Current ETS exposure at home	No current ETS exposure at home
23	Ciruzzi		Spouse a current smoker	Spouse non-smoker
24	McElduff		Any current ETS exposure	No current ETS exposure
25	Spencer		Exposed to ETS at home in last 10 years	Not exposed to ETS at home in last 10 years
26a	He 1		Spouse smoked in marriage for >5 years	Spouse smoked in marriage for ≤ 5 years
27	Iribarren		1 hour/week or more current ETS exposure at home	Less than 1 hour/week of such exposure
28	Rosenlund	А. В.	Ever lived with smoking spouse Currently living with smoking spouse	Never lived with smoking spouse Not currently living with smoking spouse
29	Pitsavos		ETS exposure only at home	No ETS exposure at home or work
30	Enstrom	А. В.	Spouse ever smoked Spouse a current smoker	Spouse never smoked Spouse never smoked
31	Chen 1		Exposed to ETS at home	Not exposed to ETS at home
32	Nishtar		Spouse a smoker	No ETS exposure
34	McGhee		Exposed to ETS at home	Not exposed to ETS at home
35	Qureshi		Spouse a smoker	Spouse not a smoker
37	Stranges	А. В.	Ever lived with a smoker Recently lived with a smoker	Never lived with a smoker Did not live with a smoker
38	Тео		1 hour/week or more current ETS exposure from family, friends or co-workers	Less than 1 hour/week of such exposure

TABLE 2: Smoking by the spouse (or nearest equivalent) – actual index of exposure

Study Ref	Study Author		Exposed Group	Comparison Group
39	Wen	А. В.	Spouse ever smoked in marriage Spouse a current smoker	Spouse never smoked in marriage Spouse never smoked in marriage
40	Eisner		Ever exposed to ETS at home	Never exposed to ETS at home
41,42	Hill		Currently living with a smoker	Currently not living with a smoker
43	He 2		Exposed regularly to ETS during the last 10 years, at home or in the workplace	Not so exposed
44	Sulo		Spouse smoked regularly	Spouse did not smoke regularly
45	Vozoris		Exposed to ETS on most days during the past month	Not so exposed
46	Ding		Any family member ever smoked in the household	No family members ever smoked in the household
47	Gallo (EPIC)		Partner smoked one or more cigarettes/day	Partner did not smoke
49	Jefferis		Lived with a smoker	Did not live with a smoker
50	Peinemann		Exposed to ETS at home, work or other places	No ETS exposure at home, work or other places
51	Chen 2		Exposed to ETS at home, work or other places	No ETS exposure at home, work or other places
52	He 3		Exposed to ETS at home and/or at work	No ETS exposure at home or at work
53	Clark		Currently living with a smoker	Currently not living with a smoker
54	Iversen (Tromsø)		Lived with a smoker after age of 20	Did not live with a smoker after age of 20
55	Kastorini		Exposed to ETS at home or work for 30+ minutes per day	Not exposed to ETS at home or work for 30+ minutes per day
56	Batty (HALS2)		Currently living with a smoker	Currently not living with a smoker

TABLE 2 (continued): Smoking by the spouse (or nearest equivalent) – actual index of exposure

Notes for Table 2

* For study 7 it is probable that the exposed group was as stated, though the wording does not exclude the possibility that the exposed group was "spouse a current smoker".

For studies 2, 4, 6, 14, 16, 20, 28, 30 and 39 data were presented separately for never, ex- and current smoking spouses so relative risks could be calculated for both indicated comparisons.

For study 31, the analysis was restricted to those in full-time employment and the comparison group was not clearly defined.

For studies 33, 36 and 48 results are only available for a biochemical index of ETS exposure and are shown in Table 7.

Study Study Sex Exposure Significance Fatality Relative risk Ref Author Index (95% confidence limits) 1a Hirayama F Е F 1.16 (0.94-1.43) 2 Garland F Е F 2.70 (0.63-11.58) F C(N) F 2.25 (0.32-15.74) 3 Е Lee NF 1.24 (0.58-2.67) Μ F Е NF 0.93 (0.53-1.64) 4 Martin F Е NF 2.60 (1.20-5.70) + F С NF 3.40 ? 5 С Svendsen Μ F+NF 1.61 (0.96-2.71) 6 Butler F Е F 1.07 (0.65-1.75) F C(N) F 1.40 (0.51-3.84) ? 7 F Е Palmer NF 1.20 F 8 Hole Е 1.73 (1.01-2.96) Μ + Е F F 1.65 (0.79-3.46) 9 Jackson С F+NF 1.06 (0.39-2.91) Μ F С 3.74 (1.15-12.19) F+NF + С 10 Sandler F 1.31 (1.05-1.64) Μ + С F F 1.19 (1.04-1.36) + F 11 Humble C(N) F 1.59 (0.99-2.57) 12 Dobson Μ С F+NF 0.97 (0.50-1.86) F С F+NF 2.46 (1.47-4.13) + 13 Gardiner M+F Е F+NF 0.57 (0.19-1.74) NF 14 La Vecchia Е 1.09 (0.47-2.53) Μ F Е NF 1.27 (0.52-3.09) Μ C(N)NF 1.09 (0.39-3.01) F C(N)NF 1.36 (0.46-4.05) 15 Layard Е F 0.97 (0.73-1.28) М F Е F 0.99 (0.84-1.16) LeVois Е F 0.97 (0.90-1.05) 16 Μ (CPS-I) F Е F 1.03 (0.98-1.08) F Μ C(N)0.98 (0.91-1.06) F C(N) F 1.04 (0.99-1.09) 17 Mannino M+F С NF 1.12 ? Е 1.38 (0.70-2.75) 18 Muscat Μ NF F Е NF 1.33 (0.59-2.99) 19 Tunstall-С M+F NF 1.34 (1.07-1.67) + Pedoe 20 Steenland Μ Е F 1.09 (0.98-1.21) F Е F 1.04 (0.93-1.16) Μ C(N)F 1.22 (1.07-1.40) +F F C(N) 1.10 (0.96-1.27)

TABLE 3: Smoking by the spouse (or nearest equivalent) – relative risk of heartdisease among lifelong non-smokers

Study Ref	Study Author	Sex	Exposure Index	Fatality	Relative risk (95% confidence limits)	Significance
21	Janghorbani	F	E	NF	1.38 (0.95-2.01)	
22	Kawachi	F	C	F+NF		
22	Kawacili	Г	C	Γ+ΙΝΓ	1.53 (0.81-2.90)	
23	Ciruzzi	Μ	С	NF	1.18 (0.55-2.52)	
		F	С	NF	1.73 (0.89-3.36)	
24	McElduff	М	С	F+NF	0.82 (0.55-1.22)	
		F	С	F+NF	2.15 (1.18-3.92)	+
25	Spencer	М	Е	NF	No significant association	
26a	He 1	F	Е	NF	1.60 (0.94-2.90)	
27	Iribarren	М	С	NF	1.13 (1.00-1.27)	+
		F	С	NF	1.20 (1.09-1.30)	+
28	Rosenlund	М	Е	NF	0.96 (0.64-1.44)	
		F	Е	NF	1.53 (0.95-2.44)	
		М	C(N)	NF	0.98 (0.57-1.69)	
		F	C(N)	NF	2.59 (1.27-5.29)	+
29	Pitsavos	M+F	Е	NF	1.33 (0.89-1.99)	
30	Enstrom	М	Е	F	0.93 (0.83-1.04)	
		F	Е	F	0.99 (0.92-1.08)	
		М	C(N)	F	0.92 (0.80-1.05)	
		F	C(N)	F	0.97 (0.89-1.06)	
31	Chen 1	M+F	С	NF	1.20 (0.70-2.20)	
32	Nishtar	M+F	U	NF	2.38 (1.04-5.42)	+
34	McGhee	М	Р	F	1.30 (0.88-1.93)	
		F	Р	F	1.39 (0.95-2.04)	
35	Qureshi	F	U	F+NF	1.05 (0.81-1.38)	
37	Stranges	М	Е	NF	0.98 (0.65-1.50)	
		F	Е	NF	1.30 (0.67-2.51)	
		Μ	С	NF	0.71 (0.40-1.23)	
		F	С	NF	0.94 (0.48-1.82)	
38	Teo	M+F	С	NF	1.37 (1.27-1.48)	+
39	Wen	F	Е	F+NF	0.99 (0.72-1.37)	
			С	F+NF	1.19 (0.84-1.67)	
41	Hill 1	М	С	F	1.04 (0.88-1.23)	
		F	C	F	0.98 (0.83-1.17)	
42	Hill 2	М	С	F	1.18 (0.96-1.44)	
72	11111 2	F	C	F	1.18 (0.96-1.44)	
		•	-	•		

TABLE 3 (continued): Smoking by the spouse (or nearest equivalent) – relative risk of heart disease among lifelong non-smokers

Study	Study	Sex	Exposure	Fatality	Relative risk	Significance
Ref	Author		Index		(95% confidence limits)	
44	Sulo	М	С	NF	1.68 (0.81-3.47)	
		F	С	NF	1.19 (0.25-5.64)	
45	Vozoris	M+F	С	NF	1.00 (0.80-1.20)	
46	Ding	F	Е	NF	1.52 (1.01-2.27)	+
47	Gallo	M+F	С	F	1.99 (0.92-4.29)	
49	Jefferis	M+F	С	F+NF	2.41 (1.04-5.59)	+
50	Peinemann	M+F	С	NF	1.27 (0.84-1.92)	
51	Chen 2	M+F	Е	NF	1.16 (0.93-1.45)	
52	He 3	М	2	F	2.24 (0.76-6.59)	
		F	2	F	2.10 (0.69-6.33)	
53	Clark	М	С	F	1.98 (1.00-3.93)	+
		F	С	F	0.94 (0.67-1.32)	
54	Iversen	М	Е	F+NF	0.91 (0.61-1.35)	
	(Tromsø)	F	Е	F+NF	1.42 (1.06-1.90)	+
55	Kastorini	M+F	Е	NF	4.33 (1.52-12.38)	+
56	Batty	М	С	F	1.26 (0.37-4.31)	
	(HALS2)	F	С	F	1.12 (0.55-2.28)	

TABLE 3 (continued/2):Smoking by the spouse (or nearest equivalent) – relativerisk of heart disease among lifelong non-smokers

Notes for Table 3

This table shows results for the indices of exposure listed in Table 2 (for each study, reporting results for the exposure index identified for that study).

In study 1, estimates are adjusted for the age of the husband. Alternative estimates, adjusted for the age of the subject are also given by Hirayama (1b), and are very similar.

In study 4 (exposure index E) and study 22, the estimates were given by Wells (57).

In study 8 the estimates were given by Wells (58).

In several studies (see Table 2) the index of exposure is actually based not on spousal smoking but on the nearest equivalent index.

For study 40, results are only available per 10 years of living with a smoker and are included in Table 4.

Study 51 also provides results for non-fatal myocardial infarction: relative risk (95% confidence interval) 0.93 (0.66–1.31), based on 171 cases.

See Appendix B for the covariates considered in adjusted analyses.

• The study author is usually the first author of the publication providing the data – see references.

• Exposure index:

E = ever exposed (compared to never exposed)

C(N) = current exposure (compared to never exposed)

C = current exposure (compared to non-current exposure)

P = in the past

T = in the last 10 years

- 2 =for at least 2 years in the previous 18 years
- U = undefined.

• Fatality:

- $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{fatal}$
- NF = non-fatal
- F+NF = fatal and non-fatal combined.

• Significant (p<0.05) positive (negative) relative risks are indicated by + (or -). ? indicates not known if significant or not.

Study Ref	Study Author	Sex	Exposure grouping	Relative risks by grouping	Significance (trend)
1a	Hirayama	F	0 1-19 20+ (cigs/day)	1.00 1.10 1.31	+
5	Svendsen	М	0 1-19 20+ (cigs/day)	1.00 1.20 1.75	
8	Hole	F	0 1-14 15+ (cigs/day)	1.00 2.09 4.12	+
9	Jackson	M F	None Low High (exposure) None Low High (exposure)	1.00 1.30 0.90 1.00 2.10 7.50	+
14	La Vecchia	M+F	0 1-14 15+ (cigs/day)	1.00 1.13 1.30	
15	Layard	M F	0 1-14 15-34 35+ (cigs/day) 0 1-14 15-34 35+ (cigs/day)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
16	LeVois (CPS-I)	M F	0 1-19 20-39 40+ (cigs/day) 0 1-19 20-39 40+ (cigs/day)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
19	Tunstall-Pedoe	M+F	None Little Some A lot (exposure)	1.00 1.2 1.5 1.6	+
20	Steenland	M F M F M F	0 1-19 20 21+ (cigs/day) 0 1-19 20 21-39 40+ (cigs/day) 0 1-12 13-21 22-29 30+ (years) 0 1-14 15-25 26-33 34+ (years) 0 1-5 6-14 15-27 28+ (pack years) 0 1-12 13-25 26-33 34+ (pack years)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
21	Janghorbani	F F F	0 1-30 31+ (years) 0 1-19 20+ (cigs/day) 0 1-10 11+ (pack years)	1.00 1.74 0.85 1.00 1.76 1.11 1.00 1.95 1.17	
22	Kawachi	F F	None Occasional Regular <1 1-9 10-19 20-29 30+ (years)	1.00 1.19 2.11 1.00 1.19 1.54 1.11 1.50	+
23	Ciruzzi	F	0 1-20 21+ (cigs/day)	1.00 0.82 3.00	
26a	He 1	F	0 1-10 11-20 21+ (cigs/day) 0-5 6-15 16-30 31+ (years) 0 1-399 400-799 800+ (cigs/day x years)	1.000.931.403.201.000.802.102.301.001.201.903.60	+ + +
27	Iribarren	M F	0 1-9 10-39 40+ (hrs/week) 0 1-9 10-39 40+ (hrs/week)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	+ +
28	Rosenlund	M+F M+F M+F	0 1-19 20+ (cigs/day) 0 1-32 33+ (years) 0 1-20 21+ (pack-years)	1.00 1.02 1.58 1.00 1.11 1.25 1.00 1.09 1.33	
30	Enstrom	M F	0 1-9 10-19 20 21-39 40+ (cigs/day) 0 1-9 10-19 20 21-39 40+ (cigs/day)	1.000.980.820.891.131.001.030.991.020.88	
34	McGhee	M+F	0 1 2+ smokers in the home	1.00 1.26 1.68	+
38	Teo	M+F	<1 1-7 8-14 15-21 22+ (hours/week)	1.00 1.32 1.52 1.73 1.49	+
39	Wen	F	0 <8.8 8.8-17.9 18.0+ (pack-years)	1.00 1.10 1.12 1.22	
40	Eisner	M+F	Per 10 years exposure	1.10	
43	He 2	F	0 1-9 10-19 20+ (cigs/day) 0 1-20 21-40 41+ (minutes/day)	1.001.411.851.771.001.461.781.86	++++

TABLE 4: Smoking by the spouse (or nearest equivalent) – dose-response results among lifelong non-smokers

Study Ref	Study Author	Sex	Exposure grouping	Relative risks by grouping	Significance (trend)
46	Ding	F	0 < 1 1 + (packs/day)	1.00 1.14 1.69	+
			0 <5 5+ (years)	1.00 1.26 1.52	+
			0 <4 4+ (hours/day)	1.00 1.28 1.82	+
			0 < 5 + (pack-years)	1.00 1.44 1.53	+
			0 <20 20+ (hour-years)	1.00 1.22 1.61	+
47	Gallo	M+F	0 0.5 1.0 1.5+ (packs/day)	1.00 1.87 1.89 2.46	
52	He 3	M+F	None Low Moderate High	1.00 1.74 2.25 3.79	+
54	Iversen	М	0 <10 10-19 20-29 30+ (years)	1.00 0.70 1.20 0.70 1.10	
	(Tromsø)	F	$0 < 10 \ 10 - 19 \ 20 - 29 \ 30 + (years)$	1.00 1.00 1.40 1.30 1.60	+
		М	0 1-6 >6 (hours/day)	1.00 1.00 0.80	
		F	0 1-6 >6 (hours/day)	1.00 0.70 0.70	

TABLE 4 (continued):Smoking by the spouse (or nearest equivalent) – dose-
response results among lifelong non-smokers

Notes for Table 4

This table shows dose-response results for the indices of exposure listed in Table 2 (for each study, reporting dose-response results for the exposure index identified for that study, if available).

Relative risks presented are adjusted for covariates (see Appendix B) if adjusted data are available.

- The study author is usually the first author of the publication providing the data see references.
- Significant (p<0.05) positive (negative) trends are indicated by + (or -).

For study 1 the 1-19 cigs/day group includes ex-smokers. Estimates are adjusted for the age of the husband. Alternative estimates, adjusted for the age of the subject are also given by Hirayama (1b) and are very similar.

For study 39 the results relate to CVD as a whole rather than to CVD excluding stroke.

For study 52 the index of exposure was a combination of exposure at home (four categories of pack-years) and exposure at work (four categories of pack-years x hours/day).

Study Ref	Study Author	Sex	(95% confidence limits)	Significance
3	Lee	M F	0.66 (0.26-1.66) 0.69 (0.26-1.87)	
5	Svendsen	М	1.40 (0.80-2.50)	
9	Jackson	M F	1.80 (0.94-3.46) 1.55 (0.48-5.03)	
12	Dobson	M F	0.95 (0.51-1.78) 0.66 (0.17-2.62)	
18	Muscat	M F	1.20 (0.60-2.20) 1.00 (0.40-2.50)	
20	Steenland	M F	1.03 (0.89-1.19) 1.06 (0.84-1.34)	
22	Kawachi	F	1.68 (0.81-3.47)	
25	Spencer	М	No significant association	
26b	He 1	F	1.85 (0.86-4.00)	
28	Rosenlund	M F	1.14 (0.78-1.67) 0.94 (0.59-1.50)	
29	Pitsavos	M+F	1.97 (1.16-3.34)	+
31	Chen 1	M+F	1.70 (0.90-3.20)	
37	Stranges	M F	0.97 (0.64-1.48) 0.96 (0.60-1.55)	
39	Wen	F	1.21 (0.74-2.01)	
47	Gallo	M F	0.93 (0.46-1.90) 0.76 (0.47-1.24)	

TABLE 5: Workplace ETS exposure – relative risk of heart disease among lifelong non-smokers

Notes for Table 5

See Appendix B for the covariates considered.

• The study author is usually the first author of the publication providing the data, see references.

• Significant (p<0.05) positive (or negative) relative risks are indicated by + (or -).

In study 22 the estimates were given by Wells (58).

In study 28 the estimates are for ever exposure: estimates for current exposure are 1.39 (0.86-2.25) for males and 1.31 (0.62-2.79) for females.

In study 37 the estimates are for lifetime exposure: estimates for recent exposure are: 0.67 (0.43-1.03) for males and 1.03 (0.50-2.14) for females

For study 40, results are only available per 10 years of working with a smoker and are included in Table 6.

Study 53 states that no association was observed when considering workplace exposure (data not presented).

Study Ref	Study Author	Sex	Exposure grouping	Relative risk by grouping	Significance (trend)
22	Kawachi	F	None occasional regular	1.00 1.49 1.92	
26a	Не	F F F	0-5 6-10 11-20 21+ cigs/day 0-5 6-15 16+ years 0 1-2 3 4+ smokers	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	+
		г F	0 1-2 3 4+ smokers 0 1-2 3-4 5+ hours/day	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ +
		F	0 1-2000 2001-4000 4000+ (cigs/day x years x smokers x hours)	1.00 1.00 2.05 9.23	+
28	Rosenlund	M+F M+F	0 1-31 32+ years 0 1-68 69+ hour-years (= hours/day x years)	1.001.041.301.000.991.48	
39	Wen	F	0 <10 10-24 >24 years	1.00 0.86 0.96 0.93	
40	Eisner	M+F	Per 10 years exposure	1.04	

TABLE 6: Workplace ETS exposure – dose-response results among lifelong non-smokers

Notes for Table 6

Relative risks presented are adjusted for covariates (see Appendix B).

• Significant (p<0.05) positive (negative) trends are indicated by + (or -).

The study author is usually the first author of the publication providing the data, see references.

For study 39 the results relate to CVD as a whole rather than to CVD excluding stroke.

Study Ref	Study Author	Sex	Exposure grouping	Relative risk by grouping (95% confidence limits)	Significance
3	Lee	M F	Total ETS exposure Score: 0-1 2-4 5-12 Score: 0-1 2-4 5-12	1.00 0.43 0.43 1.00 0.59 0.81	
5	Svendsen	М	Spousal and/or workplace ETS exposure Neither Work Spouse Both	1.0 1.0 1.2 1.7	
9	Jackson	M F	ETS exposure at home and/or work No Yes No Yes	1.00 1.14 (0.76-1.70) 1.00 1.56 (0.76-3.20)	
12	Dobson	M F	ETS exposure at home and/or work No Yes No Yes	1.00 1.09 (0.72-1.63) 1.00 2.24 (1.28-3.91)	+
16	LeVois (CPS-I)	F	Spouse smoked pipe/cigar Never smoked at all Yes	1.00 1.06 (0.99-1.14)	
18	Muscat	M F	Childhood ETS exposureNone1-17>17yearsNone1-17>17years	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
		M F	Adult ETS exposure at home None 1-20 21-30 31+ years None 1-20 21-30 31+ years	1.0 1.7 1.5 1.1 1.0 2.0 0.9 1.7	
		M F	Cars No Yes No Yes	1.001.07 (0.50-2.29)1.001.85 (0.68-5.05)	
		M F	Other transportation No Yes No Yes	1.000.95 (0.22-4.11)1.001.09 (0.15-8.08)	
19	Tunstall-Pedoe	M+F	Serum cotinine (ng/ml) 0 >0-1.05 1.06-3.97 3.98-17.49	1.00 1.00 1.30 1.20	
20	Steenland	M F	ETS exposure other than home and/or work No Yes No Yes	1.00 1.03 (0.93-1.13) 1.00 0.91 (0.83-1.00)	?
21	Janghorbani	-	Household members other than spouse smoked		
22	Kawachi	F F	No Yes ETS exposure at home and/or work No Occasional Regular	$1.00 1.02 \ (0.65 - 1.58)$ $1.00 1.58 1.91$	+
23	Ciruzzi	M F	One or more children smoke No Yes No Yes	1.00 1.75 (0.98-3.13) 1.00 1.52 (0.92-2.50)	·
		M F	Spouse and/or one or more children smoke No Yes No Yes	1.00 1.89 (1.13-3.18) 1.00 1.54 (0.95-2.51)	+
25	Spencer	М	ETS exposure in cars No Yes	No significant association	
		М	ETS exposure in social venues No Yes	No significant association	
		М	ETS exposure at home, at work, in social venues and/or in cars No Yes	Significant increase	+

TABLE 7: Other indices of ETS exposure – dose-response results among lifelong non-smokers

Study Ref	Study Author	Sex	Exposure grouping	Relative risk by grouping (95% confidence limits)	Significance
26b	Не	F	ETS exposure from spouse and/or work Neither Home Work Both	1.00 2.07 2.53 4.18	+
27	Iribarren	M F	ETS exposure in small spaces 0 1-9 10-39 40+ hrs/wk 0 1-9 10-39 40+ hrs/wk	1.00 1.08 1.12 1.24 1.00 0.97 1.10 1.17	++++
		M F	ETS exposure in large indoor areas 0 1-9 10-39 40+ hrs/wk 0 1-9 10-39 40+ hrs/wk	1.000.941.171.031.000.820.981.28	+
		M F	Total ETS exposure 0 1-9 10-39 40+ hrs/wk 0 1-9 10-39 40+ hrs/wk	1.000.901.081.131.000.861.071.17	+ +
28	Rosenlund	M+F M+F M+F M+F	ETS exposure from spouse and/or work No Yes 0 >16 7-16 1-6 <1 years ago 0 1-12 13-23 24-34 35+ years 0 1-17 18-41 42-89 90+ hour-years (= years x hours/day)	1.18(0.87-1.60)1.000.921.111.301.000.720.971.541.000.701.221.271.55	+ +
29	Pitsavos	M F M+F	ETS exposure at home or work None Occasional Regular None Occasional Regular 0 1-4 5-9 10-19 20-29 30-39 40+ years	1.00 1.25 1.47 1.00 1.29 1.56 1.00 1.07 1.16 1.39 1.75 2.20 3.09	+ + +
		M+F	ETS exposure at home and work Neither Both	1.00 2.56 (1.65-3.96)	
30	Enstrom	F	Spouse smoked pipe/cigar No Yes	1.00 0.97 (0.86-1.10)	
31	Chen 1	M+F	Total ETS exposure None A little Some A lot	1.00 1.30 1.50 1.80	+
		M+F	Serum cotinine (ng/ml) 0 >0-1.05 1.06-3.97 3.98-17.49	1.00 0.70 1.00 1.10	
		M+F	Self-reported ETS and cotinine combined I II III IV V VI VII	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+
		M+F	ETS exposure other than at home and/or work No Yes	1.00 1.00 (0.40-2.30)	
		M+F	Duration of total daily ETS exposure (hours) $0 > 0-2$ $3-5 \ge 6$	1.00 1.20 1.60 1.70	
32	Nishtar	M+F	Any ETS exposure No Yes Daily ETS exposure	1.00 2.87 (1.28-6.42)	+
		M+F	No Yes	1.00 3.87 (1.68-8.86)	+
33	Whincup	М	Serum cotinine (ng/ml) ≤0.7 0.8-1.4 1.5-2.7 2.8-14.0	1.00 1.54 1.89 1.67	+
36	Hedblad	М	Blood carboxyhaemoglobin (%) 0.13-0.49 0.50-0.57 0.58-0.66 0.67-5.47 (quartiles)	1.00 1.26 1.77 3.71	+

TABLE 7 (continued): Other indices of ETS exposure – dose-response results among lifelong non-smokers Image: Continued of the second secon

lifelong non-smokers

Study Ref	Study Author	Sex	Exposure grouping	Relative risk by grouping (95% confidence limits)	Significance
37	Stranges	M F	Cumulative lifetime ETS exposure at homework and in public settingsTertile: 123Tertile: 123	1.00 0.93 1.40 1.00 0.50 0.67	
		M+F	ETS exposure at home, work and in public settings Low High (see notes)	1.00 0.96 (0.60-1.55)	
		M F	ETS exposure in public settings during life No Yes No Yes	1.00 0.63 (0.10-3.81) 1.00 0.78 (0.10-6.44)	
		M F	Recent ETS exposure in public settings No Yes No Yes	1.00 0.75 (0.48-1.18) 1.00 0.50 (0.30-0.83)	
39	Wen	F	Childhood exposure No Yes 0 <20 20+ years	1.00 1.49 (1.01-2.22) 1.00 1.21 1.36	+ +
47	Gallo	M F	Childhood exposure No Yes No Yes	1.00 1.11 (0.72-1.69) 1.00 1.18 (0.88-1.57)	
		M+F	ETS exposure at home 0 <1 1-2 3+ hours/day	1.00 1.39 2.08 1.94	+
48	Hamer	M+F	Salivary cotinine (ng/ml) $\leq 0.05 0.06-0.70 0.71-14.99$ Per unit increase in log cotinine,	1.00 1.33 2.00 1.60 (1.11-2.31)	+
49	Jefferis	M+F	Serum cotinine (ng/ml) ≤0.05 0.06-0.19 0.20-0.70 0.71-15 Per doubling of cotinine	1.00 0.91 0.99 0.94 1.00 (0.86-1.16)	
56	Batty (HALS2)	M F	Serum cotinine (ng/ml) ≤0.3 0.4-1.2 1.3-15.0 ≤0.3 0.4-1.2 1.3-15.0	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	

TABLE 7 (continued/2): Other indices of ETS exposure – dose-response results among

Notes for Table 7

Table 4 shows dose-response results for the indices of exposure listed in Table 2 (for each study, reporting dose-response results for the exposure index identified for that study). Table 6 shows dose-response results for workplace exposure. This table shows the other dose-response results reported.

Relative risks presented are adjusted for covariates (see Appendix B) if adjusted data are available.

- The study author is usually the first author of the publication providing the data, see references.
- When two groups only are being compared (or results for log cotinine are given), the relative risk and 95% confidence limits for the exposed group (per unit increase) are shown; when more than two exposure groups are being compared, only the set of relative risks is shown.
- Significant (p<0.05) positive (or negative) differences or trends are indicated by + (or -). ? indicates not known if significant or not.

For studies 9 and 12, the data come from ref 24.

For study 37, the comparison for the combined sex relative risk is between subjects with high levels (greater than the median) of either distant or recent ETS exposure and subjects with low distant and low recent exposure (less than the median).

For study 39, the results for any childhood exposure (Yes/No) relate to CVD excluding stroke but the results by years exposed relate to CVD as a whole.

References to data sources

- 1a Hirayama T. Lung cancer in Japan: effects of nutrition and passive smoking. In: Mizell M, Correa P, editors. Lung cancer: causes and prevention, Proceedings of the International Lung Cancer Update Conference, New Orleans, Louisiana, March 3-5, 1983. Deerfield Beach, Florida: Verlag Chemie International, Inc, 1984;175-95.
- 1b Hirayama T. Passive smoking [Letter]. NZ Med J 1990;103:54.
- 2 Garland C, Barrett-Connor E, Suarez L, Criqui MH, Wingard DL. Effects of passive smoking on ischemic heart disease mortality of non-smokers. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;**121**:645-50. Erratum appears in Am J Epidemiol 1985;**122**:1112.
- 3 Lee PN, Chamberlain J, Alderson MR. Relationship of passive smoking to risk of lung cancer and other smoking-associated diseases. *Br J Cancer* 1986;**54**:97-105.
- 4 Martin MJ, Hunt SC, Williams RR. Increased incidence of heart attacks in nonsmoking women married to smokers. In: *114th Annual Meeting of American Public Health Association, October 1, 1986*. 1986;1p.
- 5 Svendsen KH, Kuller LH, Martin JM, Ockene JK. Effects of passive smoking in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *Am J Epidemiol* 1987;**126**:783-95.
- 6 Butler TL. *The relationship of passive smoking to various health outcomes among Seventh day Adventists in California* [Thesis]. Los Angeles: University of California; 1988.
- 7 Palmer JR, Rosenberg L, Shapiro S. Passive smoking and myocardial infarction in women [Abstract]. *CVD Newsletter* 1988;**43**:29.
- 8 Hole DJ, Gillis CR, Chopra C, Hawthorne VM. Passive smoking and cardiorespiratory health in a general population in the west of Scotland. *BMJ* 1989;**299**:423-7.
- 9 Jackson RT. *The Auckland Heart Survey* [Thesis]. Auckland, New Zealand: University of Auckland; 1989.
- 10 Sandler DP, Comstock GW, Helsing KJ, Shore DL. Deaths from all causes in non-smokers who lived with smokers. *Am J Public Health* 1989;**79**:163-7.
- 11 Humble C, Croft J, Gerber A, Casper M, Hames CG, Tyroler HA. Passive smoking and 20-year cardiovascular disease mortality among non-smoking wives, Evans County, Georgia. *Am J Public Health* 1990;**80**:599-601.
- 12 Dobson AJ, Alexander HM, Heller RF, Lloyd DM. Passive smoking and the risk of heart attack or coronary death. *Med J Aust* 1991;**154**:793-7.
- 13 Gardiner AJS, Forey BA, Lee PN. The relationship between avian exposure and bronchogenic carcinoma. In: Lester JN, Perry R, Reynolds GL, editors. Quality of the indoor environment, Quality of the Indoor Environment, Athens, April 1992. London: Selper Ltd, 1992;691-703.
- 14 La Vecchia C, D'Avanzo B, Franzosi MG, Tognoni G. Passive smoking and the risk of acute myocardial infarction [Letter]. *Lancet* 1993;**341**:505-6.
- 15 Layard MW. Ischemic heart disease and spousal smoking in the National Mortality Followback Survey. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 1995;**21**:180-3.
- 16 LeVois ME, Layard MW. Publication bias in the environmental tobacco smoke/coronary heart disease epidemiologic literature. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 1995;**21**:184-91.
- 17 Mannino DM, Siegel M, Rose D, Etzel R. Health effects of environmental tobacco smoke exposure in US adults: data from the 1991 National Health Interview Survey. *Epidemiology* 1995;**6**:56S.

- 18 Muscat JE, Wynder EL. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and the risk of heart attack. *Int J Epidemiol* 1995;**24**:715-9.
- 19 Tunstall-Pedoe H, Brown CA, Woodward M, Tavendale R. Passive smoking by self report and serum cotinine and the prevalence of respiratory and coronary heart disease in the Scottish heart health study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1995;**49**:139-43.
- 20 Steenland K, Thun M, Lally C, Heath C, Jr. Environmental tobacco smoke and coronary heart disease in the American Cancer Society CPS-II cohort. *Circulation* 1996;**94**:622-8.
- Janghorbani M, Sadeghi-Golmakani N. Passive smoking and the risk of coronary heart disease among married non-smoking women. *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran* 1997;11:203-8.
- 22 Kawachi I, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, *et al.* A prospective study of passive smoking and coronary heart disease. *Circulation* 1997;**95**:2374-9.
- 23 Ciruzzi M, Pramparo P, Esteban O, Rozlosnik J, Tartaglione J, Abecasis B, *et al.* Case-control study of passive smoking at home and risk of acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;**31**:797-803.
- 24 McElduff P, Dobson AJ, Jackson R, Beaglehole R, Heller RF, Lay-Yee R. Coronary events and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke: a case-control study from Australia and New Zealand. *Tob Control* 1998;7:41-6.
- 25 Spencer CA, Jamrozik K, Lambert L. Do simple prudent health behaviours protect men from myocardial infarction? *Int J Epidemiol* 1999;**28**:846-52.
- 26a He Y, Lam TH, Li LS, Li LS, Du RY, Jia GL, et al. Passive smoking from husbands as a risk factor for coronary heart disease in women in Xi'an, China, who have never smoked. In: Lu R, Mackay J, Niu S, Peto R, editors. Tobacco: the growing epidemic, Proceedings of the Tenth World Conference on Tobacco or Health, 24-28 August 1997, Beijing, China. London, Berlin, Heidleberg: Springer-Verlag, 2000;153-5.
- 26b He Y, Lam TH, Li LS, Du RY, Jia GL, Huang JY, *et al.* Passive smoking at work as a risk factor for coronary heart disease in Chinese women who have never smoked. *BMJ* 1994;**308**:380-4.
- 27. Iribarren C, Friedman GD, Klatsky AL, Eisner MD. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke: association with personal characteristics and self reported health conditions. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2001;**55**:721-8.
- 28. Rosenlund M, Berglind N, Gustavsson A, Reuterwall C, Hallqvist J, Nyberg F, *et al.* Environmental tobacco smoke and myocardial infarction among never-smokers in the Stockholm Heart Epidemiology Program (SHEEP). *Epidemiology* 2001;**12**:558-64.
- 29. Pitsavos C, Panagiotakos DB, Chrysohoou C, Skoumas J, Tzioumis K, Stefanadis C. Association between exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and the development of acute coronary syndromes: the CARDIO2000 case-control study. *Tob Control* 2002;**11**:220-5.
- 30. Enstrom JE, Kabat GC. Environmental tobacco smoke and tobacco related mortality in a prospective study of Californians, 1960-98 [Abridged version]. *BMJ* 2003;**326**:1057-61. Full version available at http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/326/7398/1057
- Chen R, Tavendale R, Tunstall-Pedoe H. Environmental tobacco smoke and prevalent coronary heart disease among never smokers in the Scottish MONICA surveys. *Occup Environ Med* 2004;61:790-2.
- 32. Nishtar S, Wierzbicki AS, Lumb PJ, Lambert-Hammill M, Turner CN, Crook MA, *et al.* Waist-hip ratio and low HDL predict the risk of coronary artery disease in Pakistanis. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2004;**20**:55-62.

- 33. Whincup PH, Gilg JA, Emberson JR, Jarvis MJ, Feyerabend C, Bryant A, *et al.* Passive smoking and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: prospective study with cotinine measurement. *BMJ* 2004;**329**:200-4.
- 34. McGhee SM, Ho SY, Schooling M, Ho LM, Thomas GN, Hedley AJ, *et al.* Mortality associated with passive smoking in Hong Kong. *BMJ* 2005;**330**:287-8.
- 35. Qureshi AI, Suri MFK, Kirmani JF, Divani AA. Cigarette smoking among spouses. Another risk factor for stroke in women. *Stroke* 2005;**36**:e74-e76.
- 36. Hedblad B, Engström G, Janzon E, Berglund G, Janzon L. COHb% as a marker of cardiovascular risk in never smokers: results from a population-based cohort study. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2006:**34**:609-615.
- 37. Stranges S, Bonner MR, Fucci F, Cummings KM, Freudenheim JL, Dorn JM *et al.* Lifetime exposure to secondhand smoke and risk of myocardial infarction in never smokers: results from the Western New York health study, 1995-2001. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2006:**166**:1961-1967.
- Teo KK, Ounpuu S, Hawken S, Pandey MR, Valentin V, Hunt D, *et al.* Tobacco use and risk of myocardial infarction in 52 countries in the INTERHEART study: a case-control study. *Lancet* 2006;**368**:647-58.
- 39. Wen W, Shu XO, Gao Y-T, Yang G, Li Q, Li H, *et al.* Environmental tobacco smoke and mortality in Chinese women who have never smoked: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2006;**333**:376-9.
- 40. Eisner MD, Wang Y, Haight TJ, Balmes J, Hammond SK, Tager I. Secondhand smoke exposure, pulmonary function, and cardiovascular mortality. *Annals of Epidemiology* 2007:**17**:364-373.
- 41,42 Hill SE, Blakely T, Kawachi I, Woodward A. Mortality among lifelong nonsmokers exposed to secondhand smoke at home: cohort data and sensitivity analyses. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2007:**165**:530-540.
- 43 He Y, Lam TH, Jiang B, Wang J, Sai X, Fan L, *et al.* Passive smoking and risk of peripheral arterial disease and ischemic stroke in Chinese women who never smoked. *Circulation* 2008;**118**:1535-40.
- 44 Sulo G, Burazeri G, Dehghan A, Kark JD. Partner's smoking status and acute coronary syndrome: population-based case-control study in Tirana, Albania. Croat Med J 2008;49:751-6.
- 45 Vozoris N, Lougheed MD. Second-hand smoke exposure in Canada: prevalence, risk factors, and association with respiratory and cardiovascular diseases. *Can Respir J* 2008;**15**:263-9.
- 46 Ding D, Fung JW-H, Zhang Q, Yip GW-K, Chan C-K, Yu C-M. Effect of household passive smoking exposure on the risk of ischaemic heart disease in never-smoke female patients in Hong Kong. *Tob Control* 2009;**18**:354-7.
- 47 Gallo V, Neasham D, Airoldi L, Ferrari P, Jenab M, Boffetta P, *et al.* Second-hand smoke, cotinine levels, and risk of circulatory mortality in a large cohort study of never-smokers. *Epidemiology* 2010;**21**:207-14.
- 48 Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Kivimaki M, Lowe GD, Batty GD. Objectively measured secondhand smoke exposure and risk of cardiovascular disease. What is the mediating role of inflammatory and hemostatic factors? *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;**56**:18-23.
- 49 Jefferis BJ, Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Wannamethee SG, Feyerabend C, Doig M, *et al.* Cotinineassessed second-hand smoke exposure and risk of cardiovascular disease in older adults. *Heart* 2010;**96**:854-9.
- 50 Peinemann F, Moebus S, Dragano N, Mohlenkamp S, Lehmann N, Zeeb H, et al. Secondhand smoke exposure and coronary artery calcification among nonsmoking participants of a population-based cohort. Environ Health Perspect 2011;119:1556-61. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3226494/pdf/ehp.1003347.pdf

- 51 Chen R. Association of environmental tobacco smoke with dementia and Alzheimer's disease among never smokers. *Alzheimers Dement* 2012;**8**:590-5.
- 52 He Y, Jiang B, Li LS, Ki LS, Ko L, Wu L, *et al.* Secondhand smoke exposure predicted COPD and other tobacco related mortality in a 17-years cohort study in China. *Chest* 2012;**142**:909-18.
- 53 Clark ML, Butler LM, Koh WP, Wang R, Yuan JM. Dietary fiber intake modifies the association between secondhand smoke exposure and coronary heart disease mortality among Chinese non-smokers in Singapore. *Nutrition* 2013;**29**:1304-9.
- 54 Iversen B, Jacobsen BK, Løchen ML. Active and passive smoking and the risk of myocardial infarction in 24,968 men and women during 11 year of follow-up: the Tromsø Study. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2013;**28**:659-67.
- 55 Kastorini CM, Georgousopoulou E, Vemmos KN, Nikolaou V, Kantas D, Milionis HJ, *et al.* Comparative analysis of cardiovascular disease risk factors influencing nonfatal acute coronary syndrome and ischemic stroke. *Am J Cardiol* 2013;**112**:349-54.
- 56 Batty GD, Gale CR, Jefferis B, Kvaavik E. Passive smoking assessed by salivary cotinine and selfreport in relation to cause-specific mortality: 17-year follow-up of study participants in the UK Health and Lifestyle Survey. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2014;**68**:1200-3.
- 57 Wells AJ. Passive smoking as a cause of heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1994;24:546-54.
- 58 Wells AJ. Heart disease from passive smoking in the workplace. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;**31**:1-9.

APPENDIX A

STUDIES/ANALYSES NOT INCLUDED IN TABLES

In preparing the tables in this document certain papers which might be thought to cite relevant data have not been referred to. The studies (their year of publication, country of origin and reference) and the reasons for not referring to them are given in this appendix.

Hirayama (1981, Japan, ref A1) - results superseded by the 1984 paper (ref 1a).

Gillis (1984, Japan, ref A2) – results superseded by the 1989 Hole paper (ref 8).

Hirayama (1987, Japan, ref A3) – results already presented in 1984 (ref 1a).

Sandler (1987, USA, ref A4) – results superseded by the 1989 paper (ref 10).

Helsing (1988, USA, ref A5) - results superseded by the 1989 Sandler paper (ref 10).

Hirayama (1988, Japan, ref A6) – results already presented in 1984 (ref 1a).

He (1989, China, ref A7) – results superseded by the 2000 paper (ref 25a).

Butler (1990, USA, ref A8) - results already presented in 1988 (ref 6).

Hirayama (1990, Japan, refs A9 and A10) – results already presented in 1984 (ref 1a).

Ciruzzi (1996, Argentina, ref A11) – results superseded by the 1998 paper (ref 22).

He (1996, China, ref A12) – results superseded by the 2000 paper (ref 25a).

Kawachi (1996, USA, ref A13) – results superseded by the 1997 paper (ref 21).

Rosenlund (2000, Sweden, ref A14) - results superseded by the 2001 paper (ref 27).

Panagiotakos (2001, Greece, ref A15) – results superseded by the 2002 Pitsavos paper (ref 28).

Panagiotakos (2002, Greece, refs A16 and A17) - results given in the 2002 Pitsavos paper (ref 28).

Pitsavos (2002, Greece, ref A18) – results given in another 2002 paper (ref 28).

Chen (2003, Scotland, ref A19) - results superseded by the 2004 paper (ref 30).

Jabbour (2003, Lebanon, ref A20) – results not restricted to never-smokers.

Note also that this review does not consider various publications (e.g. Sargent, 2004, USA, ref A21; Barone, 2006, ref A22; Bartecchi, 2006, ref A23; Khuder, 2007, ref A24; Cesaro, 2008, ref A25) which compare rates of heart disease before and after introduction of a smoking ban, as they do not provide direct information on effects of ETS exposure in never smokers. Any decline observed, if not due to reasons unrelated to the ban, may occur due to reduced death rates in smokers.

Jefferis (2009, Britain, ref A26) - results not restricted to never-smokers.

Pope (2009, USA, ref A27) – no original results given for never-smokers.

Rossi (2011, Costa Rica, ref A28) - results not restricted to never-smokers.

Pereira (2013, Portugal, ref A29) - results not restricted to never-smokers.

Liu (2014, China, ref A30) – mathematical model, not possible to estimate relative risks.

References to Appendix

- A1 Hirayama T. Non-smoking wives of heavy smokers have a higher risk of lung cancer: a study from Japan. *BMJ* 1981;**282**:183-5.
- A2 Gillis CR, Hole DJ, Hawthorne VM, Boyle P. The effect of environmental tobacco smoke in two urban communities in the west of Scotland. *Eur J Respir Dis* 1984;65(suppl 133):121-6.
- A3 Hirayama T. Passive smoking and cancer: an epidemiological review. *GANN Monograph on Cancer Research* 1987;**33**:127-35.
- A4 Sandler DP, Helsing KJ, Comstock GW. Heart disease mortality in persons living with smokers. In: *Symposium on Indoor Air Quality, Berlin.* 1987;
- A5 Helsing KJ, Sandler DP, Comstock GW, Chee E. Heart disease mortality in non-smokers living with smokers. *Am J Epidemiol* 1988;**127**:915-22.
- A6 Hirayama T. Health effects of active and passive smoking. In: Aoki M, Hisamichi S, Tominaga S, editors. Smoking and health 1987, Proceedings of the 6th World Conference on Smoking and Health, Tokyo, 9-12 November 1987. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (Biomedical Division), 1988;75-86. International Congress Series No. 780.
- A7 He Y. Women's passive smoking and coronary heart disease. *Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi* 1989;**23**:19-22.
- A8 Butler T. The relationship of passive smoking to various health outcomes among Seventh-Day Adventists in California. In: *Seventh World Conference on Tobacco and Health*. 1990;316.
- A9 Hirayama T. Wahrendorf J, editor. *Life-style and mortality: A large scale census based cohort study in Japan. Contributions to epidemiology and biostatistics.* Basle: Karger; 1990. 6.
- A10 Hirayama T. Ischemic heart disease; response to Lee [Letter]. Environ Int 1990;16:181-2.
- A11 Ciruzzi M, Esteban O, Rozlosnik J, Montagna H, Caccavo A, De La Cruz Ojeda J, *et al.* Passive smoking and the risk of acute myocardial infarction [Abstract]. *Eur Heart J* 1996;**17**:309.
- A12 He Y, Lam TH, Li LS, Li LS, Du RY, Jia GL, *et al.* The number of stenotic coronary arteries and passive smoking exposure from husband in lifelong non-smoking women in Xi'an, China. *Atherosclerosis* 1996;**127**:229-38.
- A13 Kawachi I, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, *et al.* A prospective study of passive smoking and coronary heart disease [Abstract]. *Am J Epidemiol* 1996;**143**:S70.
- A14 Rosenlund M, Berglind N, Gustavsson A, Reuterwall C, Hallqvist J, Nyberg F, *et al.* Environmental tobacco smoke and non-fatal myocardial infarction among never-smokers [Abstract]. *Epidemiology* 2000;**11**:S103.
- A15 Panagiotakos DB, Pitsavos C, Chrysohoou C, Stefanadis C, Toutouzas P. Risk stratification of coronary heart disease through established and emerging lifestyle factors in a Mediterranean population: CARDIO2000 epidemiological study. *J Cardiovasc Risk* 2001;**8**:329-35.
- A16 Panagiotakos DB, Pitsavos C, Chrysohoou C, Stefanadis C, Toutouzas P. Risk stratification of coronary heart disease in Greece: final results from the CARDI2000 epidemiological study. *Prev Med* 2002;**35**:548-56.
- A17 Panagiotakos DB, Chrysohoou C, Pitsavos C, Papaioannou I, Skoumas J, Stefanadis C, *et al.* The association between secondhand smoke and the risk of developing acute coronary syndromes, among non-smokers, under the presence of several cardiovascular risk factors: The CARDIO2000 case-control study. *BMC Public Health* 2002;**2**:9.

- A18 Pitsavos C, Panagiotakos DB, Chrysohoou C, Tzioumis K, Papaioannou I, Stefanadis C, *et al.* Association between passive cigarette smoking and the risk of developing acute coronary syndromes: the CARDIO2000 study. *Heart Vessels* 2002;**16**:127-30.
- A19 Chen R, Tunstall-Pedoe H. Coronary heart disease in relation to passive smoking by self report, serum cotinine and their combination: Scottish MONICA study [Abstract]. Society for Epidemiologic Research 36th Annual Meeting, Atlanta, Georgia, June 11-14, 2003. Am J Epidemiol 2003;157(Suppl):S27.
- A20 Jabbour S, El-Roueiheb Z, Sibai AM. Nargileh (water-pipe) smoking and incident coronary heart disease: a case-control study [Abstract]. *Ann Epidemiol* 2003;**13**:570.
- A21 Sargent RP, Shepard RM, Glantz SA. Reduced incidence of admissions for myocardial infarction associated with public smoking ban: before and after study. *BMJ* 2004;**328**:977-80.
- A22 Barone-Adesi F, Vizzini L, Merletti F, Richiardi L. Short-term effects of Italian smoking regulation on rates of hospital admission for acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2006;**27**:2468-72.
- A23 Bartecchi C, Alsever RN, Nevin-Wood C, Thomas WM, Estacio RO, Bartelson BB, *et al.* Reduction in the incidence of acute myocardial infarction associated with a citywide smoking ordinance. *Circulation* 2006;**114**:1490-4.
- A24 Khuder SA, Milz S, Jordan T, Price J, Silvestri K, Butler P. The impact of a smoking ban on hospital admissions for coronary heart disease. *Prev Med* 2007;**45**:3-8.
- A25 Cesaroni G, Forastiere F, Agabiti N, Valente P, Zuccaro P, Perucci CA. Effect of the Italian smoking ban on population rates of acute coronary events. *Circulation* 2008;**117**:1183-8.
- A26 Jefferis BJ, Lowe GDO, Welsh P, Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Wannamethee SG, *et al.* Secondhand smoke exposure assessed using serum cotinine, associations with myocardial infarction, stroke and cardiovascular risk factors in adult men and women. Society for Social Medicine 53rd Annual Scientific Meeting, 1 October 2009. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2009;**63(Suppl II)**:A35-A36.
- A27 Pope CA, III, Burnett RT, Krewski D, Jerrett M, Shi Y, Calle EE, *et al.* Cardiovascular mortality and exposure to airborne fine particulate matter and cigarette smoke: shape of the exposure-response relationship. *Circulation* 2009;**120**:941-8.
- A28 Rossi M, Negri E, La Vecchia C, Campos H. Smoking habits and the risk of non-fatal acute myocardial infarction in Costa Rica. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2011;**18**:467-74.
- A29 Pereira AM, Morais-Almeida M, Sá e Sousa A, Jacinto T, Azevedo LF, Robalo Cordeiro C, *et al.* Prevalencia da exposição ao fumo ambiental do tabaco em case e do tabagismo na população Portuguesa - o estudo INAsma. *Rev Port Pneumol* 2013;**19**:114-24. <u>http://ac.els-</u> <u>cdn.com/S0873215913000214/1-s2.0-S0873215913000214-main.pdf?_tid=4f9d1bb2-1734-11e4-</u> <u>aa0b-00000aab0f6c&acdnat=1406647597_ba1ce07b75a555623bf79c137cef063d</u>
- A30 Liu R, Jiang Y, Li Q, Hammond SK. An assessment of health risks and mortality from exposure to secondhand smoke in Chinese restaurants and bars. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e84811.

A31

APPENDIX B

Risk factors used as matching factors or to adjust relative risk estimates

														Biud	5													
Risk factor	1a	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26a	27	28
Sex	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х		х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
Age	х	х	х		х	х		х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
Marital status* Blood pressure/	х	х	х	х	х	х	х				х			х	х	х				х	Х						х	
hypertension		х		х	х			х			х			х				х	х	х		х	х			х		х
Cholesterol		х			х			х			х			х					х			х	х			х	х	х
Social class/ education/income					x			x	х	x		x		x			x	x		х			x	x			x	х
Obesity/weight		х		х	х			х	х		х	х		х						х		х	х	х			х	х
Alcohol				х	х															х		х					х	
Diabetes				х										х						х		х	х				х	х
Family history of heart disease/ hypertension				x					x					x								x	x	x		x		
Race															х	х	х	х									х	
Exercise				х																х		х	х				х	
Housing/urban-rural																	х		х									
Personal history of heart disease Coffee										x		x		x						x								
Personality type																										х	х	
Occupation																				х		х					-	х
Oestrogen use																				x		x						~
Other													х							x		x						

Study

Risk factor	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56
Sex*	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х			х	х	х	х	х
Age Marital status** Blood pressure/	х	x x	х	х	х	x x	x x			x	x	x x	X X	x x	x x	х	x	x	x	x	x			x x	x	x	x	x
hypertension Cholesterol	v		x		X		X	X	X						X	х		X		X	X			X		X	X	
Social class/	х		х		х		х	Х	х						х			Х		Х	х			Х		х	х	
education/income		х	х		х	х			х		х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х			х	х			х
Obesity/weight	х	х	х		х		х	х	х		х				х	х			х		х			х	х	х	х	
Alcohol	х		х		х		х	х	х	х					х			х			х			х				х
Diabetes	х				х		х	х	х						х	х		х			х						х	х
Family history of heart disease/ hypertension			x												x	x		x									x	
Race		х					х		х				х	х		х												
Exercise	х	х			х			х	х	х	х				х	х		х	х	х	х					х	х	х
Housing/urban-rural Personal history of		Х																										
heart disease Coffee					х			х																				х
Personality type																				х							х	
Occupation											х		х	х		х								х				
Oestrogen use																		х										
Other	х	х	х	х	х			х		х					х	х	х	х	х	х	х			х	х	х	х	

Study

Notes

- x Risk factor used as matching or adjustment factor in study
- * Results that are for one sex only are counted as being adjusted for sex
- ** Studies that are restricted to married subjects are counted as having adjusted for marital status
- Study 7 No reference was made to any adjustment for confounding in the abstract
- Study 12 Data in Tables 3 and 5 only adjusted for age and personal history of heart disease
- Study 13 Other risk factor considered: date of admission to hospital
- Study 14 Only data for spouse current smoker are adjusted for risk factors stated
- Study 18 Non-smoking cases and controls were matched on age and race. Adjustment for other risk factors noted only applied to analyses of workplace, adulthood and childhood ETS exposure, but not other indices of ETS exposure, including spousal smoking
- Study 20 Other risk factors considered: aspirin use, diuretic use and personal history of arthritis
- Study 22 Other risk factors considered: oral contraceptive use, saturated fat intake, vitamin E intake, menopausal status and use of postmenopausal hormones
- Study 28 Other risk factors considered: hospital/catchment area, job strain and diet
- Study 29 Only the relative risks in Table 7 for none/occasional/regular exposure were adjusted for all these factors; other relative risks cited were adjusted only for age, sex, hypertension, cholesterol, diabetes, exercise and family history of heart disease
- Study 30 Other risk factors considered: fruit or fruit juice intake and health status
- Study 31 Other risk factors considered: employment status and dietary vitamin C and fibre
- Study 32 Other risk factors considered: matched pair (conditional logistic regression was used)
- Study 33 Other risk factors considered: town of residence, FEV₁, height, triglycerides and white cell count
- Study 36 Other risk factors considered: triglycerides and FEV₁
- Study 37 Only the relative risks for cumulative exposure in Table 7 were adjusted for those factors; other relative risks cited were unadjusted
- Study 38 Other risk factors considered: region, consumption of fruits and vegetables
- Study 39 Other risk factors considered: intake of meat, vegetables and fruit
- Study 43 Other risk factors considered: triglycerides, family history of stroke
- Study 44 Other risk factors considered: financial loss in pyramid schemes, emigration of spouse and/or offspring, religious observance
- Study 45 Other risk factors considered: province, immigration status, presence of children younger than 12 years in household
- Study 46 Other risk factors considered: history of stroke, history of gout
- Study 47 Other risk factors considered: study centre
- Study 48 Other risk factors considered: survey location, log C-reactive protein, fibrinogen
- Study 49 Other risk factors considered: region, triglycerides, FEV₁, C-reactive protein, interleukin 6, white cell count
- Study 52 Other risk factor considered: triglycerides
- Study 53 Other risk factors considered: dialect, dietary fibre intake
- Study 54 Other risk factors considered: living with a smoker (for analysis of hours spent in smoke-filled rooms), hours spent in smoke-filled rooms (for analysis of living with a smoker)
- Study 55 Other risk factors considered: Mediterranean Diet Score
- Study 56 Personal history of cancer